

# Paula Dhiman

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2868612/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

21  
papers

3,080  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

794594

19  
g-index

22  
all docs

22  
docs citations

22  
times ranked

7452  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Completeness of reporting of clinical prediction models developed using supervised machine learning: a systematic review. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 12.	3.1	45
2	Methodological conduct of prognostic prediction models developed using machine learning in oncology: a systematic review. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 101.	3.1	36
3	Utility of preoperative haemoglobin concentration to guide perioperative blood tests for hip and knee arthroplasty: A decision curve analysis. <i>Transfusion Medicine</i> , 2022, 32, 306-317.	1.1	4
4	Multiple cereblon genetic changes are associated with acquired resistance to lenalidomide or pomalidomide in multiple myeloma. <i>Blood</i> , 2021, 137, 232-237.	1.4	90
5	There are no shortcuts in the development and validation of a COVID-19 prediction model. <i>Transboundary and Emerging Diseases</i> , 2021, 68, 210-211.	3.0	1
6	A review found inadequate reporting of case-control studies of risk factors for pancreatic cancer. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 133, 32-42.	5.0	0
7	Protocol for development of a reporting guideline (TRIPOD-AI) and risk of bias tool (PROBAST-AI) for diagnostic and prognostic prediction model studies based on artificial intelligence. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e048008.	1.9	313
8	Mental health and other factors associated with work productivity after injury in the UK: multicentre cohort study. <i>Injury Prevention</i> , 2021, , injuryprev-2021-044311.	2.4	0
9	Reporting of prognostic clinical prediction models based on machine learning methods in oncology needs to be improved. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 138, 60-72.	5.0	49
10	GoodReports: developing a website to help health researchers find and use reporting guidelines. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2021, 21, 217.	3.1	6
11	Risk of bias in studies on prediction models developed using supervised machine learning techniques: systematic review. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 375, n2281.	6.0	116
12	A systematic review showed more consideration is needed when conducting nonrandomized studies of interventions. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 117, 99-108.	5.0	5
13	Protocol for a systematic review on the methodological and reporting quality of prediction model studies using machine learning techniques. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e038832.	1.9	60
14	Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19: systematic review and critical appraisal. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 369, m1328.	6.0	2,134
15	Does bone mineral density improve the predictive accuracy of fracture risk assessment? A prospective cohort study in Northern Denmark. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e018898.	1.9	15
16	Psychological morbidity and return to work after injury: multicentre cohort study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2017, 67, e555-e564.	1.4	27
17	Comparison of coronary heart disease genetic assessment with conventional cardiovascular risk assessment in primary care: reflections on a feasibility study. <i>Primary Health Care Research and Development</i> , 2015, 16, 607-617.	1.2	1
18	Availability and Quality of Coronary Heart Disease Family History in Primary Care Medical Records: Implications for Cardiovascular Risk Assessment. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e81998.	2.5	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Network Meta-analysis to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Interventions to Increase the Uptake of Smoke Alarms. <i>Epidemiologic Reviews</i> , 2012, 34, 32-45.	3.5	45
20	Effect of Adding Systematic Family History Enquiry to Cardiovascular Disease Risk Assessment in Primary Care. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2012, 156, 253.	3.9	94
21	Adding Systematic Family History Enquiry to Cardiovascular Disease Risk Assessment: Clinical Utility in Primary Care. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2012, 157, 149.	3.9	2