Chantelle Garritty

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3452614/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

25 papers 16,604 citations

15 h-index 25 g-index

26 all docs

26 docs citations

times ranked

26

18628 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Defining Rapid Reviews: a systematic scoping review and thematic analysis of definitions and defining characteristics of rapid reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 129, 74-85.	5.0	133
2	Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 130, 13-22.	5.0	488
3	Elderberry for prevention and treatment of viral respiratory illnesses: a systematic review. BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies, 2021, 21, 112.	2.7	34
4	Models of provider care in long-term care: A rapid scoping review. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0254527.	2.5	7
5	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. HRB Open Research, 2021, 4, 80.	0.6	6
6	Musculoskeletal Injuries Among Females in the Military: A Scoping Review. Military Medicine, 2021, 186, e903-e931.	0.8	10
7	Assessing how information is packaged in rapid reviews for policy-makers and other stakeholders: a cross-sectional study. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2020, 18, 112.	2.8	8
8	Application of exome sequencing for prenatal diagnosis: a rapid scoping review. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 1925-1934.	2.4	25
9	Few evaluative studies exist examining rapid review methodology across stages of conduct: a systematic scoping review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 131-140.	5.0	21
10	Assessing the format and content of journal published and non-journal published rapid review reports: A comparative study. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0238025.	2.5	2
11	Effects of medical and non-medical cannabis use in older adults: protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034301.	1.9	7
12	A rapid research needs appraisal methodology to identify evidence gaps to inform clinical research priorities in response to outbreaks—results from the Lassa fever pilot. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 107.	5.5	10
13	Potential benefits and harms of offering ultrasound surveillance to men aged 65Âyears and older with a subaneurysmal (2.5-2.9Âcm) infrarenal aorta. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2018, 67, 1298-1307.	1.1	10
14	PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 467-473.	3.9	15,209
15	The prevalence of patient engagement in published trials: a systematic review. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2018, 4, 17.	2.9	101
16	Rapid reviews for evidence synthesis. Medicina ClÃnica (English Edition), 2017, 148, 424-428.	0.2	2
17	Revisiones rápidas para la sÃntesis de la evidencia. Medicina ClÃnica, 2017, 148, 424-428.	0.6	3
18	Identifying approaches for assessing methodological and reporting quality of systematic reviews: a descriptive study. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 117.	5. 3	41

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Developing WHO rapid advice guidelines in the setting of a public health emergency. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 82, 47-60.	5.0	46
20	Systematic review adherence to methodological or reporting quality. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 131.	5.3	180
21	Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group to play a leading role in guiding the production of informed high-quality, timely research evidence syntheses. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 184.	5.3	43
22	Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0140290.	2.5	47
23	Rapid review programs to support health care and policy decision making: a descriptive analysis of processes and methods. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 26.	5. 3	95
24	Updating Systematic Reviews: An International Survey. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e9914.	2.5	51
25	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. HRB Open Research, 0, 4, 80.	0.6	0