
## Antonio Manuel EspÃ-n MartÃ-n

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2957046/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



Antonio Manuel EspÃn

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Economics students: Self-selected in preferences and indoctrinated in beliefs. International Review of Economics Education, 2022, 39, 100231.	1.6	2
2	The appropriate response of Spanish Gitanos: short-run orientation beyond current socio-economic status. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2019, 40, 12-22.	2.2	10
3	Digit ratio (2D : 4D) and prosocial behaviour in economic games: no direct correlation with generosity, bargaining or trust-related behaviours. Biology Letters, 2019, 15, 20190185.	2.3	7
4	Patience predicts cooperative synergy: The roles of ingroup bias and reciprocity. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2019, 83, 101465.	1.2	6
5	Do Envy and Compassion Pave the Way to Unhappiness? Social Preferences and Life Satisfaction in a Spanish City. Journal of Happiness Studies, 2018, 19, 443.	3.2	4
6	Facial identity and emotional expression as predictors during economic decisions. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2017, 17, 315-329.	2.0	11
7	Deliberation favours social efficiency by making people disregard their relative shares: evidence from USA and India. Royal Society Open Science, 2017, 4, 160605.	2.4	38
8	Editorial: Prosocial and Antisocial Behavior in Economic Games. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 2016, 10, 243.	2.0	4
9	Heterogeneous Motives in the Trust Game: A Tale of Two Roles. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 728.	2.1	17
10	Creativity and Cognitive Skills among Millennials: Thinking Too Much and Creating Too Little. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1626.	2.1	26
11	Social Comparisons are Associated with Poorer and Riskier Financial Decision Making, no Matter whether Encounters are Sporadic or Repeated. Spanish Journal of Psychology, 2016, 19, E57.	2.1	3
12	BMI is not related to altruism, fairness, trust or reciprocity: Experimental evidence from the field and the lab. Physiology and Behavior, 2016, 156, 79-93.	2.1	9
13	To trust, or not to trust: Cognitive reflection in trust games. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2016, 64, 20-27.	1.2	44
14	Short- and long-run goals in ultimatum bargaining: impatience predicts spite-based behavior. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 2015, 9, 214.	2.0	32
15	The cognitive basis of social behavior: cognitive reflection overrides antisocial but not always prosocial motives. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 2015, 9, 287.	2.0	55
16	Can exposure to prenatal sex hormones (2D:4D) predict cognitive reflection?. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 2014, 43, 1-10.	2.7	97
17	Fair and unfair punishers coexist in the Ultimatum Game. Scientific Reports, 2014, 4, 6025.	3.3	63
18	Religious Pro-Sociality? Experimental Evidence from a Sample of 766 Spaniards. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e104685.	2.5	20

ANTONIO MANUEL ESPÃN

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Experimental subjects are not different. Scientific Reports, 2013, 3, 1213.	3.3	152
20	Accepting zero in the ultimatum game does not reflect selfish preferences. Economics Letters, 2013, 121, 236-238.	1.9	10
21	The separate effects of self-estimated and actual alcohol intoxication on risk taking: A field experiment Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics, 2013, 6, 115-135.	1.0	14
22	Patient and impatient punishers of free-riders. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2012, 279, 4923-4928.	2.6	63