Francesco Ruotolo

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

39	1,125	430874	414414
papers	citations	18 h-index	32 g-index

40	40	40	1142
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	How ageing and blindness affect egocentric and allocentric spatial memory. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2022, 75, 1628-1642.	1.1	8
2	Space at home and psychological distress during the Covid-19 lockdown in Italy. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2022, 79, 101747.	5.1	17
3	Spaces for relaxing, spaces for recharging: How parks affect people's emotions. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2022, 81, 101809.	5.1	11
4	An Investigation of the Influence of the Night Lighting in a Urban Park on Individualsâ€™ Emotions. Sustainability, 2022, 14, 8556.	3.2	16
5	Egocentric metric representations in peripersonal space: A bridge between motor resources and spatial memory. British Journal of Psychology, 2021, 112, 433-454.	2.3	16
6	Towards and away from the body: The relevance of the direction of use in the coding of object-related actions. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 1225-1233.	1.1	5
7	Social Distance during the COVID-19 Pandemic Reflects Perceived Rather Than Actual Risk. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 5504.	2.6	29
8	The Influence of Stimuli Valence and Arousal on Spatio-Temporal Representation of a Route. Brain Sciences, 2021, 11, 814.	2.3	4
9	A questionnaire investigating the emotional salience of sounds. Applied Acoustics, 2021, 182, 108281.	3.3	15
10	The role of mental imagery in pantomimes of actions towards and away from the body. Psychological Research, 2021, 85, 1408-1417.	1.7	9
11	From aMCI to AD: The Role of Visuo-Spatial Memory Span and Executive Functions in Egocentric and Allocentric Spatial Impairments. Brain Sciences, 2021, 11, 1536.	2.3	3
12	The Relationship between Emotionally Laden Landmarks, Spatial Abilities, and Personality Traits: An Exploratory Study. Brain Sciences, 2020, 10, 326.	2.3	4
13	Allocentric coordinate spatial representations are impaired in aMCI and Alzheimerâ€™s disease patients. Behavioural Brain Research, 2020, 393, 112793.	2.2	8
14	Activation of manipulation and function knowledge during visual search for objects.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2020, 46, 66-90.	0.9	4
15	Neural correlates of egocentric and allocentric frames of reference combined with metric and non-metric spatial relations. Neuroscience, 2019, 409, 235-252.	2.3	33
16	The Effect of Body-Related Stimuli on Mental Rotation in Children, Young and Elderly Adults. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 1169.	3.3	25
17	Putting emotions in routes: the influence of emotionally laden landmarks on spatial memory. Psychological Research, 2019, 83, 1083-1095.	1.7	26
18	Congenital blindness limits allocentric to egocentric switching ability. Experimental Brain Research, 2018, 236, 813-820.	1.5	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Manipulating time and space: Collision prediction in peripersonal and extrapersonal space. Cognition, 2017, 166, 107-117.	2.2	16
20	On Inter- and Intrahemispheric Differences in Visuospatial Perception. , 2017, , 35-76.		2
21	Frames of reference and categorical/coordinate spatial relations in a â€œwhat was whereâ€•task. Experimental Brain Research, 2016, 234, 2687-2696.	1.5	15
22	How coordinate and categorical spatial relations combine with egocentric and allocentric reference frames in a motor task: Effects of delay and stimuli characteristics. Behavioural Brain Research, 2015, 284, 167-178.	2.2	17
23	The influence of anxiety and personality factors on comfort and reachability space: a correlational study. Cognitive Processing, 2015, 16, 255-258.	1.4	42
24	Who is speaking? Implicit and explicit self and other voice recognition. Brain and Cognition, 2014, 92, 112-117.	1.8	17
25	Does blindness affect egocentric and allocentric frames of reference in small and large scale spaces?. Behavioural Brain Research, 2014, 273, 73-81.	2.2	77
26	Motor resources in peripersonal space are intrinsic to spatial encoding: Evidence from motor interference. Acta Psychologica, 2014, 153, 20-27.	1.5	31
27	Immersive virtual reality and environmental noise assessment: An innovative audioâ€“visual approach. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2013, 41, 10-20.	9.2	81
28	The Effects of Vision-Related Aspects on Noise Perception of Wind Turbines in Quiet Areas. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2013, 10, 1681-1697.	2.6	67
29	Individual reactions to a multisensory immersive virtual environment: the impact of a wind farm on individuals. Cognitive Processing, 2012, 13, 319-323.	1.4	28
30	Egocentric/allocentric and coordinate/categorical haptic encoding in blind people. Cognitive Processing, 2012, 13, 313-317.	1.4	34
31	Multisensory Assessment of Acoustic Comfort Aboard Metros: a Virtual Reality Study. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2012, 26, 757-767.	1.6	46
32	Sequential vs simultaneous encoding of spatial information: A comparison between the blind and the sighted. Acta Psychologica, 2012, 139, 382-389.	1.5	21
33	The Italian Version of the Weinstein Noise Sensitivity Scale. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 2012, 28, 118-124.	3.0	27
34	Frames of reference and categorical and coordinate spatial relations: a hierarchical organisation. Experimental Brain Research, 2011, 214, 587-595.	1.5	17
35	The relationship between allocentric and egocentric frames of reference and categorical and coordinate spatial information processing. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 64, 1138-1156.	1.1	32
36	Visuospatial Memory in Healthy Elderly, AD and MCI: A Review. Current Aging Science, 2009, 2, 43-59.	1.2	190

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	The effects of familiarity and gender on spatial representation. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2009, 29, 227-234.	5.1	67
38	The effect of age on egocentric and allocentric spatial frames of reference. Cognitive Processing, 2009, 10, 222-224.	1.4	18
39	The role of vision in egocentric and allocentric spatial frames of reference. Cognitive Processing, 2009, 10, 283-285.	1.4	31