

Jonathan C Grant

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3183553/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

70
papers

5,514
citations

172457

29
h-index

128289

60
g-index

70
all docs

70
docs citations

70
times ranked

8504
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The answer is 17 years, what is the question: understanding time lags in translational research. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2011, 104, 510-520.	2.0	1,936
2	How to increase value and reduce waste when research priorities are set. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2014, 383, 156-165.	13.7	1,102
3	How long does biomedical research take? Studying the time taken between biomedical and health research and its translation into products, policy, and practice. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2015, 13, 1.	2.8	212
4	Evaluating "payback" on biomedical research from papers cited in clinical guidelines: applied bibliometric study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2000, 320, 1107-1111.	2.3	150
5	Estimating the returns to United Kingdom publicly funded musculoskeletal disease research in terms of net value of improved health outcomes. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2018, 16, 1.	2.8	142
6	Consanguinity as a Determinant of Reproductive Behaviour and Mortality in Pakistan. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 1993, 22, 463-467.	1.9	105
7	Estimating the returns to UK publicly funded cancer-related research in terms of the net value of improved health outcomes. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2014, 12, 99.	5.5	100
8	Basic research and health: a reassessment of the scientific basis for the support of biomedical science. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2003, 12, 217-224.	2.6	99
9	A global call for action to include gender in research impact assessment. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2016, 14, 50.	2.8	89
10	Quantifying the economic impact of government and charity funding of medical research on private research and development funding in the United Kingdom. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2016, 14, 32.	5.5	89
11	Does inbreeding lead to decreased human fertility?. <i>Annals of Human Biology</i> , 2002, 29, 111-130.	1.0	87
12	Proposed methods for reviewing the outcomes of health research: the impact of funding by the UK's 'Arthritis Research Campaign'. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2004, 2, 4.	2.8	85
13	Low Fertility and Population Ageing: Causes, Consequences, and Policy Options. , 2004, , .		80
14	Women and academic medicine: a review of the evidence on female representation. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2014, 107, 259-263.	2.0	68
15	Payback arising from research funding: evaluation of the Arthritis Research Campaign. <i>Rheumatology</i> , 2005, 44, 1145-1156.	1.9	67
16	Economic returns to medical research funding. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e022131.	1.9	66
17	ISRIA statement: ten-point guidelines for an effective process of research impact assessment. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2018, 16, 8.	2.8	65
18	The comparative role of consanguinity in infant and childhood mortality in Pakistan. <i>Annals of Human Genetics</i> , 1997, 61, 143-149.	0.8	62

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	From COVID-19 research to vaccine application: why might it take 17 months not 17 years and what are the wider lessons?. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2020, 18, 61.	2.8	60
20	Do Patients Always Prefer Quicker Treatment?. <i>Applied Health Economics and Health Policy</i> , 2004, 3, 183-194.	2.1	54
21	Can assisted reproductive technologies help to offset population ageing? An assessment of the demographic and economic impact of ART in Denmark and UK. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2007, 22, 2471-2475.	0.9	52
22	International gastroenterology research: subject areas, impact, and funding. <i>Gut</i> , 2001, 49, 295-302.	12.1	48
23	Assessing the impact of health technology assessment in the Netherlands. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2008, 24, 259-269.	0.5	40
24	Using categorisations of citations when assessing the outcomes from health research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2005, 65, 357-379.	3.0	39
25	A comparative review of how the policy and procedures to assess research impact evolved in Australia and the UK. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2018, 27, 93-105.	2.6	38
26	No evidence of sexism in peer review. <i>Nature</i> , 1997, 390, 438-438.	27.8	37
27	International comparative performance of mental health research, 1980-2011. <i>European Neuropsychopharmacology</i> , 2013, 23, 1340-1347.	0.7	36
28	Understanding factors associated with the translation of cardiovascular research: a multinational case study approach. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 47.	6.9	35
29	Co-author inclusion: A novel recursive algorithmic method for dealing with homonyms in bibliometric analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2006, 66, 11-21.	3.0	33
30	Assessing policy and practice impacts of social science research: the application of the Payback Framework to assess the Future of Work programme. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2011, 20, 201-209.	2.6	31
31	Trends in European fertility: should Europe try to increase its fertility rate...or just manage the consequences?1. <i>Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities</i> , 2006, 29, 17-24.	3.6	28
32	Identifying the Science and Technology Dimensions of Emerging Public Policy Issues through Horizon Scanning. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e96480.	2.5	27
33	Assessing the benefits of health research: lessons from research into the use of antenatal corticosteroids for the prevention of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2005, 60, 937-947.	3.8	24
34	Identifying the impact of diabetes research. <i>Diabetic Medicine</i> , 2006, 23, 176-184.	2.3	24
35	Markers of achievement for assessing and monitoring gender equity in translational research organisations: a rationale and study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e009022.	1.9	23
36	Exploring the effectiveness, efficiency and equity (3Es) of research and research impact assessment. <i>Palgrave Communications</i> , 2016, 2, .	4.7	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Understanding the relative valuation of research impact: a best-worst scaling experiment of the general public and biomedical and health researchers. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e010916.	1.9	20
38	Using Policy Labs as a process to bring evidence closer to public policymaking: a guide to one approach. Palgrave Communications, 2020, 6, .	4.7	19
39	A novel performance monitoring framework for health research systems: experiences of the National Institute for Health Research in England. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2011, 9, 13.	2.8	18
40	A systematic review of frameworks for the interrelationships of mental health evidence and policy in low- and middle-income countries. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2018, 16, 85.	2.8	17
41	Freedom and need: The evolution of public strategy for biomedical and health research in England. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2008, 6, 2.	2.8	16
42	Assessing Research Impact: An international review of the Excellence in Innovation for Australia Trial. , 2013, , .		15
43	Preparing impact submissions for REF 2014: An evaluation: Approach and Evidence. , 2015, , .		15
44	Mental Health Retrosight: Understanding the Returns From Research (Lessons From Schizophrenia): Policy Report. <i>Rand Health Quarterly</i> , 2014, 4, 8.	0.5	14
45	A DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY OF SPANISH FEMALE SEX WORKERS: HIV PREVALENCE AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS. <i>Journal of Biosocial Science</i> , 1998, 30, 365-379.	1.2	13
46	Project Retrosight: Understanding the Returns from Cardiovascular and Stroke Research: The Policy Report. <i>Rand Health Quarterly</i> , 2011, 1, 16.	0.5	12
47	A new resource for identifying and assessing the impacts of research. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2015, 13, 148.	5.5	11
48	UK Doubles Its "World-Leading" Research in Life Sciences and Medicine in Six Years: Testing the Claim?. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0132990.	2.5	11
49	Bibliometrics as a Tool for Supporting Prospective R&D Decision-Making in the Health Sciences: Strengths, Weaknesses and Options for Future Development. <i>Rand Health Quarterly</i> , 2012, 1, 11.	0.5	10
50	Insight workflow: Systematically combining human and computational methods to explore textual data. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017, 68, 1671-1686.	2.9	8
51	Fundamental challenges in assessing the impact of research infrastructure. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2021, 19, 119.	2.8	8
52	The EVITA framework for evidence-based mental health policy agenda setting in low- and middle-income countries. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2020, 35, 424-439.	2.7	7
53	Academic medicine: time for reinvention: Research needs researching. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2004, 328, 48-a-48.	2.3	7
54	Investigating Time Lags and Attribution in the Translation of Cancer Research: A Case Study Approach. <i>Rand Health Quarterly</i> , 2014, 4, 16.	0.5	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	The prevalence of serological markers for syphilis amongst a sample of Spanish prostitutes. International Journal of STD and AIDS, 1997, 8, 675-680.	1.1	5
56	Using bibliometrics to support the procurement of NIHR biomedical research centres in England. Research Evaluation, 2009, 18, 71-82.	2.6	5
57	The rise of UK's China research collaboration: Trends, opportunities and challenges. Science and Public Policy, 2022, 49, 132-147.	2.4	5
58	A 'DECISIVE' Approach to Research Funding: Lessons from Three Retrosight Studies. Rand Health Quarterly, 2016, 6, 6.	0.5	4
59	EVITA 2.0, an updated framework for understanding evidence-based mental health policy agenda-setting: tested and informed by key informant interviews in a multilevel comparative case study. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2021, 19, 35.	2.8	3
60	Factors that lead to advances in neonatal intensive care: Comroe and Dripps revisited. Research Evaluation, 2001, 10, 121-127.	2.6	2
61	What sort of Brexit do the British people want? A longitudinal study examining the "trade-offs" people would be willing to make in reaching a Brexit deal. Journal of Choice Modelling, 2020, 37, 100233.	2.3	2
62	Impact by design: Planning your research impact in 7Cs. Emerald Open Research, 0, 1, 18.	0.0	2
63	In Search of the Holy Grail: Understanding Research Success. Rand Health Quarterly, 2012, 1, 14.	0.5	2
64	Comparative Performance of Adult Social Care Research, 1996-2011: A Bibliometric Assessment. British Journal of Social Work, 2016, 46, 1282-1300.	1.4	1
65	Note: The Value of Workplace Versus Income in Determining HIV Status and Other STDs Among a Sample of Spanish Sex Workers. Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 1998, 25, 194-195.	1.7	0
66	Where is UK Health Service Research Published?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 1999, 92, 385-385.	2.0	0
67	Reply: Can assisted reproductive technologies help offset population ageing?. Human Reproduction, 2008, 23, 2174-2175.	0.9	0
68	Funding and Performance on Clinical Guidelines: The Cases of Dementia and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Rand Health Quarterly, 2012, 2, 6.	0.5	0
69	Understanding the funding characteristics of research impact: A proof-of-concept study linking REF 2014 impact case studies with Researchfish grant agreements. F1000Research, 0, 10, 1291.	1.6	0
70	Understanding the funding characteristics of research impact: A proof-of-concept study linking REF 2014 impact case studies with Researchfish grant agreements. F1000Research, 0, 10, 1291.	1.6	0