
Hasan R Mohammad

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3423444/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Long-term outcomes of over 8,000 medial Oxford Phase 3 Unicompartmental Knees—a systematic review. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 101-107.	3.3	117
2	Comparison of the 10-year outcomes of cemented and cementless unicompartmental knee replacements: data from the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2020, 91, 76-81.	3.3	47
3	Ten-year clinical and radiographic results of 1000 cementless Oxford unicompartmental knee replacements. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2020, 28, 1479-1487.	4.2	46
4	The Effect of Surgeon Caseload on the Relative Revision Rate of Cemented and Cementless Unicompartmental Knee Replacements. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A, 2020, 102, 644-653.	3.0	40
5	Single stage treatment of diabetic calcaneal osteomyelitis with an absorbable gentamicin-loaded calcium sulphate/hydroxyapatite biocomposite: The Silo technique. Foot, 2018, 34, 40-44.	1.1	37
6	Age, sex and the association between skin test responses and IgE titres with asthma. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, 2016, 27, 313-319.	2.6	34
7	Perioperative adjuvant corticosteroids for postoperative analgesia in knee arthroplasty. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 71-76.	3.3	25
8	Oxford domed lateral unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Bone and Joint Journal, 2020, 102-B, 1033-1040.	4.4	24
9	How long does a shoulder replacement last? A systematic review and meta-analysis of case series and national registry reports with more than 10 years of follow-up. Lancet Rheumatology, The, 2020, 2, e539-e548.	3.9	21
10	New surgical instrumentation reduces the revision rate of unicompartmental knee replacement: A propensity score matched comparison of 15,906 knees from the National Joint Registry. Knee, 2020, 27, 993-1002.	1.6	17
11	Cementless unicompartmental knee replacement achieves better ten-year clinical outcomes than cemented: a systematic review. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2021, 29, 3229-3245.	4.2	14
12	Augmented debridement for implant related chronic osteomyelitis with an absorbable, gentamycin loaded calcium sulfate/hydroxyapatite biocomposite. Journal of Orthopaedics, 2020, 17, 173-179.	1.3	12
13	Instruments to reduce the risk of tibial fracture following cementless unicompartmental knee replacement. Knee, 2018, 25, 988-996.	1.6	10
14	A Matched Comparison of the Long-Term Outcomes of Cemented and Cementless Total Knee Replacements. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A, 2021, 103, 2270-2280.	3.0	10
15	An unusual presentation of arteriovenous malformation as an erosive midfoot lesion. Journal of Surgical Case Reports, 2016, 2016, .	0.4	9
16	Long-term <i>in vivo</i> wear of different bearing types used for the Oxford Unicompartmental Knee Replacement. Bone and Joint Research, 2019, 8, 535-543.	3.6	9
17	Low polyethylene creep and wear following mobile-bearing unicompartmental knee replacement. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2021, 29, 3433-3442.	4.2	9
18	A matched comparison of revision rates of cemented Oxford Unicompartmental Knee Replacements with Single and Twin Peg femoral components, based on data from the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2020, 91, 420-425.	3.3	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Mid- to Long-Term Outcomes of the Lateral Domed Oxford Unicompartmental Knee Replacement: An Analysis From the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland, and the Isle of Man. Journal of Arthroplasty, 2021, 36, 107-111.	3.1	8
20	A Matched Comparison of Long-Term Outcomes of Total and Unicompartmental Knee Replacements in Different Ages Based on National Databases: Analysis of Data From the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland, and the Isle of Man. Journal of Arthroplasty, 2022, 37, 243-251.	3.1	8
21	The clinical outcomes of cementless unicompartmental knee replacement in patients with reduced bone mineral density. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research, 2020, 15, 35.	2.3	7
22	The effect of age on the outcomes of cementless mobile bearing unicompartmental knee replacements. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2022, 30, 928-938.	4.2	7
23	Risk factors associated with poor pain outcomes following primary knee replacement surgery: Analysis of data from the clinical practice research datalink, hospital episode statistics and patient reported outcomes as part of the STAR research programme. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0261850.	2.5	7
24	Perioperative adjuvant corticosteroids for post-operative analgesia in elective knee surgery – A systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 92.	5.3	6
25	Post-operative determinants of chronic pain after primary knee replacement surgery: Analysis of data on 258,386 patients from the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man (NJR). Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open, 2021, 3, 100139.	2.0	6
26	A matched comparison of cementless unicompartmental and total knee replacement outcomes based on the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 0, 93, 478-487.	3.3	6
27	Urgent referral for suspected CNS cancer: which clinical features are associated with a positive predictive value of 3Â% or more?. BMC Neurology, 2016, 16, 152.	1.8	5
28	The effect of body mass index on the outcomes of cementless medial mobile-bearing unicompartmental knee replacements. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2023, 31, 608-618.	4.2	5
29	Comparison of five-year clinical outcomes of 524 cemented and cementless medial unicompartmental knee replacements. Knee, 2022, 34, 89-97.	1.6	5
30	How long does an elbow replacement last? A systematic review and meta-analysis of case-series and national registry reports with more than 10 years of follow-up. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 0, 93, 495-502.	3.3	5
31	Posterior Bearing Overhang Following Medial and Lateral Mobile Bearing Unicompartmental Knee Replacements. Journal of Orthopaedic Research, 2019, 37, 1938-1945.	2.3	4
32	A matched comparison of the patient-reported outcome measures of 38,716 total and unicompartmental knee replacements: an analysis of linked data from the National Joint Registry of England, Northern Ireland and Isle of Man and England's National PROM collection programme. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, 92, 1-8.	3.3	3
33	Limb salvage talectomy for 3C Gustilo–Anderson fracture. Journal of Surgical Case Reports, 2016, 2016, rjw081.	0.4	1
34	Response to review article published titled â€~Total ankle arthroplasty versus ankle arthrodesis - a comparison of outcomes over the last decade'. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research, 2019, 14, 142.	2.3	1
35	Acceptable outcomes with unicompartmental knee replacement and PCL deficiency are achievable: a case series of nine patients. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2021, 29, 3272-3278.	4.2	1
36	A matched comparison of the patient-reported outcome measures of cemented and cementless total knee replacements, based on the National Joint Registry of England, Wales, Northern Ireland, and Isle of Man and England's National PROM collection programme. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2022, 93, 164-170.	3.3	1