

Hans van Meijl

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3571336/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

66
papers

6,661
citations

109321

35
h-index

123424

61
g-index

69
all docs

69
docs citations

69
times ranked

7452
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Climate change effects on agriculture: Economic responses to biophysical shocks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2014, 111, 3274-3279.	7.1	568
2	Energy, land-use and greenhouse gas emissions trajectories under a green growth paradigm. Global Environmental Change, 2017, 42, 237-250.	7.8	523
3	Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. Nature, 2020, 585, 551-556.	27.8	413
4	The future of food demand: understanding differences in global economic models. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 45, 51-67.	3.9	357
5	Risk of increased food insecurity under stringent global climate change mitigation policy. Nature Climate Change, 2018, 8, 699-703.	18.8	319
6	A multi-scale, multi-model approach for analyzing the future dynamics of European land use. Annals of Regional Science, 2008, 42, 57-77.	2.1	314
7	The impact of different policy environments on agricultural land use in Europe. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 2006, 114, 21-38.	5.3	285
8	Land-use change trajectories up to 2050: insights from a global agro-economic model comparison. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 45, 69-84.	3.9	220
9	Climate change impacts on agriculture in 2050 under a range of plausible socioeconomic and emissions scenarios. Environmental Research Letters, 2015, 10, 085010.	5.2	216
10	Will EU biofuel policies affect global agricultural markets?. European Review of Agricultural Economics, 2008, 35, 117-141.	3.1	202
11	Exploring SSP land-use dynamics using the IMAGE model: Regional and gridded scenarios of land-use change and land-based climate change mitigation. Global Environmental Change, 2018, 48, 119-135.	7.8	202
12	Why do global long-term scenarios for agriculture differ? An overview of the AgMIP Global Economic Model Intercomparison. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 45, 3-20.	3.9	183
13	Agriculture and climate change in global scenarios: why don't the models agree. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 45, 85-101.	3.9	172
14	Hotspots of uncertainty in land-use and land-cover change projections: a global-scale model comparison. Global Change Biology, 2016, 22, 3967-3983.	9.5	171
15	Afforestation for climate change mitigation: Potentials, risks and trade-offs. Global Change Biology, 2020, 26, 1576-1591.	9.5	162
16	Indirect land use change: review of existing models and strategies for mitigation. Biofuels, 2012, 3, 87-100.	2.4	155
17	A multi-model assessment of food security implications of climate change mitigation. Nature Sustainability, 2019, 2, 386-396.	23.7	152
18	Development of the Circular Bioeconomy: Drivers and Indicators. Sustainability, 2021, 13, 413.	3.2	143

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Agricultural non-CO2 emission reduction potential in the context of the 1.5°C target. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2019, 9, 66-72.	18.8	139
20	Key determinants of global land-use projections. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2019, 10, 2166.	12.8	123
21	Trade liberalization in the Doha Development Round. <i>Economic Policy</i> , 2005, 20, 350-391.	2.3	109
22	Biotechnology boosts to crop productivity in China: trade and welfare implications. <i>Journal of Development Economics</i> , 2004, 75, 27-54.	4.5	103
23	Assessing uncertainties in land cover projections. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 2017, 23, 767-781.	9.5	103
24	Comparing impacts of climate change and mitigation on global agriculture by 2050. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2018, 13, 064021.	5.2	93
25	Impact of EU biofuel policies on world agricultural production and land use. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2011, 35, 2385-2390.	5.7	92
26	Economic and ecological consequences of four European land use scenarios. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2007, 24, 562-575.	5.6	89
27	Impacts of increased bioenergy demand on global food markets: an AgMIP economic model intercomparison. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 103-116.	3.9	85
28	Global models applied to agricultural and trade policies: a review and assessment. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2001, 26, 149-172.	3.9	68
29	Global models applied to agricultural and trade policies: a review and assessment. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2001, 26, 149-172.	3.9	68
30	Comparing supply-side specifications in models of global agriculture and the food system. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 21-35.	3.9	68
31	The impact of the rebound effect of the use of first generation biofuels in the EU on greenhouse gas emissions: A critical review. <i>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</i> , 2014, 38, 393-403.	16.4	64
32	Land-based climate change mitigation measures can affect agricultural markets and food security. <i>Nature Food</i> , 2022, 3, 110-121.	14.0	61
33	Model collaboration for the improved assessment of biomass supply, demand, and impacts. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2015, 7, 422-437.	5.6	54
34	Making the Paris agreement climate targets consistent with food security objectives. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2019, 23, 93-103.	8.1	46
35	Measuring Intersectoral Spillovers: French Evidence. <i>Economic Systems Research</i> , 1997, 9, 25-46.	2.7	44
36	The Agenda 2000 CAP reform, world prices and GATT-WTO export constraints. <i>European Review of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2002, 29, 445-470.	3.1	37

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Snakes and ladders: World development pathwaysâ€™ synergies and trade-offs through the lens of the Sustainable Development Goals. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2020, 267, 122147.	9.3	36
38	Metrics, models and foresight for European sustainable food and nutrition security: The vision of the SUSFANS project. <i>Agricultural Systems</i> , 2018, 163, 45-57.	6.1	35
39	Modelling alternative futures of global food security: Insights from FOODSECURE. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2020, 25, 100358.	8.1	35
40	Estimating the opportunity costs of reducing carbon dioxide emissions via avoided deforestation, using integrated assessment modelling. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2014, 41, 45-60.	5.6	28
41	Modernisation in agriculture: what makes a farmer adopt an innovation?. , 2003, 2, 328.		22
42	Global Impacts of European Agricultural and Biofuel Policies. <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 2011, 16, .	2.3	21
43	The Good, the Bad and the Uncertain: Bioenergy Use in the European Union. <i>Energies</i> , 2018, 11, 2703.	3.1	21
44	Short- and long-term warming effects of methane may affect the cost-effectiveness of mitigation policies and benefits of low-meat diets. <i>Nature Food</i> , 2021, 2, 970-980.	14.0	21
45	International diffusion of gains from biotechnology and the European Union's Common Agricultural Policy. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2004, 31, 307-316.	3.9	20
46	The application of trade and growth theories to agriculture: a survey. <i>Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics</i> , 2000, 44, 505-542.	2.6	17
47	How food secure are the green, rocky and middle roads: food security effects in different world development paths. <i>Environmental Research Communications</i> , 2020, 2, 031002.	2.3	17
48	Trade, technology spillovers, and food production in China. <i>Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv</i> , 1998, 134, 423-449.	0.8	15
49	RED versus REDD: Biofuel policy versus forest conservation. <i>Economic Modelling</i> , 2016, 52, 366-374.	3.8	15
50	Levelling the playing field for EU biomass usage. <i>Economic Systems Research</i> , 2019, 31, 158-177.	2.7	15
51	Endogenous International Technology Spillovers and Biased Technical Change in Agriculture. <i>Economic Systems Research</i> , 1999, 11, 31-48.	2.7	14
52	REDD policy impacts on the agri-food sector and food security. <i>Food Policy</i> , 2017, 66, 73-87.	6.0	14
53	Innovation and Farm Performance: The Case of Dutch Agriculture. , 2002, , 73-85.		13
54	Cross sector land use modelling framework. , 2008, , 159-180.		12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Measuring the Impact of Direct and Indirect R&D on the Productivity Growth of Industries: Using the Yale Technology Concordance. <i>Economic Systems Research</i> , 1997, 9, 205-211.	2.7	11
56	Labor supply assumptions - A missing link in food security projections. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2020, 25, 100328.	8.1	11
57	Are scenario projections overly optimistic about future yield progress?. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2020, 64, 102120.	7.8	11
58	International diffusion of gains from biotechnology and the European Union's Common Agricultural Policy. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2004, 31, 307-316.	3.9	8
59	The impact of R&D on factor-augmenting technical change“ an empirical assessment at the sector level. <i>Economic Systems Research</i> , 2017, 29, 385-417.	2.7	6
60	Assessing the Impact of Agricultural R&D Investments on Long-Term Projections of Food Security. <i>Frontiers of Economics and Globalization</i> , 2017, , 1-17.	0.3	6
61	How much multilateralism do we need? Effectiveness of unilateral agricultural mitigation efforts in the global context. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2021, 16, 104038.	5.2	4
62	The Effects of Bioenergy Production on Food Security. , 2014, , 95-109.		3
63	Impact of the EU Biofuels Directive on the EU Food Supply Chain. <i>Journal of Food Products Marketing</i> , 2011, 17, 373-385.	3.3	2
64	Reply to: An appeal to cost undermines food security risks of delayed mitigation. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2020, 10, 420-421.	18.8	2
65	RED vs. REDD: Biofuel Policy vs. Forest Conservation. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2013, , .	0.4	1
66	Differences in farm performance and adjustment to change: a perspective from The Netherlands.. , 2006, , 201-218.		1