Tara L Teel

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3326536/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

all docs

50 3,579 26 50 g-index

52 52 52 52 3584

times ranked

citing authors

docs citations

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Conservation social science: Understanding and integrating human dimensions to improve conservation. Biological Conservation, 2017, 205, 93-108.	4.1	705
2	Mainstreaming the social sciences in conservation. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 56-66.	4.7	304
3	Understanding the Diversity of Public Interests in Wildlife Conservation. Conservation Biology, 2010, 24, 128-139.	4.7	293
4	Linking Society and Environment: A Multilevel Model of Shifting Wildlife Value Orientations in the Western United States [*] . Social Science Quarterly, 2009, 90, 407-427.	1.6	238
5	Why social values cannot be changed for the sake of conservation. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 772-780.	4.7	214
6	Why Are Public Values Toward Wildlife Changing?. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2003, 8, 287-306.	1.8	199
7	Values, trust, and cultural backlash in conservation governance: The case of wildlife management in the United States. Biological Conservation, 2017, 214, 303-311.	4.1	127
8	A conceptual model for the integration of social and ecological information to understand human-wildlife interactions. Biological Conservation, 2018, 225, 80-87.	4.1	113
9	Implications of human value shift and persistence for biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology, 2016, 30, 287-296.	4.7	109
10	Human–Black Bear Conflict in Urban Areas: An Integrated Approach to Management Response. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2009, 14, 174-184.	1.8	106
11	Publishing social science research in <i>Conservation Biology</i> to move beyond biology. Conservation Biology, 2018, 32, 6-8.	4.7	92
12	The Need and Theoretical Basis for Exploring Wildlife Value Orientations Cross-Culturally. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2007, 12, 297-305.	1.8	87
13	The changing sociocultural context of wildlife conservation. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 1549-1559.	4.7	78
14	Understanding the Cognitive Basis for Human-Wildlife Relationships as a Key to Successful Protected-Area Management. International Journal of Sociology, 2010, 40, 104-123.	1.7	73
15	Patterns of human–coyote conflicts in the Denver Metropolitan Area. Journal of Wildlife Management, 2013, 77, 297-305.	1.8	7 3
16	The Potential for Conflict Index: A Graphic Approach to Practical Significance of Human Dimensions Research. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2003, 8, 219-228.	1.8	66
17	Are attitudes toward wolves changing? A case study in Utah. Biological Conservation, 2007, 139, 211-218.	4.1	59
18	Social value shift in favour of biodiversity conservation in the United States. Nature Sustainability, 2021, 4, 323-330.	23.7	59

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Science with society: Evidence-based guidance for best practices in environmental transdisciplinary work. Global Environmental Change, 2021, 68, 102240.	7.8	56
20	Social values and biodiversity conservation in a dynamic world. Conservation Biology, 2016, 30, 1212-1221.	4.7	54
21	Metrics and outcomes of conservation education: a quarter century of lessons learned. Environmental Education Research, 2019, 25, 172-192.	2.9	49
22	Bringing social values to wildlife conservation decisions. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2021, 19, 355-362.	4.0	39
23	Evidence of Biased Processing of Natural Resource-Related Information: A Study of Attitudes Toward Drilling for Oil in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Society and Natural Resources, 2006, 19, 447-463.	1.9	36
24	Understanding public perceptions of risk regarding outdoor pet cats to inform conservation action. Conservation Biology, 2016, 30, 276-286.	4.7	36
25	Assessing demand for big-game hunting opportunities: applying the multiple-satisfaction concept. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 2004, 32, 1147-1155.	1.6	31
26	Understanding and managing human tolerance for a large carnivore in a residential system. Biological Conservation, 2019, 238, 108189.	4.1	31
27	Encouraging Safe Wildlife Viewing in National Parks: Effects of a Communication Campaign on Visitors' Behavior. Environmental Communication, 2020, 14, 255-270.	2.5	26
28	Strategies for Communicating About Climate Change Impacts on Public Lands. Science Communication, 2009, 31, 266-274.	3.3	23
29	Public perspectives and media reporting of wolf reintroduction in Colorado. PeerJ, 2020, 8, e9074.	2.0	22
30	Integrating social science into conservation planning. Biological Conservation, 2021, 262, 109298.	4.1	17
31	A Comparison of Quantitative and Qualitative Methods to Measure Wildlife Value Orientations Among Diverse Audiences: A Case Study of Latinos in the American Southwest. Society and Natural Resources, 2016, 29, 572-587.	1.9	16
32	Assessing Public Acceptance of Wildlife Management Trade-Offs: A Case Study of Elk and Vegetation Management in Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2010, 15, 405-417.	1.8	15
33	A comparison between human-carnivore conflicts and local community attitudes toward carnivores in Westgate Community Conservancy, Samburu, Kenya. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2019, 24, 168-179.	1.8	14
34	Evolving systems of pro-environmental behavior among wildscape gardeners. Landscape and Urban Planning, 2021, 207, 104018.	7.5	14
35	Psychological drivers of riskâ€reducing behaviors to limit human–wildlife conflict. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 1383-1392.	4.7	13
36	Payments for ecosystem services and landowner interest: Informing program design trade-offs in Western Panama. Ecological Economics, 2014, 103, 44-55.	5.7	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Seeking excellence for the land of paradise: Integrating cultural information into an environmental education program in a rural Hawai'ian community. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 2014, 41, 58-67.	2.3	12
38	Revisiting the challenge of intentional value shift: reply to Ives and Fischer. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 1486-1487.	4.7	12
39	Rapid changes in public perception toward a conservation initiative. Conservation Science and Practice, 2022, 4, .	2.0	11
40	Conflict and adaptation at the intersection of motherhood and conservation leadership. Biological Conservation, 2020, 243, 108487.	4.1	10
41	Using pastoral ideology to understand human–wildlife coexistence in arid agricultural landscapes. Conservation Science and Practice, 2019, 1, e35.	2.0	9
42	Application of a Stated Choice Approach to Assessing Public Preferences for Wildlife Conservation Funding. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2016, 21, 379-390.	1.8	6
43	Contextual Factors Influencing Support for Sea Turtle Management Actions in Ogasawara Islands, Japan: An Application of Conjoint Analysis. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2011, 16, 287-298.	1.8	5
44	Technocracy in a time of changing values: Wildlife conservation and the "relevancy―of governance reform. Conservation Science and Practice, 2022, 4, .	2.0	4
45	Qualitative Measures of Wildlife Value Orientations with a Diverse Population in New York City. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2016, 21, 223-239.	1.8	3
46	Evaluating alternative survey methodologies in human dimensions of wildlife research. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2023, 28, 320-334.	1.8	3
47	Dynamics in Utah stakeholders' representation, interest in wildlife, and evaluation of wildlifeâ€related experiences, 1986–1998. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2000, 5, 48-61.	1.8	1
48	Reenvisioning the university education needs of wildlife conservation professionals in the United States. Conservation Science and Practice, 2022, 4, .	2.0	1
49	Introduction to special section on wildlife agency organizational change: Embracing the challenge of adaptive wildlife conservation in the United States. Conservation Science and Practice, 2022, 4, .	2.0	0
50	A Mixed-Methods Social Psychology Application Evaluating the Role of Citizen Science in Mitigating Human-Wildlife Conflict. Society and Animals, 2022, 31, 645-668.	0.2	0