

David Feeny

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3352726/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

65
papers

7,628
citations

236833

25
h-index

149623

56
g-index

66
all docs

66
docs citations

66
times ranked

9163
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Recommendations for Conduct, Methodological Practices, and Reporting of Cost-effectiveness Analyses. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 316, 1093.	3.8	2,149
2	Multiattribute and Single-Attribute Utility Functions for the Health Utilities Index Mark 3 System. Medical Care, 2002, 40, 113-128.	1.1	1,114
3	The Health Utilities Index (HUI): concepts, measurement properties and applications. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2003, 1, 54.	1.0	1,070
4	Multi-Attribute Health Status Classification Systems. Pharmacoeconomics, 1995, 7, 490-502.	1.7	702
5	Multi-Attribute Preference Functions. Pharmacoeconomics, 1995, 7, 503-520.	1.7	457
6	US Norms for Six Generic Health-Related Quality-of-Life Indexes From the National Health Measurement Study. Medical Care, 2007, 45, 1162-1170.	1.1	391
7	Framework to assess the effects of using patient-reported outcome measures in chronic care management. Quality of Life Research, 2014, 23, 1505-1513.	1.5	161
8	Training clinicians in how to use patient-reported outcome measures in routine clinical practice. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 1707-1718.	1.5	161
9	Parental Perspectives of the Health Status and Health-Related Quality of Life of Teen-Aged Children Who Were Extremely Low Birth Weight and Term Controls. Pediatrics, 2000, 105, 569-574.	1.0	131
10	Estimation of a Preference-Based Summary Score for the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System: The PROMIS [®] -Preference (PROPr) Scoring System. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 683-698.	1.2	92
11	Assessing the use of health-related quality of life measures in the routine clinical care of lung-transplant patients. Quality of Life Research, 2010, 19, 371-379.	1.5	76
12	A framework for assessing health-related quality of life among children with cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 1999, 83, 2-9.	2.3	75
13	Comparing Short Form 6D, Standard Gamble, and Health Utilities Index Mark 2 and Mark 3 utility scores: Results from total hip arthroplasty patients. Quality of Life Research, 2004, 13, 1659-1670.	1.5	73
14	Preferences of women facing a prenatal diagnostic choice: long-term outcomes matter most. , 1999, 19, 711-716.		70
15	Multiattribute approach to the assessment of health-related quality of life: Health utilities index. , 1998, 30, 54-59.		67
16	Health-Related Quality of Life in Survivors of Wilms's™ Tumor and Advanced Neuroblastoma: A Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2000, 18, 3280-3287.	0.8	65
17	Future Directions for Cost-effectiveness Analyses in Health and Medicine. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 767-777.	1.2	58
18	Canada's residential school system: measuring the intergenerational impact of familial attendance on health and mental health outcomes. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2016, 70, 1096-1105.	2.0	50

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Cross-sectional validation of the PROMIS-Preference scoring system. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0201093.	1.1	46
20	A Checklist for Reporting Valuation Studies of Multi-Attribute Utility-Based Instruments (CREATE). Pharmacoeconomics, 2015, 33, 867-877.	1.7	45
21	Using Linear Equating to Map PROMIS® Global Health Items and the PROMIS-29 V2.0 Profile Measure to the Health Utilities Index Mark 3. Pharmacoeconomics, 2016, 34, 1015-1022.	1.7	45
22	The PROMIS of QALYs. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 122.	1.0	44
23	Self-reported comprehensive health status of adult brain tumor patients using the Health Utilities Index. Cancer, 1997, 80, 258-265.	2.0	41
24	Selection of key health domains from PROMIS® for a generic preference-based scoring system. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 3377-3385.	1.5	39
25	Age- and sex-specific Canadian utility norms, based on the 2013-2014 Canadian Community Health Survey. Cmaj, 2018, 190, E155-E161.	0.9	38
26	Health-Related Quality of Life Changes Associated With Hearing Loss. JAMA Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2020, 146, 630.	1.2	32
27	Health Utilities Index Mark 3 scores for major chronic conditions: Population norms for Canada based on the 2013 and 2014 Canadian Community Health Survey. Health Reports, 2018, 29, 12-19.	0.6	30
28	Assessment of health status and health-related quality of life in survivors of Hodgkin's disease in childhood. International Journal of Cancer, 1999, 83, 32-38.	2.3	29
29	Decision Analysis of Prenatal Testing for Chromosomal Disorders: What Do the Preferences of Pregnant Women Tell Us?. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2001, 5, 23-32.	1.7	24
30	The Decline of Property Rights in Man in Thailand, 1800-1913. Journal of Economic History, 1989, 49, 285-296.	1.0	23
31	Health-related quality-of-life data should be regarded as a vital sign. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2013, 66, 706-709.	2.4	20
32	Physical Activity Matters: Associations Among Body Mass Index, Physical Activity, and Health-Related Quality of Life Trajectories Over 10 Years. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 2014, 11, 1265-1275.	1.0	19
33	Characterizing health state utilities associated with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a systematic review. Quality of Life Research, 2020, 29, 593-605.	1.5	19
34	Does ideology matter?: Anecdotal experimental evidence on the voluntary provision of public goods. Public Choice, 1988, 57, 281-286.	1.0	16
35	Health Status and Health-Related Quality of Life in a Pediatric Palliative Care Program. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2012, 15, 790-797.	0.6	16
36	The dynamics of the gradient between child's health and family income: Evidence from Canada. Social Science and Medicine, 2019, 226, 182-189.	1.8	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Evaluation of options for presenting health-states from PROMISÂ® item banks for valuation exercises. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2018, 27, 1835-1843.	1.5	14
38	Associations between continuity of primary and specialty physician care and use of hospital-based care among community-dwelling older adults with complex care needs. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0234205.	1.1	13
39	The primary care and other health system use of home care patients: a retrospective cohort analysis. <i>CMAJ Open</i> , 2019, 7, E360-E370.	1.1	10
40	Physician Home Visit Patterns and Hospital Use Among Older Adults with Functional Impairments. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i> , 2020, 68, 2074-2081.	1.3	10
41	Commentary. In Praise of Studies That Use More Than One Generic Preference-Based Measure. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2019, 35, 257-262.	0.2	9
42	Extensive versus Intensive Agricultural Development: Induced Public Investment in Southeast Asia, 1900â€“1940. <i>Journal of Economic History</i> , 1983, 43, 687-704.	1.0	7
43	Feasibility and validity of the Health Status Classification System-Preschool (HSCS-PS) in a large community sample: the Generation R study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e022449.	0.8	7
44	DIVERT-Collaboration Action Research and Evaluation (CARE) Trial Protocol: a multiprovincial pragmatic cluster randomised trial of cardiorespiratory management in home care. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e030301.	0.8	7
45	Preferences of women facing a prenatal diagnostic choice: longâ€term outcomes matter most. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 1999, 19, 711-716.	1.1	7
46	Comparison of health state utility estimates from instrument-based and vignette-based methods: a case study in kidney disease. <i>BMC Research Notes</i> , 2019, 12, 385.	0.6	6
47	A Scoring Algorithm for Deriving Utility Values from the Neuro-QoL for Patients with Multiple Sclerosis. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2020, 40, 897-911.	1.2	5
48	Effect of Access to After-Hours Primary Care on the Association Between Home Nursing Visits and Same-Day Emergency Department Use. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2020, 18, 406-412.	0.9	5
49	Longitudinal construct validity of the minimum data set health status index. <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 2018, 16, 102.	1.0	4
50	The usefulness of chromosome banding in pre- and postnatal service cytogenetics: A reconsideration. <i>American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A</i> , 1981, 9, 79-85.	2.4	3
51	Allocative efficiency, the aggregation of labour inputs, and the effects of farm size and tenancy status: Tests from rural Bangladesh. <i>Journal of Development Studies</i> , 1987, 24, 31-42.	1.2	3
52	What were they thinking when providing preference measurements for generic health states? The evidence for HUI3. <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 2018, 16, 166.	1.0	3
53	Canadian French translation and linguistic validation of the health-related quality of life utility measure for pre-school children. <i>Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research</i> , 2021, 21, 1-7.	0.7	3
54	Factors associated with the health-related quality of life among people with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a study using the Health Utilities Index (HUI). <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 2022, 20,	1.0	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes: aims and scope. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2017, 1, 6.	0.9	2
56	Farm Size, Tenancy Status and Technology: Production Relationships in Rural Bangladesh. Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 1986, 7, 257-268.	1.7	1
57	Strained Mercy: The Economics of Canadian Health Care, Robert G. Evans. Toronto: Butterworth, 1984, 390 pp.. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 1989, 5, 161-162.	0.2	1
58	Multiattribute approach to the assessment of health-related quality of life: Health utilities index. Medical and Pediatric Oncology, 1998, 30, 54-59.	1.0	1
59	Self-reported comprehensive health status of adult brain tumor patients using the Health Utilities Index. , 1997, 80, 258.		1
60	Clinically Important Differences in Q-TWiST â€œ One TWiST too Many, or TWiST and Shout?. Quality of Life Research, 2006, 15, 427-428.	1.5	0
61	Feasibility and implementation of a healthy lifestyles program in a community setting in Ontario, Canada: protocol for a pragmatic mixed methods pilot study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031298.	0.8	0
62	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234205.		0
63	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234205.		0
64	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234205.		0
65	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234205.		0