

# Chantelle Garritty

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3452614/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

25  
papers

16,604  
citations

566801

15  
h-index

580395

25  
g-index

26  
all docs

26  
docs citations

26  
times ranked

18628  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 169, 467-473.	2.0	15,209
2	Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 130, 13-22.	2.4	488
3	Systematic review adherence to methodological or reporting quality. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 131.	2.5	180
4	Defining Rapid Reviews: a systematic scoping review and thematic analysis of definitions and defining characteristics of rapid reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 129, 74-85.	2.4	133
5	The prevalence of patient engagement in published trials: a systematic review. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2018, 4, 17.	1.1	101
6	Rapid review programs to support health care and policy decision making: a descriptive analysis of processes and methods. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2015, 4, 26.	2.5	95
7	Updating Systematic Reviews: An International Survey. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2010, 5, e9914.	1.1	51
8	Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0140290.	1.1	47
9	Developing WHO rapid advice guidelines in the setting of a public health emergency. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 82, 47-60.	2.4	46
10	Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group to play a leading role in guiding the production of informed high-quality, timely research evidence syntheses. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016, 5, 184.	2.5	43
11	Identifying approaches for assessing methodological and reporting quality of systematic reviews: a descriptive study. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 117.	2.5	41
12	Elderberry for prevention and treatment of viral respiratory illnesses: a systematic review. <i>BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies</i> , 2021, 21, 112.	1.2	34
13	Application of exome sequencing for prenatal diagnosis: a rapid scoping review. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2020, 22, 1925-1934.	1.1	25
14	Few evaluative studies exist examining rapid review methodology across stages of conduct: a systematic scoping review. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 126, 131-140.	2.4	21
15	Potential benefits and harms of offering ultrasound surveillance to men aged 65 years and older with a subaneurysmal (2.5-2.9 cm) infrarenal aorta. <i>Journal of Vascular Surgery</i> , 2018, 67, 1298-1307.	0.6	10
16	A rapid research needs appraisal methodology to identify evidence gaps to inform clinical research priorities in response to outbreaks—results from the Lassa fever pilot. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2019, 17, 107.	2.3	10
17	Musculoskeletal Injuries Among Females in the Military: A Scoping Review. <i>Military Medicine</i> , 2021, 186, e903-e931.	0.4	10
18	Assessing how information is packaged in rapid reviews for policy-makers and other stakeholders: a cross-sectional study. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2020, 18, 112.	1.1	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Effects of medical and non-medical cannabis use in older adults: protocol for a scoping review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e034301.	0.8	7
20	Models of provider care in long-term care: A rapid scoping review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0254527.	1.1	7
21	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2021, 4, 80.	0.3	6
22	Revisiões rápidas para a síntese de la evidencia. <i>Medicina Clínica</i> , 2017, 148, 424-428.	0.3	3
23	Rapid reviews for evidence synthesis. <i>Medicina Clínica (English Edition)</i> , 2017, 148, 424-428.	0.1	2
24	Assessing the format and content of journal published and non-journal published rapid review reports: A comparative study. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0238025.	1.1	2
25	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 4, 80.	0.3	0