Paul Hansen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/377963/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 580821 25 31 675 13 citations h-index g-index papers 31 31 31 1031 citing authors docs citations times ranked all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Development of radiographic classification criteria for hand osteoarthritis: a methodological report (Phase 2). RMD Open, 2022, 8, e002024.	3.8	5
2	Lockdown design: which features of lockdowns are most important to COVID-19 experts?. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 2022, 52, 569-579.	1.9	3
3	Stakeholder-based conjoint analysis for branding wellness tourism in Kerala, India. Asia-Pacific Journal of Regional Science, 2022, 6, 91-111.	2.1	4
4	Assessing options for cannabis law reform: A Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) with stakeholders in New Zealand. International Journal of Drug Policy, 2022, 105, 103712.	3.3	21
5	Creating a priority list of non-communicable diseases to support health research funding decision-making. Health Policy, 2021, 125, 221-228.	3.0	8
6	Rapid Development of a Tool for Prioritizing Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 for Intensive Care., 2021, 3, e0368.		4
7	New Zealand Population Norms for the EQ-5D-5L Constructed From the Personal Value Sets of Participants in a National Survey. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 1308-1318.	0.3	16
8	A new tool for creating personal and social EQ-5D-5L value sets, including valuing †dead'. Social Science and Medicine, 2020, 246, 112707.	3.8	31
9	Stakeholders' preferences for osteoarthritis interventions in health services: A cross-sectional study using multi-criteria decision analysis. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open, 2020, 2, 100110.	2.0	3
10	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis to prioritize hospital admission of patients affected by COVID-19 in low-resource settings with hospital-bed shortage. International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2020, 98, 494-500.	3.3	57
11	Choosing interventions for hip or knee osteoarthritis - What matters to stakeholders? A mixed-methods study. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open, 2020, 2, 100062.	2.0	6
12	Issues in the Design of Discrete Choice Experiments. Patient, 2019, 12, 281-285.	2.7	10
13	How Significant Are "High―Correlations Between EQ-5D Value Sets?. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 635-645.	2.4	2
14	Bilateral foreign aid: how important is aid effectiveness to people for choosing countries to support?. Applied Economics Letters, 2017, 24, 306-310.	1.8	7
15	A tool for measuring SMEs' reputation, engagement and goodwill. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2017, 18, 170-188.	5.4	11
16	Determining Criteria and Weights for Prioritizing Health Technologies Based on the Preferences of the General Population: A New Zealand Pilot Study. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 679-686.	0.3	15
17	Subsequent Injury Study (SInS): Improving outcomes for injured New Zealanders. Injury Prevention, 2017, 23, 429-429.	2.4	9
18	Qualitative Development of a Discrete Choice Experiment for Physical Activity Interventions to Improve Knee Osteoarthritis. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2017, 98, 1210-1216.e1.	0.9	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	2016 ACR-EULAR adult dermatomyositis and polymyositis and juvenile dermatomyositis response criteriaâ€"methodological aspects. Rheumatology, 2017, 56, 1884-1893.	1.9	33
20	The quality-of-life burden of knee osteoarthritis in New Zealand adults: A model-based evaluation. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0185676.	2.5	52
21	Combining multi-criteria decision analysis and mini-health technology assessment: A funding decision-support tool for medical devices in a university hospital setting. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 2016, 59, 201-208.	4.3	35
22	Developing Countries in Need: Which Characteristics Appeal Most to People when Donating Money?. Journal of Development Studies, 2014, 50, 1494-1509.	2.1	26
23	A comparison of injured patient and general population valuations of EQ-5D health states for New Zealand. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2014, 12, 21.	2.4	16
24	Does cancer deserve special treatment when health technologies are prioritized?. Israel Journal of Health Policy Research, 2013, 2, 45.	2.6	2
25	Which health technologies should be funded? A prioritization framework based explicitly on value for money. Israel Journal of Health Policy Research, 2012, 1, 44.	2.6	34
26	Commentary on â€~What is a priority?' by Paul Spicker. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2009, 14, 117-118.	1.7	2
27	A new method for scoring additive multiâ€attribute value models using pairwise rankings of alternatives. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, 2008, 15, 87-107.	1.9	230
28	The Authors??? Reply. Pharmacoeconomics, 2006, 24, 416-417.	3.3	0
29	Inference on "earnings dynamics over the life cycle: New evidence for New Zealand― New Zealand Economic Papers, 1997, 31, 221-227.	0.8	0
30	Aggregate health care expenditure in the United States: evidence from cointegration tests: a comment. Applied Economics Letters, 1996, 3, 37-39.	1.8	4
31	The costâ€effectiveness of compulsory bicycle helmets in New Zealand. Australian Journal of Public Health, 1995, 19, 450-454.	0.2	21