

Atte Moilanen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3445941/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

139
papers

13,460
citations

19657

61
h-index

24258

110
g-index

141
all docs

141
docs citations

141
times ranked

11766
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Novel methods for spatial prioritization with applications in conservation, land use planning and ecological impact avoidance. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2022, 13, 1062-1072.	5.2	25
2	How threats inform conservation planning—A systematic review protocol. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2022, 17, e0269107.	2.5	3
3	Three ways to deliver a net positive impact with biodiversity offsets. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2021, 35, 197-205.	4.7	22
4	Developing a spatially explicit modelling and evaluation framework for integrated carbon sequestration and biodiversity conservation: Application in southern Finland. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2021, 775, 145847.	8.0	18
5	Species composition and turnover models provide robust approximations of biodiversity in marine conservation planning. <i>Ocean and Coastal Management</i> , 2021, 212, 105855.	4.4	3
6	Identification of ecological networks for land-use planning with spatial conservation prioritization. <i>Landscape Ecology</i> , 2020, 35, 353-371.	4.2	71
7	Analyzing fair access to urban green areas using multimodal accessibility measures and spatial prioritization. <i>Applied Geography</i> , 2020, 124, 102320.	3.7	30
8	Quantifying biodiversity trade-offs in the face of widespread renewable and unconventional energy development. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2020, 10, 7603.	3.3	28
9	Implementing Green Infrastructure for the Spatial Planning of Peri-Urban Areas in Geneva, Switzerland. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2020, 12, 1387.	3.2	31
10	Importance of complementary approaches for efficient vulture conservation: reply to Efrat et al.. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2020, 34, 1308-1310.	4.7	5
11	A practical method for evaluating spatial biodiversity offset scenarios based on spatial conservation prioritization outputs. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2020, 11, 794-803.	5.2	11
12	Spatial prioritization for urban Biodiversity Quality using biotope maps and expert opinion. <i>Urban Forestry and Urban Greening</i> , 2020, 49, 126586.	5.3	22
13	Marine connectivity in spatial conservation planning: analogues from the terrestrial realm. <i>Landscape Ecology</i> , 2020, 35, 1021-1034.	4.2	22
14	Spatial conservation prioritization for the East Asian islands: A balanced representation of multitaxon biogeography in a protected area network. <i>Diversity and Distributions</i> , 2019, 25, 414-429.	4.1	26
15	Using key biodiversity areas to guide effective expansion of the global protected area network. <i>Global Ecology and Conservation</i> , 2019, 20, e00768.	2.1	39
16	Identifying global centers of unsustainable commercial harvesting of species. <i>Science Advances</i> , 2019, 5, eaau2879.	10.3	61
17	Priority areas for conservation of Old World vultures. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2019, 33, 1056-1065.	4.7	62
18	Global synthesis of conservation studies reveals the importance of small habitat patches for biodiversity. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2019, 116, 909-914.	7.1	312

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Social Media Data Can Be Used to Understand Touristsâ€™ Preferences for Natureâ€Based Experiences in Protected Areas. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2018, 11, e12343.	5.7	246
20	Exposing ecological and economic costs of the researchâ€implementation gap and compromises in decision making. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2018, 32, 9-17.	4.7	12
21	Spatial characteristics of species distributions as drivers in conservation prioritization. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2018, 9, 1121-1132.	5.2	46
22	Evaluation, Gap Analysis, and Potential Expansion of the Finnish Marine Protected Area Network. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i> , 2018, 5, .	2.5	44
23	Fifteen operationally important decisions in the planning of biodiversity offsets. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2018, 227, 112-120.	4.1	57
24	Not all data are equal: Influence of data type and amount in spatial conservation prioritisation. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2018, 9, 2249-2261.	5.2	52
25	New performance guarantees for the greedy maximization of submodular set functions. <i>Optimization Letters</i> , 2017, 11, 655-665.	1.6	11
26	Species richness as criterion for global conservation area placement leads to large losses in coverage of biodiversity. <i>Diversity and Distributions</i> , 2017, 23, 715-726.	4.1	68
27	Ecosystem services and connectivity in spatial conservation prioritization. <i>Landscape Ecology</i> , 2017, 32, 5-14.	4.2	79
28	Integrating biodiversity, ecosystem services and socio-economic data to identify priority areas and landowners for conservation actions at the national scale. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2017, 206, 56-64.	4.1	62
29	Use of demand for and spatial flow of ecosystem services to identify priority areas. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2017, 31, 860-871.	4.7	87
30	Examining current or future trade-offs for biodiversity conservation in north-eastern Australia. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0172230.	2.5	10
31	Threats from urban expansion, agricultural transformation and forest loss on global conservation priority areas. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0188397.	2.5	24
32	Global priorities for national carnivore conservation under land use change. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2016, 6, 23814.	3.3	169
33	Voluntary non-monetary approaches for implementing conservation. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2016, 197, 209-214.	4.1	28
34	Synergies and trade-offs between renewable energy expansion and biodiversity conservation â€ a cross-national multifactor analysis. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2016, 8, 1191-1200.	5.6	28
35	Matches and mismatches between national and EU-wide priorities: Examining the Natura 2000 network in vertebrate species conservation. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2016, 198, 193-201.	4.1	94
36	Synergistic effects of climate and land-use change on representation of African bats in priority conservation areas. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 2016, 69, 276-283.	6.3	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Coverage of vertebrate species distributions by Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas and Special Protection Areas in the European Union. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2016, 202, 1-9.	4.1	23
38	Optimal conservation resource allocation under variable economic and ecological time discounting rates in boreal forest. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2016, 180, 366-374.	7.8	14
39	FORUM: Indirect leakage leads to a failure of avoided loss biodiversity offsetting. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2016, 53, 106-111.	4.0	27
40	Integrating plant- and animal-based perspectives for more effective restoration of biodiversity. <i>Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment</i> , 2016, 14, 37-45.	4.0	126
41	Global change synergies and trade-offs between renewable energy and biodiversity. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2016, 8, 941-951.	5.6	61
42	Green Infrastructure Design Based on Spatial Conservation Prioritization and Modeling of Biodiversity Features and Ecosystem Services. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2016, 57, 251-256.	2.7	88
43	Green Infrastructure Design Based on Spatial Conservation Prioritization and Modeling of Biodiversity Features and Ecosystem Services. , 2016, 57, 251.		1
44	Conceptual and operational perspectives on ecosystem restoration options in the European Union and elsewhere. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2015, 52, 816-819.	4.0	9
45	Complementarity and Area-Efficiency in the Prioritization of the Global Protected Area Network. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0145231.	2.5	12
46	Identification of policies for a sustainable legal trade in rhinoceros horn based on population projection and socioeconomic models. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2015, 29, 545-555.	4.7	73
47	A method for calculating minimum biodiversity offset multipliers accounting for time discounting, additionality and permanence. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2014, 5, 1247-1254.	5.2	61
48	Improving the surrogacy effectiveness of charismatic megafauna with well-surveyed taxonomic groups and habitat types. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2014, 51, 281-288.	4.0	59
49	Structured analysis of conservation strategies applied to temporary conservation. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2014, 170, 188-197.	4.1	23
50	A method for building corridors in spatial conservation prioritization. <i>Landscape Ecology</i> , 2014, 29, 789-801.	4.2	39
51	Global protected area expansion is compromised by projected land-use and parochialism. <i>Nature</i> , 2014, 516, 383-386.	27.8	312
52	Reconciling biodiversity and carbon conservation. <i>Ecology Letters</i> , 2013, 16, 39-47.	6.4	96
53	Methods and workflow for spatial conservation prioritization using Zonation. <i>Environmental Modelling and Software</i> , 2013, 47, 128-137.	4.5	309
54	Use of Inverse Spatial Conservation Prioritization to Avoid Biological Diversity Loss Outside Protected Areas. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2013, 27, 1294-1303.	4.7	47

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Edge artefacts and lost performance in national versus continental conservation priority areas. <i>Diversity and Distributions</i> , 2013, 19, 171-183.	4.1	44
56	Core concepts of spatial prioritisation in systematic conservation planning. <i>Biological Reviews</i> , 2013, 88, 443-464.	10.4	319
57	Conservation Businesses and Conservation Planning in a Biological Diversity Hotspot. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2013, 27, 808-820.	4.7	54
58	Population connectivity: recent advances and new perspectives. <i>Landscape Ecology</i> , 2013, 28, 165-185.	4.2	262
59	Approximating the dispersal of multi-species ecological entities such as communities, ecosystems or habitat types. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 2013, 259, 24-29.	2.5	6
60	Identification of top priority areas and management landscapes from a national Natura 2000 network. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2013, 27, 11-20.	4.9	41
61	RobOff: software for analysis of alternative land use options and conservation actions. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2013, 4, 426-432.	5.2	16
62	Planning impact avoidance and biodiversity offsetting using software for spatial conservation prioritisation. <i>Wildlife Research</i> , 2013, 40, 153.	1.4	28
63	Treatment of uncertainty in conservation under climate change. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2013, 6, 73-85.	5.7	78
64	Conservation Planning with Uncertain Climate Change Projections. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e53315.	2.5	127
65	Genetic diversity in widespread species is not congruent with species richness in alpine plant communities. <i>Ecology Letters</i> , 2012, 15, 1439-1448.	6.4	135
66	Faustian bargains? Restoration realities in the context of biodiversity offset policies. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2012, 155, 141-148.	4.1	394
67	Effects of Connectivity and Spatial Resolution of Analyses on Conservation Prioritization across Large Extents. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2012, 26, 294-304.	4.7	55
68	Integrating environmental gap analysis with spatial conservation prioritization: A case study from Victoria, Australia. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2012, 112, 240-251.	7.8	22
69	Empirical evidence for reduced protection levels across biodiversity features from target-based conservation planning. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2012, 153, 187-191.	4.1	38
70	Methods for allocation of habitat management, maintenance, restoration and offsetting, when conservation actions have uncertain consequences. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2012, 153, 41-50.	4.1	31
71	Defining spatial priorities for capercaillie <i>Tetrao urogallus</i> lekking landscape conservation in south-central Finland. <i>Wildlife Biology</i> , 2012, 18, 337-353.	1.4	15
72	Use of many low-level conservation targets reduces high-level conservation performance. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 2012, 247, 40-47.	2.5	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Transparent planning for biodiversity and development in the urban fringe. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> , 2012, 108, 140-149.	7.5	52
74	Spatial prioritization of conservation management. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2011, 4, 383-393.	5.7	105
75	Balancing alternative land uses in conservation prioritization. , 2011, 21, 1419-1426.		183
76	Setting conservation targets under budgetary constraints. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2011, 144, 650-653.	4.1	34
77	Administrative regions in conservation: Balancing local priorities with regional to global preferences in spatial planning. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2011, 144, 1719-1725.	4.1	54
78	Habitat area, quality and connectivity: striking the balance for efficient conservation. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2011, 48, 148-152.	4.0	241
79	On the limitations of graph-theoretic connectivity in spatial ecology and conservation. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2011, 48, 1543-1547.	4.0	119
80	Optimizing resiliency of reserve networks to climate change: multispecies conservation planning in the Pacific Northwest, USA. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 2010, 16, 891-904.	9.5	181
81	Securing the Conservation of Biodiversity across Administrative Levels and Spatial, Temporal, and Ecological Scales – Research Needs and Approaches of the <i>SCALES</i> Project. <i>Gaia</i> , 2010, 19, 187-193.	0.7	54
82	Incorporating consumer-resource spatial interactions in reserve design. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 2009, 220, 725-733.	2.5	71
83	Surrogacy and persistence in reserve selection: landscape prioritization for multiple taxa in Britain. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2009, 46, 82-91.	4.0	33
84	Climate change, connectivity and conservation decision making: back to basics. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2009, 46, 964-969.	4.0	360
85	Delaying conservation actions for improved knowledge: how long should we wait?. <i>Ecology Letters</i> , 2009, 12, 293-301.	6.4	157
86	How Much Compensation is Enough? A Framework for Incorporating Uncertainty and Time Discounting When Calculating Offset Ratios for Impacted Habitat. <i>Restoration Ecology</i> , 2009, 17, 470-478.	2.9	198
87	Metapopulation responses to patch connectivity and quality are masked by successional habitat dynamics. <i>Ecology</i> , 2009, 90, 1608-1619.	3.2	70
88	Assessing replacement cost of conservation areas: How does habitat loss influence priorities?. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2009, 142, 575-585.	4.1	43
89	Managing successional species: Modelling the dependence of heath fritillary populations on the spatial distribution of woodland management. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2009, 142, 2743-2751.	4.1	29
90	Applying spatial conservation prioritization software and high-resolution GIS data to a national-scale study in forest conservation. <i>Forest Ecology and Management</i> , 2009, 258, 2439-2449.	3.2	82

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Integrating conservation planning and landuse planning in urban landscapes. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> , 2009, 91, 183-194.	7.5	151
92	Generalized Complementarity and Mapping of the Concepts of Systematic Conservation Planning. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2008, 22, 1655-1658.	4.7	41
93	A method for spatial freshwater conservation prioritization. <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , 2008, 53, 577-592.	2.4	184
94	A successful community-level strategy for conservation prioritization. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2008, 45, 1436-1445.	4.0	82
95	Two paths to a suboptimal solution – once more about optimality in reserve selection. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2008, 141, 1919-1923.	4.1	30
96	Novel methods for the design and evaluation of marine protected areas in offshore waters. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2008, 1, 91-102.	5.7	171
97	Diminishing return on investment for biodiversity data in conservation planning. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2008, 1, 190-198.	5.7	128
98	Where and how to manage: Optimal selection of conservation actions for multiple species.. <i>Biodiversity Informatics</i> , 2008, 5, .	3.0	10
99	Landscape Zonation, benefit functions and target-based planning: Unifying reserve selection strategies. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2007, 134, 571-579.	4.1	369
100	Accounting for habitat loss rates in sequential reserve selection: Simple methods for large problems. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2007, 136, 470-482.	4.1	28
101	Area-Based Refinement for Selection of Reserve Sites with the Benefit-Function Approach. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2007, 21, 527-533.	4.7	17
102	The Boundary-Quality Penalty: a Quantitative Method for Approximating Species Responses to Fragmentation in Reserve Selection. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2007, 21, 355-364.	4.7	76
103	Uncertainty analysis favours selection of spatially aggregated reserve networks. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2006, 129, 427-434.	4.1	91
104	Replacement cost: A practical measure of site value for cost-effective reserve planning. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2006, 132, 336-342.	4.1	72
105	Connectivity and metapopulation dynamics in highly fragmented landscapes. , 2006, , 44-71.		21
106	Uncertainty Analysis for Regional-Scale Reserve Selection. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2006, 20, 1688-1697.	4.7	78
107	Connectivity, Probabilities and Persistence: Comparing Reserve Selection Strategies. <i>Biodiversity and Conservation</i> , 2006, 15, 899-919.	2.6	61
108	Kernel-based home range method for data with irregular sampling intervals. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 2006, 194, 405-413.	2.5	65

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Planning for robust reserve networks using uncertainty analysis. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 2006, 199, 115-124.	2.5	95
110	Variance and Uncertainty in the Expected Number of Occurrences in Reserve Selection. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2005, 19, 1663-1667.	4.7	6
111	The Value of Biodiversity in Reserve Selection: Representation, Species Weighting, and Benefit Functions. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2005, 19, 2009-2014.	4.7	150
112	Methods for reserve selection: Interior point search. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2005, 124, 485-492.	4.1	22
113	Reserve Selection Using Nonlinear Species Distribution Models. <i>American Naturalist</i> , 2005, 165, 695-706.	2.1	53
114	Prioritizing multiple-use landscapes for conservation: methods for large multi-species planning problems. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences</i> , 2005, 272, 1885-1891.	2.6	465
115	Metapopulation Dynamics and Reserve Network Design. , 2004, , 541-564.		13
116	matesoft: a program for deducing parental genotypes and estimating mating system statistics in haplodiploid species. <i>Molecular Ecology Notes</i> , 2004, 4, 795-797.	1.7	70
117	Combining probabilities of occurrence with spatial reserve design. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2004, 41, 252-262.	4.0	175
118	SPOMSIM: software for stochastic patch occupancy models of metapopulation dynamics. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 2004, 179, 533-550.	2.5	113
119	META-X: Generic Software for Metapopulation Viability Analysis. <i>Biodiversity and Conservation</i> , 2004, 13, 165-188.	2.6	35
120	MIGRATION AND SURVIVAL OF PARNASSIUS SMINTHEUS: DETECTING EFFECTS OF HABITAT FOR INDIVIDUAL BUTTERFLIES. , 2004, 14, 1526-1534.		56
121	Site-Selection Algorithms and Habitat Loss. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2003, 17, 1402-1413.	4.7	103
122	SINGLE-SPECIES DYNAMIC SITE SELECTION. , 2002, 12, 913-926.		98
123	Implications of empirical data quality to metapopulation model parameter estimation and application. <i>Oikos</i> , 2002, 96, 516-530.	2.7	156
124	SIMPLE CONNECTIVITY MEASURES IN SPATIAL ECOLOGY. <i>Ecology</i> , 2002, 83, 1131-1145.	3.2	657
125	Design of reserve networks and the persistence of biodiversity. <i>Trends in Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2001, 16, 242-248.	8.7	386
126	Metapopulation dynamics of the bog fritillary butterfly: movements between habitat patches. <i>Oikos</i> , 2001, 92, 491-500.	2.7	103

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	On the use of connectivity measures in spatial ecology. <i>Oikos</i> , 2001, 95, 147-151.	2.7	236
128	Simulated Evolutionary Optimization and Local Search: Introduction and Application to Tree Search. <i>Cladistics</i> , 2001, 17, S12-S25.	3.3	15
129	Simulated Evolutionary Optimization and Local Search: Introduction and Application to Tree Search. <i>Cladistics</i> , 2001, 17, S12-S25.	3.3	10
130	The equilibrium assumption in estimating the parameters of metapopulation models. <i>Journal of Animal Ecology</i> , 2000, 69, 143-153.	2.8	62
131	ESTIMATING THE PARAMETERS OF SURVIVAL AND MIGRATION OF INDIVIDUALS IN METAPOPOPULATIONS. <i>Ecology</i> , 2000, 81, 239-251.	3.2	263
132	PATCH OCCUPANCY MODELS OF METAPOPOPULATION DYNAMICS: EFFICIENT PARAMETER ESTIMATION USING IMPLICIT STATISTICAL INFERENCE. <i>Ecology</i> , 1999, 80, 1031-1043.	3.2	155
133	Searching for Most Parsimonious Trees with Simulated Evolutionary Optimization. <i>Cladistics</i> , 1999, 15, 39-50.	3.3	50
134	Searching for Most Parsimonious Trees with Simulated Evolutionary Optimization. <i>Cladistics</i> , 1999, 15, 39-50.	3.3	17
135	METAPOPOPULATION DYNAMICS: EFFECTS OF HABITAT QUALITY AND LANDSCAPE STRUCTURE. <i>Ecology</i> , 1998, 79, 2503-2515.	3.2	386
136	Long-term Dynamics in a Metapopulation of the American Pika. <i>American Naturalist</i> , 1998, 152, 530-542.	2.1	171
137	Minimum Viable Metapopulation Size. <i>American Naturalist</i> , 1996, 147, 527-541.	2.1	303
138	The Quantitative Incidence Function Model and Persistence of an Endangered Butterfly Metapopulation. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 1996, 10, 578-590.	4.7	184
139	Habitat Destruction and Coexistence of Competitors in a Spatially Realistic Metapopulation Model. <i>Journal of Animal Ecology</i> , 1995, 64, 141.	2.8	92