
Rand R Wilcox

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3604373/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Robust statistical methods in R using the WRS2 package. Behavior Research Methods, 2020, 52, 464-488.	2.3	587
2	Robust Correlation Analyses: False Positive and Power Validation Using a New Open Source Matlab Toolbox. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 606.	1.1	457
3	Modern Robust Data Analysis Methods: Measures of Central Tendency Psychological Methods, 2003, 8, 254-274.	2.7	375
4	Effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention in promoting the well-being of independently living older people: results of the Well Elderly 2 Randomised Controlled Trial. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2012, 66, 782-790.	2.0	327
5	Robust statistical methods: A primer for clinical psychology and experimental psychopathology researchers. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2017, 98, 19-38.	1.6	262
6	How many discoveries have been lost by ignoring modern statistical methods?. American Psychologist, 1998, 53, 300-314.	3.8	252
7	Fundamentals of Modern Statistical Methods. , 2010, , .		230
8	Fundamentals of Modern Statistical Methods. , 2001, , .		173
9	Beyond differences in means: robust graphical methods to compare two groups in neuroscience. European Journal of Neuroscience, 2017, 46, 1738-1748.	1.2	156
10	New monte carlo results on the robustness of the anova f, w and f statistics. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1986, 15, 933-943.	0.6	117
11	Measuring effect size: a robust heteroscedastic approach for two or more groups. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2011, 38, 1359-1368.	0.6	108
12	Under what conditions can human affective conditioning occur without contingency awareness? Test of the evaluative conditioning paradigm Emotion, 2007, 7, 755-766.	1.5	100
13	A generally robust approach for testing hypotheses and setting confidence intervals for effect sizes Psychological Methods, 2008, 13, 110-129.	2.7	98
14	Comparing the Means of Two Independent Groups. Biometrical Journal, 1990, 32, 771-780.	0.6	88
15	The New and Improved Two-Sample t Test. Psychological Science, 2004, 15, 47-51.	1.8	87
16	ANOVA: A Paradigm for Low Power and Misleading Measures of Effect Size?. Review of Educational Research, 1995, 65, 51-77.	4.3	86
17	A generally robust approach to hypothesis testing in independent and correlated groups designs. Psychophysiology, 2003, 40, 586-596.	1.2	85
18	A Guide to Robust Statistical Methods in Neuroscience. Current Protocols in Neuroscience, 2018, 82, 8.42.1-8.42.30.	2.6	85

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A Note on the Theil-Sen Regression Estimator When the Regressor Is Random and the Error Term Is Heteroscedastic. Biometrical Journal, 1998, 40, 261-268.	0.6	84
20	Comparing dependent robust correlations. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2016, 69, 215-224.	1.0	73
21	The percentage bend correlation coefficient. Psychometrika, 1994, 59, 601-616.	1.2	68
22	Modern Insights About Pearson's Correlation and Least Squares Regression. International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 2001, 9, 195-205.	1.7	65
23	Psychometric properties of reverse-scored items on the CES-D in a sample of ethnically diverse older adults Psychological Assessment, 2011, 23, 558-562.	1.2	65
24	Some Results on the Tukey-Mclaughlin and Yuen Methods for Trimmed Means when Distributions are Skewed. Biometrical Journal, 1994, 36, 259-273.	0.6	62
25	Trimming, Transforming Statistics, And Bootstrapping: Circumventing the Biasing Effects Of Heterescedasticity And Nonnormality. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2002, 1, 288-309.	0.2	61
26	Inferences Based on a Skipped Correlation Coefficient. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2004, 31, 131-143.	0.6	59
27	A one-way random effects model for trimmed means. Psychometrika, 1994, 59, 289-306.	1.2	58
28	ANOVA: The practical importance of heteroscedastic methods, using trimmed means versus means, and designing simulation studies. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1995, 48, 99-114.	1.0	57
29	Cannabis, motivation, and life satisfaction in an internet sample. Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 2006, 1, 2.	1.0	56
30	Comparing two independent groups via the lower and upper quantiles. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2014, 84, 1543-1551.	0.7	56
31	A Review of the Beta-Binomial Model and its Extensions. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1981, 6, 3-32.	0.9	55
32	The goals and strategies of robust methods. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1998, 51, 1-39.	1.0	55
33	Comparing Pearson Correlations: Dealing with Heteroscedasticity and Nonnormality. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2009, 38, 2220-2234.	0.6	52
34	A new alternative to the ANOVA F and new results on James's second-order method. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1988, 41, 109-117.	1.0	50
35	Can tests for treatment group equality be improved?: The bootstrap and trimmed means conjecture. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1998, 51, 123-134.	1.0	50

36 Modern Statistics for the Social and Behavioral Sciences. , 0, , .

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Some practical reasons for reconsidering the Kolmogorov‧mirnov test. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1997, 50, 9-20.	1.0	42
38	Understanding the Practical Advantages of Modern ANOVA Methods. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 2002, 31, 399-412.	2.2	42
39	Effect of nonâ€normality on test statistics for oneâ€way independent groups designs. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2012, 65, 56-73.	1.0	40
40	Measuring effect size: A non-parametric analogue of ω2. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1999, 52, 93-110.	1.0	36
41	Comparing two dependent groups via quantiles. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2012, 39, 2655-2664.	0.6	35
42	Robust Regression. , 2017, , 517-583.		33
43	Modern Regression Methods that can Substantially Increase Power and Provide a more Accurate Understanding of Associations. European Journal of Personality, 2012, 26, 165-174.	1.9	32
44	Comparing Dependent Correlations. Journal of General Psychology, 2008, 135, 105-112.	1.6	31
45	Why Can Methods for Comparing Means Have Relatively Low Power, and What Can You Do to Correct the Problem?. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 1992, 1, 101-105.	2.8	29
46	Testing Repeated Measures Hypotheses When Covariance Matrices are Heterogeneous: Revisiting the Robustness of the Welch-James Test Again. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2000, 60, 925-938.	1.2	29
47	Comparing medians. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 2006, 51, 1934-1943.	0.7	29
48	Support for religioâ€political aggression among teenaged boys in Gaza: Part I: psychological findings. Aggressive Behavior, 2010, 36, 219-231.	1.5	29
49	Tests for Treatment Group Equality When Data are Nonnormal and Heteroscedastic. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2007, 6, 117-132.	0.2	29
50	Some results on a Winsorized correlation coefficient. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1993, 46, 339-349.	1.0	28
51	Testing treatment effects in repeated measures designs: Trimmed means and bootstrapping. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2000, 53, 175-191.	1.0	28
52	Some results on extensions and modifications of the Theil - Sen regression estimator. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2004, 57, 265-280.	1.0	28
53	Psychophysiological and behavioural characteristics of individuals comorbid for antisocial personality disorder and schizophrenia-spectrum personality disorder. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2007, 191, 408-414.	1.7	27
54	The Percentile Bootstrap: A Primer With Step-by-Step Instructions in R. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2021, 4, 251524592091188.	5.4	27

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reaction Times and other Skewed Distributions. Meta-Psychology, 0, 4, .	0.0	27
56	Conventional And Robust Paired And Independent-Samples t Tests: Type I Error And Power Rates. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2003, 2, 481-496.	0.2	27
57	Solving Measurement Problems with an Answer-Until-Correct Scoring Procedure. Applied Psychological Measurement, 1981, 5, 399-414.	0.6	26
58	Inferences about correlations when there is heteroscedasticity. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2001, 54, 39-47.	1.0	26
59	Robust Regression Methods: Achieving Small Standard Errors When There Is Heteroscedasticity. Understanding Statistics, 2004, 3, 349-364.	1.2	26
60	Preliminary Testing for Normality: Is This a Good Practice?. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2013, 12, 2-19.	0.2	26
61	Confidence intervals for the slope of a regression line when the error term has nonconstant variance. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 1996, 22, 89-98.	0.7	25
62	Repeated measures ANOVA: Some new results on comparing trimmed means and means. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2000, 53, 69-82.	1.0	25
63	Pairwise comparisons of J independent regression lines over a finite interval, simultaneous pairwise comparison of their parameters, and the Johnson-Neyman procedure. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1987, 40, 80-93.	1.0	24
64	SOME NEW RESULTS ON AN ANSWER-UNTIL-CORRECT SCORING PROCEDURE. Journal of Educational Measurement, 1982, 19, 67-74.	0.7	23
65	Determining the Length of a Criterion-Referenced Test. Applied Psychological Measurement, 1980, 4, 425-446.	0.6	22
66	Robust generalizations of classical test reliability and Cronbach's alpha. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1992, 45, 239-254.	1.0	22
67	Comparing the variances of two independent groups. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2002, 55, 169-175.	1.0	22
68	Repeated measures one-way ANOVA based on a modified one-step M-estimator. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2003, 56, 15-25.	1.0	22
69	Comparing measures of the â€~typical' score across treatment groups. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2004, 57, 215-234.	1.0	22
70	Adaptive robust estimation and testing. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2007, 60, 267-293.	1.0	22
71	Comparing one-step m-estimators of location corresponding to two independent groups. Psychometrika, 1992, 57, 141-154.	1.2	21
72	Comparing the variances of dependent groups. Psychometrika, 1989, 54, 305-315.	1.2	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Three Multiple Comparison Procedures for Trimmed Means. Biometrical Journal, 1995, 37, 643-656.	0.6	20
74	A Note on Testing Hypotheses about Trimmed Means. Biometrical Journal, 1996, 38, 173-180.	0.6	20
75	Pairwise comparisons of trimmed means for two or more groups. Psychometrika, 2001, 66, 343-356.	1.2	20
76	A Robust Nonparametric Measure of Effect Size Based on an Analog of Cohen's d, Plus Inferences About the Median of the Typical Difference. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2018, 17, .	0.2	20
77	A Review of the Beta-Binomial Model and Its Extensions. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1981, 6, 3.	0.9	19
78	Comparing Medians: A Monte Carlo Study. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1986, 11, 263-274.	0.9	19
79	Comparing one-step M-estimators of location when there are more than two groups. Psychometrika, 1993, 58, 71-78.	1.2	19
80	New Methods for Comparing Groups. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2005, 14, 272-275.	2.8	19
81	Comparing the regression slopes of independent groups. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2010, 63, 319-340.	1.0	19
82	Analysing repeated measures or randomized block designs using trimmed means. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1993, 46, 63-76.	1.0	18
83	Comparing the variances of two dependent variables. Journal of Statistical Distributions and Applications, 2015, 2, .	1.2	18
84	Modification of Impulse Generation During Pirouette Turns With Increased Rotational Demands. Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 2016, 32, 425-432.	0.3	18
85	Estimating true score in the compound binomial error model. Psychometrika, 1978, 43, 245-258.	1.2	17
86	Comparing variances and means when distributions have non-identical shapes. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1990, 19, 155-173.	0.6	17
87	Performance on the CERAD Word List Memory task: a comparison of university-based and community-based groups. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 2003, 18, 733-739.	1.3	17
88	Diurnal patterns and associations among salivary cortisol, DHEA and alpha-amylase in older adults. Physiology and Behavior, 2014, 129, 11-16.	1.0	17
89	Modifications in Wheelchair Propulsion Technique with Speed. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2015, 3, 171.	2.0	17
90	Simulation results on extensions of the theil-sen regression estimator. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1998, 27, 1117-1126.	0.6	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Approximating Tukey's Depth. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2003, 32, 977-985.	0.6	16
92	Comparing examinees to a control. Psychometrika, 1979, 44, 55-68.	1.2	15
93	Some empirical and theoretical results on an answer-until-correct scoring procedureâ€. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1982, 35, 57-70.	1.0	15
94	Pairwise Comparisons Using Trimmed Means or M-Estimators when Working with Dependent Groups. Biometrical Journal, 1997, 39, 677-688.	0.6	15
95	Detecting heteroscedasticity in a simple regression model via quantile regression slopes. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2006, 76, 705-712.	0.7	15
96	A comparison of twoâ€stage procedures for testing leastâ€squares coefficients under heteroscedasticity. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2011, 64, 244-258.	1.0	15
97	Lower extremity control during turns initiated with and without hip external rotation. Journal of Biomechanics, 2017, 52, 130-139.	0.9	15
98	The Regression Smoother LOWESS: A Confidence Band That Allows Heteroscedasticity And Has Some Specified Simultaneous Probability Coverage. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2017, 16, 29-38.	0.2	15
99	Selecting the Best Population, Provided it is Better than a Standard: The Unequal Variance Case. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1984, 79, 887-891.	1.8	14
100	Testing whether independent treatment groups have equal medians. Psychometrika, 1991, 56, 381-395.	1.2	14
101	Estimation in the simple linear regression model when there is heteroscedasticity of unknown form. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 1996, 25, 1305-1324.	0.6	14
102	ANCOVA based on comparing a robust measure of location at empirically determined design points. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1997, 50, 93-103.	1.0	14
103	Support for religioâ€political aggression among teenaged boys in Gaza: Part II: Neuroendocrinological findings. Aggressive Behavior, 2011, 37, 121-132.	1.5	14
104	Angular Impulse and Balance Regulation During the Golf Swing. Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 2016, 32, 342-349.	0.3	14
105	A Table of Percentage Points of the Range of IndependenttVariables. Technometrics, 1983, 25, 201-204.	1.3	13
106	Comparing the Variances of Two Dependent Groups. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1990, 15, 237-247.	0.9	13
107	Comparing the Biweight Midvariances of Two Independent Groups. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series D (the Statistician), 1993, 42, 29.	0.2	13
108	Estimating Winsorized correlations in a univariate or bivariate random effects model. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1994, 47, 167-183.	1.0	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	A review of some recent developments in robust regression. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1996, 49, 253-274.	1.0	13
110	Tests for mean equality that do not require homogeneity of variances: do they really Work?. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2000, 29, 875-895.	0.6	13
111	Inferences based on multiple skipped correlations. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 2003, 44, 223-236.	0.7	13
112	Pairwise comparisons of dependent groups based on medians. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 2006, 50, 2933-2941.	0.7	13
113	Improved methods for making inferences about multiple skipped correlations. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2018, 88, 3116-3131.	0.7	13
114	Robust regression: Testing global hypotheses about the slopes when there is multicollinearity or heteroscedasticity. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2019, 72, 355-369.	1.0	13
115	Level Robust Methods Based on the Least Squares Regression Estimator. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2009, 8, 384-395.	0.2	13
116	Percentage points of a weighted Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1989, 18, 237-244.	0.6	12
117	Comparing the medians of dependent groups. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1992, 45, 151-162.	1.0	12
118	Comparing the slopes of two independent regression lines when there is complete heteroscedasticity. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1997, 50, 309-317.	1.0	12
119	COMPARING CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2002, 31, 49-59.	0.6	12
120	Comparing Trimmed or Least Squares Means of Two Independent Skewed Populations. Biometrical Journal, 2002, 44, 478.	0.6	12
121	Measuring and detecting associations: Methods based on robust regression estimators or smoothers that allow curvature. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2010, 63, 379-393.	1.0	12
122	Estimating Measures of Location and Scale. , 2012, , 43-101.		12
123	Multicolinearity and ridge regression: results on type I errors, power and heteroscedasticity. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2019, 46, 946-957.	0.6	12
124	Comparing Two Independent Groups Via a Quantile Generalization of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2012, 11, 296-302.	0.2	12
125	Improved simultaneous confidence intervals for linear contrasts and regression parameters. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1986, 15, 917-932.	0.6	11
126	A Bootstrap Modification of the Alexander-Govern ANOVA Method, Plus Comments on Comparing Trimmed Means. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1997, 57, 655-665.	1.2	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	The 'improved' brown and forsythe test for mean equality: some things can't be fixed. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1999, 28, 687-698.	0.6	11
128	<i>Post-hoc</i> analyses in multiple regression based on prediction error. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2008, 35, 9-17.	0.6	11
129	Some small-sample properties of some recently proposed multivariate outlier detection techniques. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2008, 78, 701-712.	0.7	11
130	Comparing Measures of Location: Some Small-Sample Results When Distributions Differ in Skewness and Kurtosis Under Heterogeneity of Variances. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2013, 42, 407-424.	0.6	11
131	Comparing robust regression lines associated with two dependent groups when there is heteroscedasticity. Computational Statistics, 2014, 29, 1175-1186.	0.8	11
132	Modification of impulse generation during piqué turns with increased rotational demands. Human Movement Science, 2016, 47, 220-230.	0.6	11
133	Altered Cortical Brain Structure and Increased Risk for Disease Seen Decades After Perinatal Exposure to Maternal Smoking: A Study of 9000 Adults in the UK Biobank. Cerebral Cortex, 2019, 29, 5217-5233.	1.6	11
134	Neurophysiological improvements in speech-in-noise task after short-term choir training in older adults. Aging, 2021, 13, 9468-9495.	1.4	11
135	Within Groups Multiple Comparisons Based On Robust Measures Of Location. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2002, 1, 281-287.	0.2	11
136	A step-down heteroscedastic multiple comparison procedure. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 1991, 20, 1087-1097.	0.6	10
137	Bootstrap inferences about the correlation and variances of paired data. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1991, 44, 379-382.	1.0	10
138	Comparing Medians: An Overview Plus New Results on Dealing With Heavy-Tailed Distributions. Journal of Experimental Education, 2005, 73, 249-263.	1.6	10
139	A comparative study of robust tests for spread: Asymmetric trimming strategies. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2008, 61, 235-253.	1.0	10
140	Hypothesis Testing, <i>p</i> Values, Confidence Intervals, Measures of Effect Size, and Bayesian Methods in Light of Modern Robust Techniques. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2017, 77, 673-689.	1.2	10
141	Robust regression: an inferential method for determining which independent variables are most important. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2018, 45, 100-111.	0.6	10
142	Generalized Linear Model Analyses for Treatment Group Equality when Data are Non-Normal. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2016, 15, 32-61.	0.2	10
143	Determining whether an experimental group is stochastically larger than a control. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1990, 43, 327-333.	1.0	9
144	Confidence intervals for two robust regression lines with a heteroscedastic error term. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1996, 49, 163-170.	1.0	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Tests of Independence and Zero Correlations Among P Random Variables. Biometrical Journal, 1997, 39, 183-193.	0.6	9
146	Regulation of Angular Impulse during Two Forward Translating Tasks. Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 2007, 23, 149-161.	0.3	9
147	Robust principal components: A generalized variance perspective. Behavior Research Methods, 2008, 40, 102-108.	2.3	9
148	Bootstrap methods for comparing independent regression slopes. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2012, 65, 282-301.	1.0	9
149	Avoid lost discoveries, because of violations of standard assumptions, byÂusing modern robust statistical methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2013, 66, 319-329.	2.4	9
150	Heteroscedastic Global Tests that the Regression Parameters for Two or More Independent Groups are Identical. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2015, 44, 773-786.	0.6	9
151	Robust Regression Estimators When There are Tied Values. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2013, 12, 20-34.	0.2	9
152	ON EMRICK'S "AN EVALUATION MODEL FOR MASTERY TESTING"*. Journal of Educational Measurement, 1977, 14, 215-218.	0.7	8
153	Computing confidence intervals for the slope of the biweight midregression and Winsorized regression lines. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1994, 47, 355-372.	1.0	8
154	A regression smoother for resistant measures of location and scale. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1995, 48, 189-204.	1.0	8
155	Rank-based tests for interactions in a two-way design when there are ties. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2000, 53, 145-153.	1.0	8
156	Depth and a Multivariate Generalization of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test. American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences, 2005, 25, 343-363.	0.6	8
157	Robust Multivariate Regression When There is Heteroscedasticity. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2008, 38, 1-13.	0.6	8
158	Cortisol diurnal patterns, associations with depressive symptoms, and the impact of intervention in older adults: Results using modern robust methods aimed at dealing with low power due to violations of standard assumptions. Hormones and Behavior, 2014, 65, 219-225.	1.0	8
159	Generation of Linear Impulse During the Takeoff of the Long Jump. Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 2019, 35, 52-60.	0.3	8
160	Twoâ€way ANOVA: Inferences about interactions based on robust measures of effect size. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2022, 75, 46-58.	1.0	8
161	ANCOVA: A Global Test Based on a Robust Measure of Location or Quantiles When There Is Curvature. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2016, 15, 12-31.	0.2	8
162	A HETEROSCEDASTIC METHOD FOR COMPARING REGRESSION LINES AT SPECIFIED DESIGN POINTS WHEN USING A ROBUST REGRESSION ESTIMATOR. Journal of Data Science, 2013, 11, 281-291.	0.5	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	An approximation of theK outN reliability of a test, and a scoring procedure for determining which items an examinee knows. Psychometrika, 1983, 48, 211-222.	1.2	7
164	A comparison of six smoothers when there are multiple predictors. Statistical Methodology, 2005, 2, 49-57.	0.5	7
165	Comparing robust generalized variances and comments on efficiency. Statistical Methodology, 2006, 3, 211-223.	0.5	7
166	Testing the Hypothesis of a Homoscedastic Error Term in Simple, Nonparametric Regression. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2006, 66, 85-92.	1.2	7
167	Some Results on Comparing the Quantiles of Dependent Groups. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2006, 35, 893-900.	0.6	7
168	Robust ANCOVA using a smoother with bootstrap bagging. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2009, 62, 427-437.	1.0	7
169	Comparing non-parametric regression lines via regression depth. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2010, 80, 379-387.	0.7	7
170	Comparing discrete distributions when the sample space is small. Universitas Psychologica, 2013, 12, .	0.6	7
171	Modern Robust Statistical Methods: Basics with Illustrations Using Psychobiological Data. Universal Journal of Psychology, 2013, 1, 21-31.	0.3	7
172	A lower bound to the probability of choosing the optimal passing score for a mastery test when there is an external criterion. Psychometrika, 1979, 44, 245-249.	1.2	6
173	Multiple comparisons based on a modified one-step M-estimator. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2003, 30, 1231-1241.	0.6	6
174	Comparing Robust Measures of Association Estimated Via a Smoother. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2009, 38, 1969-1979.	0.6	6
175	New Results on the Small-Sample Properties of Some Robust Univariate Estimators of Location. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2012, 41, 1544-1556.	0.6	6
176	A Foundation for Robust Methods. , 2012, , 23-42.		6
177	Comparing Two Groups. , 2012, , 137-213.		6
178	Robust Statistical Estimation. , 2013, , .		6
179	Data Analyses When Sample Sizes Are Small: Modern Advances for Dealing With Outliers, Skewed Distributions, and Heteroscedasticity. Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 2018, 34, 258-261.	0.3	6
180	Comparisons of Two Quantile Regression Smoothers. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2016, 15, 62-77.	0.2	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Analyzing the Distractors of Multiple-Choice Test Items or Partitioning Multinomial Cell Probabilities with Respect to a Standard. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1981, 41, 1051-1068.	1.2	5
182	Using Results on K Out of N System Reliability to Study and Characterize Tests'. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1982, 42, 153-165.	1.2	5
183	An improved method for comparing variances when distributions have non-identical shapes. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 1992, 13, 163-172.	0.7	5
184	Medical education research: the application of robust statistical methods. International Journal of Medical Education, 2013, 4, 93-95.	0.6	5
185	Global comparisons of medians and other quantiles in a one-way design when there are tied values. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2017, 46, 3010-3019.	0.6	5
186	Heteroscedastic Methods for Performing All Pairwise Comparisons of Regression Lines Associated With J Independent Groups. Methodology, 2015, 11, 110-115.	0.5	5
187	A comment on approximating the x2distribution in the equiprobable case. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1982, 11, 619-623.	0.6	4
188	Estimating the Validity of a Multiple-Choice Test Item Having k Correct Alternatives. Applied Psychological Measurement, 1985, 9, 311-316.	0.6	4
189	Some small-sample results on a bounded influence rank regression method. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 1995, 24, 881-888.	0.6	4
190	Pairwise Multiple Comparison Tests when Data are Nonnormal. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2002, 62, 420-434.	1.2	4
191	An affine invariant rank-based method for comparing dependent groups. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2005, 58, 33-42.	1.0	4
192	Estimating the conditional variance ofY, givenX, in a simple regression model. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2005, 32, 495-502.	0.6	4
193	Data reduction in classification: A simulated annealing based projection method. Statistical Analysis and Data Mining, 2010, 3, 319-331.	1.4	4
194	Nonparametric Regression When Estimating the Probability of Success: A Comparison of Four Extant Estimators. Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice, 2012, 6, 443-451.	0.3	4
195	One-Way and Higher Designs for Independent Groups. , 2012, , 291-377.		4
196	Whole-Body Balance Regulation during the Turn Phase of Pique and Pirouette Turns with Varied Rotational Demands. Medical Problems of Performing Artists, 2016, 31, 96-103.	0.2	4
197	Comparing J independent groups with a method based on trimmed means. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2018, 47, 852-863.	0.6	4
198	Selecting the Best Population, Provided it is Better than a Standard: The Unequal Variance Case. , 0, .		4

12

IF # ARTICLE CITATIONS Estimating Measures of Location and Scale., 2022, , 45-106. 199 Comparing Two Groups., 2022, , 153-251. 200 4 One-way and two-way anova: Inferences about a robust, heteroscedastic measure of effect size. Methodology, 2022, 18, 58-73. Some comments on selecting the best of several binomial populations or the bivariate normal 202 1.2 3 population having the largest correlation coefficient. Psychometrika, 1978, 43, 127-128. Measuring Mental Abilities with Latent State Models. American Journal of Mathematical and 0.6 Management Sciences, 1983, 3, 313-345. A Heteroscedastic ANOVA Procedure with Specified Power. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1987, 12, 204 0.9 3 271. Multiple Comparisons Among Dependent Groups Based on a Modified One-Step M-Estimator. 0.6 Biometrical Journal, 2002, 44, 466. A multivariate projection-type analogue of the Wilcoxon - Mann - Whitney test. British Journal of 206 1.0 3 Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2004, 57, 205-213. An Approach to Ancova that Allows Multiple Covariates, Nonlinearity, and Heteroscedasticity. 1.2 Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2005, 65, 442-450. Robust ANCOVA: Some Small-sample Results when there are Multiple Groups and Multiple Covariates. 208 0.6 3 Journal of Applied Statistics, 2007, 34, 353-364. A multivariate adaptive stochastic search method for dimensionality reduction in classification. 209 0.5 Annals of Applied Statistics, 2010, 4, . 210 More Regression Methods., 2012, , 533-629. 3 One-Way and Higher Designs for Independent Groups., 2017, , 319-415. Comparing Two Groups., 2017, , 145-234. 212 3 Comparing the variances or robust measures of scale of two dependent variables. Communications in 213 Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2020, , 1-11. Robust Within Groups Anova: Dealing With Missing Values. Mathematics and Statistics, 2013, 1, 1-4. 214 0.2 3 Inferences About the Skipped Correlation Coefficient: Dealing with Heteroscedasticity and 0.2 Non-Normality. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2015, 14, 2-8. An inferential method for determining which of two independent variables is most important when 216 0.2 3 there is curvature. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2018, 17, .

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
217	Robust ANCOVA, Curvature, and the Curse of Dimensionality. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2018, 17, .	0.2	3
218	On Comparing Treatment Effects to a Standard When the Variances Are Unknown and Unequal. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1985, 10, 45.	0.9	2
219	Models of Decisionmaking Processes for Multiple-Choice Test Items: An Analysis of Spatial Ability. Journal of Educational Measurement, 1988, 25, 125-136.	0.7	2
220	Some Multivariate Methods. , 2012, , 215-289.		2
221	Comparing Multiple Dependent Groups. , 2012, , 379-440.		2
222	Correlation and Tests of Independence. , 2012, , 441-469.		2
223	Correlation and Tests of Independence. , 2017, , 485-516.		2
224	Re: Hoffer etÂal.: Update on intraocular lens power calculation study protocols: the better way to design and report clinical trials (Ophthalmology. 2020; Jul 9 [Epub ahead of print]). Ophthalmology, 2021, 128, e20.	2.5	2
225	Hypothesize: Robust Statistics for Python. Journal of Open Source Software, 2020, 5, 2241.	2.0	2
226	Bivariate Analogs of the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney Test and the Patel–Hoel Method for Interactions. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2019, 18, 2-14.	0.2	2
227	Logistic Regression: An Inferential Method for Identifying the Best Predictors. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2018, 17, .	0.2	2
228	A Note on Inferences About the Probability of Success. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2019, 18, .	0.2	2
229	Comparing Multiple Dependent Groups. , 2022, , 467-539.		2
230	Some Multivariate Methods. , 2022, , 253-350.		2
231	Identifying Which of J Independent Binomial Distributions Has the Largest Probability of Success. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2019, 18, 2-9.	0.2	2
232	On Comparing Treatment Effects to a Standard when the Variances are Unknown and Unequal. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1985, 10, 45-54.	0.9	1
233	Percentage points of the product of two correlated t varlates. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1985, 14, 143-157.	0.6	1
234	An extended and slightly improved table of critical values for testing q linear contrasts in a repeated measures design. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1985, 14, 55-69.	0.6	1

1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
235	A Note on Decisionmaking Processes for Multiple-Choice Test Items. Journal of Educational Measurement, 1988, 25, 247-250.	0.7	1
236	An algorithm for comparing medians. Psychometrika, 1989, 54, 345-348.	1.2	1
237	Comparing Biweight Measures of Location in the Two-Sample Problem. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1990, 19, 1231-1245.	0.6	1
238	Comparisons with a control in two-way and one-way designs, and determining whether the most effective treatment has been selected with probability 1-î±. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1990, 43, 93-112.	1.0	1
239	Non-parametric analysis of covariance based on predicted medians. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1991, 44, 221-230.	1.0	1
240	Comparing robust regression lines corresponding to two independent groups. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 1992, 21, 1255-1266.	0.6	1
241	Tests of hypotheses about regression parameters when using a robust estimator. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 1999, 28, 2201-2212.	0.6	1
242	Testing Hypotheses about Regression Parameters, When the Error Term Is Heteroscedastic. Biometrical Journal, 1999, 41, 411-426.	0.6	1
243	Some Exploratory Methods for Studying Curvature in Robust Regression. Biometrical Journal, 2000, 42, 335-347.	0.6	1
244	Confidence intervals for prediction intervals. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2006, 33, 317-326.	0.6	1
245	Local measures of association: Estimating the derivative of the regression line. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2007, 60, 107-117.	1.0	1
246	Nonparametric Estimation. , 0, , 153-182.		1
247	Confidence Intervals in the One-Sample Case. , 2012, , 103-136.		1
248	Within groups analysis of covariance: multiple comparisons at specified design points using a robust measure location when there is curvature. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2015, 85, 3236-3246.	0.7	1
249	ANCOVA: a heteroscedastic global test when there is curvature and two covariates. Computational Statistics, 2016, 31, 1593-1606.	0.8	1
250	Bootstrap Approach To Compare the Slopes of Two Calibrations When Few Standards Are Available. Analytical Chemistry, 2016, 88, 2289-2295.	3.2	1
251	Linear regression: robust heteroscedastic confidence bands that have some specified simultaneous probability coverage. Journal of Applied Statistics, 2017, 44, 2564-2574.	0.6	1

A Foundation for Robust Methods. , 2017, , 25-43.

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	Some Multivariate Methods. , 2017, , 235-318.		1
254	Comparing Multiple Dependent Groups. , 2017, , 417-484.		1
255	More Regression Methods. , 2017, , 585-691.		1
256	ANCOVA. , 2017, , 693-740.		1
257	Multiple Comparisons Among Dependent Groups Based on a Modified One-Step M-Estimator. , 2002, 44, 466.		1
258	Understanding the Practical Advantages of Modern ANOVA Methods. , 0, .		1
259	Inferences Based on Robust Regression Estimators When There Is Multicolinearity. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 2018, 5, .	0.1	1
260	Robust ANCOVA: Confidence intervals that have some specified simultaneous probability coverage when there is curvature and two covariates. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2017, 16, 3-19.	0.2	1
261	Inferences About the Probability of Success, Given the Value of a Covariate, Using a Nonparametric Smoother. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2019, 18, .	0.2	1
262	Correlation and Tests of Independence. , 2022, , 541-575.		1
263	Regression When There Are Two Covariates: Some Practical Reasons for Considering Quantile Grids. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2019, 18, 2-19.	0.2	1
264	Regression: Determining Which of p Independent Variables Has the Largest or Smallest Correlation with the Dependent Variable, Plus Results on Ordering the Correlations Winsorized. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2019, 18, .	0.2	1
265	A note on computing a confidence interval for the mean. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 2024, 53, 164-166.	0.6	1
266	ANCOVA: An Approach Based on a Robust Heteroscedastic Measure of Effect Size. Sankhya B, 2022, 84, 831-845.	0.4	1
267	A Two-Stage Procedure for Selecting the Best of Several Binomial Populations. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1979, 39, 715-724.	1.2	0
268	The Single Administration Estimate of the Proportion of Agreement of a Proficiency Test Scored with a Latent Structure Model. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1981, 41, 389-400.	1.2	0
269	Unbiased Estimation in a Closed Sequential Testing Procedure. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1983, 43, 1061-1063.	1.2	Ο
270	Critical values for the correlated t-test when there are missing observations. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1986, 15, 709-714.	0.6	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
271	Confidence Intervals in the One-Sample Case. , 2017, , 107-144.		Ο
272	Inferences about which of J dependent groups has the largest robust measure of location. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2021, 74, 90-98.	1.0	0
273	Robust Modifications of the Levene and O'Brien Tests for Spread. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2012, 11, 54-68.	0.2	0
274	Gaining a Deeper and More Accurate Understanding of Data Via Modern Robust Statistical Techniques. Journal of Psychology & Clinical Psychiatry, 2014, 1, .	0.0	0
275	Estimating the Strength of an Association Based on a Robust Smoother. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2015, 14, 2-11.	0.2	0
276	Within Groups ANOVA When Using a Robust Multivariate Measure of Location. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2016, 15, 41-52.	0.2	0
277	Two-Way and Three-Way Designs. , 2017, , 387-420.		0
278	Basic Methods for Analyzing Categorical Data. , 2017, , 609-628.		0
279	Comparing Two Dependent Groups. , 2017, , 317-340.		0
280	Numerical and Graphical Summaries of Data. , 2017, , 15-53.		0
281	One-Way and Higher Designs for Independent Groups. , 2022, , 351-465.		0
282	ANCOVA. , 2022, , 773-826.		0
283	Robust Regression. , 2022, , 577-651.		0
284	More Regression Methods. , 2022, , 653-772.		0
285	A Foundation for Robust Methods. , 2022, , 25-44.		0
286	Inferences in the One-Sample Case. , 2022, , 107-151.		0