
Ramin K Khorasani

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3679473/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Effect of Computerized Clinical Decision Support on the Use and Yield of CT Pulmonary Angiography in the Emergency Department. Radiology, 2012, 262, 468-474.	7.3	220
2	Impact of a Structured Report Template on the Quality of MRI Reports for Rectal Cancer Staging. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 584-588.	2.2	104
3	ls Terminology Used Effectively to Convey Diagnostic Certainty in Radiology Reports?. Academic Radiology, 2003, 10, 685-688.	2.5	101
4	Performance of Wells Score for Deep Vein Thrombosis in the Inpatient Setting. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 1112.	5.1	84
5	Factors Associated With Radiologists' Adherence to Fleischner Society Guidelines for Management of Pulmonary Nodules. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2012, 9, 468-473.	1.8	82
6	Simple Cyst–appearing Renal Masses at Unenhanced CT: Can They Be Presumed to Be Benign?. Radiology, 2013, 269, 793-800.	7.3	76
7	Impact of IT-enabled Intervention on MRI Use for Back Pain. American Journal of Medicine, 2014, 127, 512-518.e1.	1.5	64
8	Effect of clinical decision support on documented guideline adherence for head CT in emergency department patients with mild traumatic brain injury. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2014, 21, e347-e351.	4.4	59
9	Impact of clinical decision support on head computed tomography use in patients with mild traumatic brain injury in the ED. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2015, 33, 320-325.	1.6	57
10	Effects of Performance Feedback Reports on Adherence to Evidence-Based Guidelines in Use of CT for Evaluation of Pulmonary Embolism in the Emergency Department: A Randomized Trial. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 936-940.	2.2	57
11	Gender Differences in Academic Rank of Radiologists in U.S. Medical Schools. Radiology, 2017, 283, 140-147.	7.3	55
12	Yield of CT Pulmonary Angiography in the Emergency Department When Providers Override Evidence-based Clinical Decision Support. Radiology, 2017, 282, 717-725.	7.3	50
13	Effect of Evidence-based Clinical Decision Support on the Use and Yield of CT Pulmonary Angiographic Imaging in Hospitalized Patients. Radiology, 2015, 276, 167-174.	7.3	49
14	Use of Machine Learning to Identify Follow-Up Recommendations in Radiology Reports. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 336-343.	1.8	49
15	Does Clinical Decision Support Reduce Unwarranted Variation in Yield of CT Pulmonary Angiogram?. American Journal of Medicine, 2013, 126, 975-981.	1.5	48
16	Ten Commandments for Effective Clinical Decision Support for Imaging: Enabling Evidence-Based Practice to Improve Quality and Reduce Waste. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 203, 945-951.	2.2	43
17	Variation in Follow-up Imaging Recommendations in Radiology Reports: Patient, Modality, and Radiologist Predictors. Radiology, 2019, 291, 700-707.	7.3	40
18	Clinical Decision Support in Radiology: What Is It, Why Do We Need It, and What Key Features Make It Effective?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2006, 3, 142-143.	1.8	37

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Radiology Workload Changes During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Implications for Staff Redeployment. Academic Radiology, 2021, 28, 1-7.	2.5	35
20	The Use of Decision Support to Measure Documented Adherence to a National Imaging Quality Measure. Academic Radiology, 2014, 21, 378-383.	2.5	33
21	Transitioning From Peer Review to Peer Learning: Report of the 2020 Peer Learning Summit. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1499-1508.	1.8	32
22	Comparing Diagnostic Performance of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Full-Field Digital Mammography in a Hybrid Screening Environment. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 929-934.	2.2	31
23	Radiologist Preferences, Agreement, and Variability in Phrases Used to Convey Diagnostic Certainty in Radiology Reports. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 458-464.	1.8	30
24	Examining clinical decision support integrity: is clinician self-reported data entry accurate?. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2014, 21, 23-26.	4.4	29
25	Improving Radiology Peer Learning: Comparing a Novel Electronic Peer Learning Tool and a Traditional Score-Based Peer Review System. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 135-141.	2.2	29
26	Evaluating Hematuria: Impact of Guideline Adherence on Urologic Cancer Diagnosis. American Journal of Medicine, 2014, 127, 625-632.	1.5	28
27	Clinical decision support increases diagnostic yield of computed tomography for suspected pulmonary embolism. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2018, 36, 540-544.	1.6	28
28	JOURNAL CLUB: Predictors of Provider Response to Clinical Decision Support: Lessons Learned From the Medicare Imaging Demonstration. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 351-357.	2.2	27
29	Effect of Clinical Decision Support–Generated Report Cards Versus Real-Time Alerts on Primary Care Provider Guideline Adherence for Low Back Pain Outpatient Lumbar Spine MRI Orders. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 386-394.	2.2	26
30	Impact of an electronic alert notification system embedded in radiologists' workflow on closed-loop communication of critical results: a time series analysis. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 518-524.	3.7	25
31	Sex Differences in Radiologist Salary in U.S. Public Medical Schools. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 953-958.	2.2	25
32	Workflow Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Radiology and an Overview of Available Tools. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1363-1370.	1.8	25
33	Optimizing Communication of Critical Test Results. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2009, 6, 721-723.	1.8	24
34	Patient, Radiologist, and Examination Characteristics Affecting Screening Mammography Recall Rates in a Large Academic Practice. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 411-418.	1.8	23
35	Adoption of a Closed-Loop Communication Tool to Establish and Execute a Collaborative Follow-Up Plan for Incidental Pulmonary Nodules. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 1077-1081.	2.2	23
36	Predictors of Self-Reported Burnout Among Radiology Faculty at a Large Academic Medical Center. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1684-1691.	1.8	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Self-reported Burnout: Comparison of Radiologists to Nonradiologist Peers at a Large Academic Medical Center. Academic Radiology, 2022, 29, 277-283.	2.5	23
38	Factors Associated With Optimal Follow-up in Women With BI-RADS 3 Breast Findings. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 469-474.	1.8	22
39	Implementation of Speech Recognition in a Community-based Radiology Practice: Effect on Report TurnaroundÂTimes. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 402-406.	1.8	21
40	Does integrating nonurgent, clinically significant radiology alerts within the electronic health record impact closed-loop communication and follow-up?. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2016, 23, 333-338.	4.4	21
41	High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer: Use of Machine Learning to Predict Abdominopelvic Recurrence on CT on the Basis of Serial Cancer Antigen 125 Levels. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1133-1138.	1.8	20
42	Comparing Tumor Characteristics and Rates of Breast Cancers Detected by Screening Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Full-Field Digital Mammography. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, 701-706.	2.2	20
43	Yield of Learning Opportunities From a Radiology Random Peer Review Program. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 630-634.	2.2	19
44	Impact of an Information Technology–Enabled Initiative on the Quality of Prostate Multiparametric MRI Reports. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 827-833.	2.5	18
45	Impact of a clinical decision support tool on adherence to the Ottawa Ankle Rules. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2016, 34, 412-418.	1.6	18
46	Focal Cystic Pancreatic Lesion Follow-up Recommendations After Publication of ACR White Paper on Managing IncidentalÂFindings. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 757-764.	1.8	18
47	Integrity of clinical information in computerized order requisitions for diagnostic imaging. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2018, 25, 1651-1656.	4.4	18
48	Renal cancer at unenhanced CT: imaging features, detection rates, and outcomes. Abdominal Radiology, 2018, 43, 1756-1763.	2.1	17
49	Use of Imaging in the Emergency Department: Do Individual Physicians Contribute to Variation?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 637-643.	2.2	17
50	Impact of a Health Information Technology–Enabled Appropriate Use Criterion on Utilization of Emergency Department CT for Renal Colic. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 142-145.	2.2	17
51	Use of Advanced Imaging for Radiographically Occult Hip Fracture in Elderly Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2020, 296, 521-531.	7.3	17
52	Visceral Adiposity and Severe COVID-19 Disease: Application of an Artificial Intelligence Algorithm to Improve Clinical Risk Prediction. Open Forum Infectious Diseases, 2021, 8, ofab275.	0.9	17
53	Assessing 2 d-dimer age-adjustment strategies to optimize computed tomographic use in ED evaluation of pulmonary embolism. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2014, 32, 1499-1502.	1.6	16
54	Impact of Clinical Decision Support on Radiography for Acute Ankle Injuries: A Randomized Trial. Western Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2017, 18, 487-495.	1.1	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	What Physicians and Health Organizations Should Know About Mandated Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 880.	3.9	16
56	Multivariate Analysis of Radiologists' Usage of Phrases that Convey Diagnostic Certainty. Academic Radiology, 2019, 26, 1229-1234.	2.5	16
57	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources, Clinical Quality Language, and Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine—Clinical Terms in Representing Clinical Evidence Logic Statements for the Use of Imaging Procedures: Descriptive Study. JMIR Medical Informatics, 2019, 7, e13590.	2.6	16
58	Assessing Strength of Evidence of Appropriate Use Criteria for Diagnostic Imaging Examinations. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2016, 23, 649-653.	4.4	15
59	Can Automated Retrieval of Data from Emergency Department Physician Notes Enhance the Imaging Order Entry Process?. Applied Clinical Informatics, 2019, 10, 189-198.	1.7	15
60	Classifying Safety Events Related to Diagnostic Imaging From a Safety Reporting System Using a Human Factors Framework. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 282-288.	1.8	15
61	Exacerbation of Inequities in Use of Diagnostic Radiology During the Early Stages of Reopening After COVID-19. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 696-703.	1.8	15
62	The actionable imaging report. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 429-443.	2.1	14
63	New CMS Clinical Decision Support Regulations: A Potential Opportunity with Major Challenges. Radiology, 2017, 283, 10-13.	7.3	14
64	Impact of a Health Information Technology Intervention on the Follow-up Management of Pulmonary Nodules. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2018, 31, 19-25.	2.9	14
65	Screening Mammography Performance Metrics of 2D Digital Mammography Versus Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in Women With a Personal History of Breast Cancer. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 587-594.	2.2	14
66	Early Adoption of a Certainty Scale to Improve Diagnostic Certainty Communication. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1276-1284.	1.8	14
67	Improving Patient Experience in Radiology: Impact of a Multifaceted Intervention on National Ranking. Radiology, 2019, 291, 102-109.	7.3	13
68	Three-Dimensional Neural Network to Automatically Assess Liver Tumor Burden Change on Consecutive Liver MRIs. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1475-1484.	1.8	13
69	CT and MRI Protocol Variation andÂOptimization at an Academic MedicalÂCenter. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1254-1258.	1.8	12
70	Torsion of intraabdominal testicular tumors: A case report. , 1996, 77, 339-343.		11
71	Medicare Imaging Demonstration: Assessing Attributes of Appropriate Use Criteria and Their Influence on Ordering Behavior. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 1051-1057.	2.2	11
72	Early Abdominal Imaging Remains Over-Utilized in Acute Pancreatitis. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2017, 62, 2894-2899.	2.3	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery: PACS as a Case Example. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2008, 5, 144-145.	1.8	10
74	Can Radiology Professional Society Guidelines Be Converted to Effective Decision Support?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2010, 7, 561-562.	1.8	10
75	Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: impact of consistent contrast agent selection on radiologists' confidence in hepatic lesion assessment on restaging MRIs. Abdominal Radiology, 2018, 43, 1386-1392.	2.1	10
76	Radiology Report Template Optimization at an Academic Medical Center. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 1008-1014.	2.2	10
77	Appropriateness of inpatient stress testing: Implications for development of clinical decision support mechanisms and future criteria. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology, 2021, 28, 1988-1997.	2.1	9
78	You Should Eliminate Paper From Your PACS Workflow: Why and How?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2006, 3, 628-629.	1.8	8
79	Health Care Reform Through Meaningful Use of Health Care IT: Implications for Radiologists. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2010, 7, 152-153.	1.8	8
80	Assessing information sources to elucidate diagnostic process errors in radiologic imaging — a human factors framework. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2018, 25, 1507-1515.	4.4	8
81	Clinical Impact of Second Opinion Radiology Consultation for Patients With Breast Cancer. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 814-823.	1.8	8
82	Patient-Level, Institutional, and Temporal Variations in Use of Imaging Modalities to Confirm Pulmonary Embolism. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2020, 13, e010651.	2.6	8
83	Radiologist Variation in the Rates of Follow-up Imaging Recommendations Made for Pulmonary Nodules. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 896-905.	1.8	8
84	Objective Quality Metrics and Personal Dashboards for Quality Improvement. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2009, 6, 549-550.	1.8	7
85	Comparing Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Retrieve Clinical Reports Documenting Implantable Devices Posing MRI Safety Risks. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 272-279.	1.8	7
86	Physician Agreement With Recommendations Contained in a National Guideline for the Management of Incidental Pulmonary Nodules: A Case Study. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1437-1442.	1.8	7
87	Effect of a Report Template–Enabled Quality Improvement Initiative on Use of Preferred Phrases for Communicating Normal Findings in Structured Abdominal CT and MRI Reports. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, 835-842.	2.2	7
88	Radiologists' Self-Assessment Versus Peer Assessment of Perceived Probability of Recommending Additional Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 504-510.	1.8	7
89	Closing the Loop on Unscheduled Diagnostic Imaging Orders: A Systems-Based Approach. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 60-67.	1.8	7
90	Peer Learning in Radiology: Effect of a Pay-for-Performance Initiative on Clinical Impact and Usage. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 1659-1667.	2.2	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Setting Up a Dashboard for Your Practice. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2008, 5, 600.	1.8	6
92	Risk Stratification Model: Lower-Extremity Ultrasonography for Hospitalized Patients with Suspected Deep Vein Thrombosis. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 21-25.	2.6	6
93	Technologist Productivity and Accuracy in Assigning Protocols for Abdominal CT and MRI Examinations at an Academic Medical Center: Implications for Physician Workload. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 1003-1007.	2.2	6
94	Comparing Diagnostic Performance of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Full-Field Digital Mammography. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 999-1003.	1.8	6
95	Image compression in your PACS: Should you do it? What are the issues?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2004, 1, 780-781.	1.8	5
96	Technology Requirements for the Optimal Communication of Critical Test Results. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2006, 3, 742-743.	1.8	5
97	Can You Efficiently Incorporate Patient-Specific Electronic Medical Record Data Into Radiology Workflow?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2012, 9, 862-863.	1.8	5
98	Can Health IT Tools Enable Improved Documentation of Quality, Safety Measures, and Regulatory Requirements in Radiology Reports?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2013, 10, 381-382.	1.8	5
99	Characteristics of knowledge content in a curated online evidence library. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2018, 25, 507-514.	4.4	5
100	A Clinical Model for the Early Diagnosis of Acute Pancreatitis in the Emergency Department. Pancreas, 2018, 47, 871-879.	1.1	5
101	Radiologist Reporting and Operational Management for Patients With Suspected COVID-19. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1056-1060.	1.8	5
102	Unscheduled Radiologic Examination Orders in the Electronic Health Record: A Novel Resource for Targeting Ambulatory Diagnostic Errors in Radiology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 765-772.	1.8	5
103	Variation in Radiologists' Follow-Up Imaging Recommendations for Small Cystic Pancreatic Lesions. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 1405-1414.	1.8	5
104	Use of a PACS Embedded System for Communicating Radiologist to Technologist Learning Opportunities and Patient Callbacks. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2022, 51, 511-516.	1.4	5
105	Modality interfacing: The impact of a relay station. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2000, 13, 88-92.	2.9	4
106	Medical management: expanding radiologists' role using information technology to improve the quality of care. Seminars in Roentgenology, 2003, 38, 282-286.	0.6	4
107	You need a prenuptial agreement in your PACS contract: Here is why. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2005, 2, 196-197.	1.8	4
108	Too Much Information! What We Need From IT Beyond Image Manipulation Tools. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2007, 4, 925-926.	1.8	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Radiology double reads. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 569-571.	3.7	4
110	Wall suction-assisted image-guided therapeutic paracentesis: a safe and less expensive alternative to evacuated bottles. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 1333-1337.	2.1	4
111	Semiautomated System for Nonurgent, Clinically Significant Pathology Results. Applied Clinical Informatics, 2018, 09, 411-421.	1.7	4
112	Will publishing evidence-based guidelines for low back pain imaging decrease imaging use?. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2019, 37, 545-546.	1.6	4
113	Liver tumor F-18 FDG-PET before and immediately after microwave ablation enables imaging and quantification of tumor tissue contraction. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2021, 48, 1618-1625.	6.4	4
114	Integrity of clinical information in radiology reports documenting pulmonary nodules. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2021, 28, 80-85.	4.4	4
115	RADAR: A Closed-Loop Quality Improvement Initiative Leveraging A Safety Net Model for Incidental Pulmonary Nodule Management. Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety, 2021, 47, 275-281.	0.7	4
116	Predictors of malignancy in incidental adnexal lesions identified on CT in patients with prior non-ovarian cancer. Abdominal Radiology, 2022, 47, 320-327.	2.1	4
117	Comparing Breast and Abdominal Subspecialists' Follow-Up Recommendations for Incidental Liver Lesions on Breast MRI. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 773-778.	1.8	4
118	Evaluating Terminologies to Enable Imaging-Related Decision Rule Sharing. AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings, 2016, 2016, 2082-2089.	0.2	4
119	Role and Status of Information Technology Solutions in Radiology Reporting. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2005, 2, 706-707.	1.8	3
120	What You Should Know About Handling Digital Studies Generated Outside Your Practice. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2006, 3, 954-955.	1.8	3
121	Can Metrics Obtained From Your IT Databases Help Start Your Practice Dashboard?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2008, 5, 772-774.	1.8	3
122	Impact of a Multifaceted Information Technology–Enabled Intervention on the Adoption of ACR White Paper Follow-Up Recommendations for Incidental Adnexal Lesions Detected on CT. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 127-133.	2.2	3
123	Improving the completeness of structured MRI reports for rectal cancer staging. Abdominal Radiology, 2021, 46, 885-893.	2.1	3
124	Adoption of a diagnostic certainty scale in abdominal imaging: 2-year experience at an academic institution. Abdominal Radiology, 2022, 47, 1187-1195.	2.1	3
125	Patient Experience Scores for Radiologists: Comparison with Nonradiologist Physicians and Changes After Public Posting in an Institutional Online Provider Directory. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, , .	2.2	3
126	Leading your organization through a successful software implementation has little to do with the technology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2004, 1, 430-431.	1.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Role of Information Technology in Improving the Quality of Care in Radiology: An Overview. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2005, 2, 1035-1036.	1.8	2
128	Qualifying for Incentive Payments for Meaningful Use of Health Care IT for Radiologists: Practical Initial Steps. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2011, 8, 222-224.	1.8	2
129	External validation of risk stratification strategy in the use of renal ultrasonography in the evaluation of acute kidney injury. Journal of Hospital Medicine, 2016, 11, 763-767.	1.4	2
130	Evidence-Based Cancer Imaging. Korean Journal of Radiology, 2017, 18, 107.	3.4	2
131	Can emergency department provider notes help to achieve more dynamic clinical decision support?. Journal of the American College of Emergency Physicians Open, 2020, 1, 1269-1277.	0.7	2
132	Radiology Patient Outcome Measures: Impact of a Departmental Pay-for-Performance Initiative on Key Quality and Safety Measures. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 969-981.	1.8	2
133	RE: "Self-reported Burnout: Comparison of Radiologists to Nonradiologist Peers at a Large Academic Medical Center― Academic Radiology, 2021, 28, 1035.	2.5	2
134	Imaging modalities for confirming pulmonary embolism during pregnancy: results from a multicenter international study. European Radiology, 2022, 32, 1238-1246.	4.5	2
135	Utility of Patient-Reported Risk Factors for Identifying Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease Before Outpatient CT: Comparison With Recent ACR/NKF Consensus Criteria. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 219, 462-470.	2.2	2
136	Acquiring a radiology information system for your practice: Current state and future trends. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2004, 1, 603-604.	1.8	1
137	Is PACS worth the investment? it depends on your perspective. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2004, 1, 284-285.	1.8	1
138	Buyers beware: Should you purchase a modality or PACS workstation for your practice? What is the difference?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2005, 2, 381-382.	1.8	1
139	Requirements for Optimum Use of Advanced Image Visualization Tools. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2007, 4, 525-526.	1.8	1
140	What You Can Do to Minimize System Downtime. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2007, 4, 252-253.	1.8	1
141	Consider Hidden IT Costs When Purchasing an MRI or CT Scanner. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2008, 5, 935-936.	1.8	1
142	Do You Purge Your PACS Archive? Should You?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2009, 6, 227.	1.8	1
143	CMS Incentive Payments for Meaningful Use of Health Care IT for Radiologists: Will They Fund the Needed Change?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2011, 8, 139-140.	1.8	1
144	An Electronic Health Record Order Entry-Enabled Educational Intervention Is Not Effective in Reducing STAT Inpatient Radiology Orders. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 1018-1026.	1.8	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	One size does not fit all: Factors associated with increased frequency of radiation overexposure alerts based on fixed-alert thresholds. Physica Medica, 2021, 82, 79-86.	0.7	1
146	Integration of a Community Radiology Division into a Subspecialty-Focused Academic Radiology Department. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2022, 51, 171-175.	1.4	1
147	Prevalence of imaging findings of acute pancreatitis in emergency department patients with elevated serum lipase. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2021, 50, 10-13.	1.6	1
148	Purchasing computer systems need not be so difficult. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2004, 1, 142-143.	1.8	0
149	Upgrading Software in Your Practice: Basics for Success. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2005, 2, 862-863.	1.8	0
150	IT Tools Needed for Interventional Radiology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2007, 4, 723-724.	1.8	0
151	How Much Software Can You Deploy in One Sitting?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2007, 4, 128-129.	1.8	0
152	Improving Drug Safety in Radiology Will Require the Adoption of New IT Tools. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2008, 5, 1091-1092.	1.8	0
153	You Should Harmonize Your Quality, Safety, and IT Programs. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2009, 6, 399-400.	1.8	0
154	Capturing the Cognitive Input of Radiologists in the Care Process: Next-Generation Health IT Requirements. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2012, 9, 393-394.	1.8	0
155	The Reply. American Journal of Medicine, 2014, 127, e23.	1.5	0
156	Imaging Tests for Suspected Deep Vein Thrombosis—Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 1874.	5.1	0
157	Impact of an Information Technology–Enabled Quality Improvement Initiative on Timeliness of Patient Contact and Scheduling of Screening Mammography Recall. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 880-885.	2.2	0
158	Solutions to Reduce Unnecessary Imaging. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 321, 2242.	7.4	0
159	Quantifying and Characterizing Trainee Participation in a Major Academic Radiology Department. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2019, 48, 436-440.	1.4	0
160	Electronic Worklist Improves Timeliness of Screening Mammogram Interpretation in an Urban Underserved Population. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, , .	1.4	0
161	Impact of Electronic Procedural Protocoling on the Timeliness of Pre-operative Breast Localization Procedures. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, , .	1.4	0
162	Mandated Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 171, 682.	3.9	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Factors Associated With Follow-up Testing Completion in Patients With IncidentalÂPulmonary Nodules Assessed to Require Follow-up. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, 433-436.	1.8	0
164	Representing narrative evidence as clinical evidence logic statements. JAMIA Open, 2022, 5, ooac024.	2.0	0
165	Patterns of Screening Recall Behavior Among Subspecialty Breast Radiologists. Academic Radiology, 2022, , .	2.5	Ο