

# Patrizio Mazzone

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/370891/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

33  
papers

915  
citations

759233

12  
h-index

501196

28  
g-index

33  
all docs

33  
docs citations

33  
times ranked

1236  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Efficacy and safety of left atrial appendage closure with WATCHMAN in patients with or without contraindication to oral anticoagulation: 1-Year follow-up outcome data of the EWOLUTION trial. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2017, 14, 1302-1308.	0.7	331
2	Evaluating Real-World Clinical Outcomes in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Receiving the WATCHMAN Left Atrial Appendage Closure Technology. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2019, 12, e006841.	4.8	199
3	Procedural and Short-Term Results With the New Watchman FLX Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Device. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 13, 2732-2741.	2.9	49
4	Advanced techniques for chronic lead extraction: heading from the laser towards the evolution system. <i>Europace</i> , 2013, 15, 1771-1776.	1.7	38
5	Safety and efficacy of the new bidirectional rotational Evolution® mechanical lead extraction sheath: results from a multicentre Italian registry. <i>Europace</i> , 2018, 20, 829-834.	1.7	38
6	Predictors of Advanced Lead Extraction Based on a Systematic Stepwise Approach: Results from a High Volume Center. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2013, 36, 837-844.	1.2	33
7	Left atrial appendage closure: A single center experience and comparison of two contemporary devices. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2017, 89, 763-772.	1.7	27
8	Multicenter experience with the Evolution RL mechanical sheath for lead extraction using a stepwise approach: Safety, effectiveness, and outcome. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2019, 42, 989-997.	1.2	22
9	Use of the new rotating dilator sheath TightRail,® for lead extraction: A bicentric experience. <i>Journal of Arrhythmia</i> , 2020, 36, 343-350.	1.2	21
10	The MB score: a new risk stratification index to predict the need for advanced tools in lead extraction procedures. <i>Europace</i> , 2020, 22, 613-621.	1.7	20
11	High-Density Characterization of the Ventricular Electrical Substrate During Sinus Rhythm in Post-Myocardial Infarction Patients. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 6, 799-811.	3.2	17
12	Left atrial appendage occlusion in atrial fibrillation patients with previous intracranial bleeding: A national multicenter study. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2021, 328, 75-80.	1.7	15
13	Septal Late Gadolinium Enhancement and Arrhythmic Risk in Genetic and Acquired Non-Ischaemic Cardiomyopathies. <i>Heart Lung and Circulation</i> , 2020, 29, 1356-1365.	0.4	13
14	The COVID-19 challenge to cardiac electrophysiologists: optimizing resources at a referral center. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 59, 321-327.	1.3	13
15	Comparative data on left atrial appendage occlusion efficacy and clinical outcomes by age group in the Amplatzer,® Amulet,® Occluder Observational Study. <i>Europace</i> , 2021, 23, 238-246.	1.7	10
16	Long-term outcome of left atrial appendage occlusion with multiple devices. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2021, 344, 66-72.	1.7	10
17	Clinical outcomes of patients undergoing percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion in general anaesthesia or conscious sedation: data from the prospective global Amplatzer Amulet Occluder Observational Study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e040455.	1.9	9
18	Epicardial management of myocarditis-related ventricular tachycardia. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2013, 34, 244-244.	2.2	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure with WATCHMAN <sup>®</sup> device: peri-procedural and mid-term outcomes from the TRAPS Registry. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 52, 47-52.	1.3	8
20	Left atrial appendage closure: a new strategy for cardioembolic events despite oral anticoagulation. <i>Panminerva Medica</i> , 2021, , .	0.8	7
21	Direct oral anticoagulants in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation and extreme body weight. <i>European Journal of Clinical Investigation</i> , 2022, 52, e13658.	3.4	6
22	Predictors of Intensive Care Unit Admission in Patients Undergoing Lead Extraction: A 10-Year Observational Study in a High-Volume Center. <i>Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia</i> , 2019, 33, 1845-1851.	1.3	4
23	Check the Need <sup>®</sup> “Prevalence and Outcome after Transvenous Cardiac Implantable Electric Device Extraction without Reimplantation. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2021, 10, 4043.	2.4	4
24	Safety and efficacy of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) in very elderly patients (≥85 years old) with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. <i>Minerva Medica</i> , 2023, 114, .	0.9	4
25	Lead extraction <sup>®</sup> Aspects beyond the procedure. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2018, 250, 150-151.	1.7	3
26	Left atrial appendage occlusion in patients with atrial fibrillation and a large prevalence of intracranial bleeding: a further confirmation. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine</i> , 2020, 21, 592-594.	1.5	2
27	Bi <sup>®</sup> atrial characterization of the electrical substrate in patients with atrial fibrillation. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2022, , .	1.2	2
28	Characterization of cardiac electrogram signals in atrial arrhythmias. <i>Minerva Cardiology and Angiology</i> , 2021, 69, 70-80.	0.7	1
29	Working on the dirty side <sup>®</sup> “the ipsilateral subclavian access for temporary pacing after lead extraction. <i>Journal of Arrhythmia</i> , 2022, 38, 192-198.	1.2	1
30	16-27: Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure with WATCHMAN device: results from the TRAPS Registry. <i>Europace</i> , 2016, 18, i7-i7.	1.7	0
31	Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion. <i>Cardiology</i> , 2021, 146, 116-118.	1.4	0
32	Effective Antitachycardia Therapy by Temporary External Defibrillator Utilized as Bridge to Reimplantation. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging</i> , 2022, 30, 149-150.	0.7	0
33	Percutaneous left atrial appendage closure vs oral anticoagulation: The scariest might be the cheapest. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2022, , .	1.7	0