

Gianluca Grilli

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3392712/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49
papers

774
citations

516710

16
h-index

552781

26
g-index

50
all docs

50
docs citations

50
times ranked

807
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Encouraging pro-environmental behaviours: A review of methods and approaches. <i>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</i> , 2021, 135, 110039.	16.4	72
2	Public park attributes, park visits, and associated health status. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> , 2020, 199, 103814.	7.5	68
3	Trade-off between photovoltaic systems installation and agricultural practices on arable lands: An environmental and socio-economic impact analysis for Italy. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2016, 56, 90-99.	5.6	55
4	Financing Innovations for the Renewable Energy Transition in Europe. <i>Energies</i> , 2016, 9, 990.	3.1	41
5	Health Benefits Derived from Forest: A Review. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2020, 17, 6125.	2.6	38
6	Mixed forests and ecosystem services: Investigating stakeholders' perceptions in a case study in the Polish Carpathians. <i>Forest Policy and Economics</i> , 2016, 66, 11-17.	3.4	37
7	Exploring the influence of an extended theory of planned behaviour on preferences and willingness to pay for participatory natural resources management. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2019, 232, 902-909.	7.8	37
8	Neuroscience Application for the Analysis of Cultural Ecosystem Services Related to Stress Relief in Forest. <i>Forests</i> , 2020, 11, 190.	2.1	28
9	A multi-criteria framework to assess the sustainability of renewable energy development in the Alps. <i>Journal of Environmental Planning and Management</i> , 2017, 60, 1276-1295.	4.5	26
10	Forecasting Electricity Market Price for End Users in EU28 until 2020 – Main Factors of Influence. <i>Energies</i> , 2018, 11, 1460.	3.1	26
11	Including Value Orientations in Choice Models to Estimate Benefits of Wildlife Management Policies. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2018, 151, 70-81.	5.7	21
12	A spatial-based tool for the analysis of payments for forest ecosystem services related to hydrogeological protection. <i>Forest Policy and Economics</i> , 2020, 111, 102039.	3.4	20
13	Mapping the value of ecosystem services: A case study from the Austrian Alps. <i>Annals of Forest Research</i> , 2014, 58, .	1.1	20
14	Stakeholder analysis in the biomass energy development based on the experts' opinions: the example of Triglav National Park in Slovenia. <i>Folia Forestalia Polonica, Series A</i> , 2015, 57, 173-186.	0.3	19
15	Stakeholders' preferences and the assessment of forest ecosystem services: a comparative analysis in Italy. <i>Journal of Forest Science</i> , 2014, 60, 472-483.	1.1	18
16	Co-benefits of Smart and Sustainable Energy District Projects: An Overview of Economic Assessment Methodologies. <i>Green Energy and Technology</i> , 2017, , 127-164.	0.6	18
17	Experts' opinions on the effects of renewable energy development on ecosystem services in the Alpine region. <i>Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy</i> , 2016, 8, .	2.0	17
18	Power of Forest Stakeholders in the Participatory Decision Making Process: A Case Study in Northern Italy. <i>Acta Silvatica Et Lignaria Hungarica</i> , 2016, 12, 9-22.	0.3	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The role of emotions on touristsâ€™ willingness to pay for the Alpine landscape: a latent class approach. <i>Landscape Research</i> , 2019, 44, 743-756.	1.6	17
20	A method to assess the economic impacts of forest biomass use on ecosystem services in a National Park. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2017, 98, 252-263.	5.7	15
21	A travel cost evaluation of the benefits of two destination salmon rivers in Ireland. <i>Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism</i> , 2018, 23, 1-7.	2.9	14
22	Why do preferences for electricity services differ? Domestic appliance curtailment contracts in Ireland. <i>Energy Research and Social Science</i> , 2020, 69, 101705.	6.4	13
23	Selective and traditional forest management options for black pine forests in Central Italy: effects on ecosystem services. <i>Annals of Forest Research</i> , 2014, 60, .	1.1	12
24	La valoración de los servicios ecosistémicos en los ecosistemas forestales: un caso de estudio en Los Alpes Italianos. <i>Bosque</i> , 2016, 37, 41-52.	0.3	11
25	Assessing touristsâ€™ preferences for conservation of large carnivores in the Italian Alps using a discrete choice experiment. <i>Journal of Environmental Planning and Management</i> , 2022, 65, 1261-1280.	4.5	11
26	Sea Bass Angling in Ireland: A Structural Equation Model of Catch and Effort. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2018, 149, 285-293.	5.7	10
27	Anglers' views on stock conservation: Sea bass angling in Ireland. <i>Marine Policy</i> , 2019, 99, 34-41.	3.2	9
28	Advertising value of the brown bear in the Italian Alps. <i>Ursus</i> , 2017, 27, 110.	0.5	8
29	Renewable energy and willingness to pay: Evidences from a meta-analysis. <i>Economics and Policy of Energy and the Environment</i> , 2017, , 253-271.	0.2	7
30	Assessing Preferences for Attributes of City Information Points: Results from a Choice Experiment. <i>Green Energy and Technology</i> , 2018, , 197-209.	0.6	6
31	Access to and consumption of natural gas: Spatial and socio-demographic drivers. <i>Energy Policy</i> , 2020, 143, 111614.	8.8	6
32	Exploring Residentsâ€™ Willingness to Pay for Renewable Energy Supply: Evidences from an Italian Case Study. <i>Journal of Environmental Accounting and Management</i> , 2016, 4, 105-113.	0.5	6
33	How much Fear? Exploring the Role of Integral Emotions on Stated Preferences for Wildlife Conservation. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2022, 69, 449-465.	2.7	6
34	Choice experiment assessment of anglersâ€™ salmonid conservation preferences. <i>Journal of Environmental Planning and Management</i> , 2020, 63, 862-882.	4.5	5
35	Using angling logbook data to inform fishery management decisions. <i>Journal for Nature Conservation</i> , 2021, 61, 125987.	1.8	5
36	The Landscape Change in the Alpsâ€”What Postcards Have to Say about Aesthetic Preference. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2021, 13, 7426.	3.2	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Expertsâ€™ Perceptions of the Effects of Forest Biomass Harvesting on Sustainability in the Alpine Region. South-East European Forestry, 2014, 6, .	0.4	5
38	SINFONIA Project Mass Appraisal: Beyond the Value of Energy Performance in Buildings. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2016, 223, 37-44.	0.5	4
39	Modelling anglers' fish release choices using logbook data. Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2020, 9, 206-219.	2.5	4
40	Cost-benefit Analysis with GIS: An Open Source Module for the Forest Bioenergy Sector. Energy Procedia, 2017, 107, 175-179.	1.8	3
41	Application of stated-preferences methods and neuroscience for the valuation of dynamicity in forest cultural ecosystem services. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2022, 65, 398-417.	4.5	3
42	Does moving home affect residential heating decisions? Exploring heating fuel switching in Ireland. Energy and Buildings, 2021, 241, 110918.	6.7	2
43	A Spatial Multi-criteria Decision Support System for Stress Recovery-Oriented Forest Management. Green Energy and Technology, 2021, , 171-184.	0.6	2
44	European Union Research and Development Funding on Smart Cities and Their Importance on Climate and Energy Goals. Green Energy and Technology, 2017, , 421-435.	0.6	2
45	Recreational angling demand in a mixed resource fishery. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 2020, 27, 591-599.	2.0	1
46	Prevention of erosion in mountain basins: A spatial-based tool to support payments for forest ecosystem services. Journal of Forest Science, 2021, 67, 258-271.	1.1	1
47	Preference-Based Planning of Urban Green Spaces: A Latent-Class Clustering Approach. Green Energy and Technology, 2021, , 581-588.	0.6	1
48	Do risk perception and safety of sites influence rock climbing destination choices?. Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism, 2022, 37, 100486.	2.9	1
49	An evaluation of public initiatives to change behaviours that affect water quality. Environmental Policy and Governance, 2023, 33, 113-129.	3.7	1