Ismael Rodriguez-Lara

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2748978/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 713466 33 556 13 21 citations g-index h-index papers 33 33 33 378 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Self-interest and fairness: self-serving choices of justice principles. Experimental Economics, 2012, 15, 158-175.	2.1	74
2	On the Effects of Deposit Insurance and Observability on Bank Runs: An Experimental Study. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 2012, 44, 1651-1665.	1.6	56
3	Do social networks prevent or promote bank runs?. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2014, 101, 87-99.	2.0	52
4	Cheating, incentives, and money manipulation. Experimental Economics, 2019, 22, 155-177.	2.1	42
5	Social preferences and cognitive reflection: evidence from a dictator game experiment. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 2015, 9, 146.	2.0	38
6	Humans expect generosity. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 42446.	3.3	35
7	Think twice before running! Bank runs and cognitive abilities. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2016, 64, 12-19.	1.2	33
8	Gender differences in cheating: Loss vs. gain framing. Economics Letters, 2018, 163, 46-49.	1.9	28
9	Do women panic more than men? An experimental study of financial decisions. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2014, 52, 40-51.	1.2	24
10	Carry a big stick, or no stick at all. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2016, 57, 153-171.	2.2	23
11	Panic bank runs. Economics Letters, 2018, 162, 146-149.	1.9	22
10			
12	Equity and bargaining power in ultimatum games. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2016, 130, 144-165.	2.0	18
13	Equity and bargaining power in ultimatum games. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2016, 130, 144-165. Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot Stag-Hunt game. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2020, 86, 101535.	2.0	18
	Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot		
13	Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot Stag-Hunt game. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2020, 86, 101535.	1.2	17
13	Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot Stag-Hunt game. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2020, 86, 101535. Thinking fast, thinking badly. Economics Letters, 2018, 162, 41-44. Do Negative Random Shocks Affect Trust and Trustworthiness?. Southern Economic Journal, 2018, 85,	1.9	17
13 14 15	Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot Stag-Hunt game. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2020, 86, 101535. Thinking fast, thinking badly. Economics Letters, 2018, 162, 41-44. Do Negative Random Shocks Affect Trust and Trustworthiness?. Southern Economic Journal, 2018, 85, 563-579. Overthrowing the dictator: a game-theoretic approach to revolutions and media. Social Choice and	1.9	17 13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The emergence of altruism as a social norm. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 9684.	3.3	8
20	No evidence of inequality aversion in the investment game. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0204392.	2.5	7
21	Standard vs random dictator games: On the effects of role uncertainty and framing on generosity. Economics Letters, 2021, 206, 109981.	1.9	5
22	Are you a good employee or simply a good guy? Influence costs and contract design. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2013, 92, 259-272.	2.0	4
23	Do Social Networks Prevent Bank Runs?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2012, , .	0.4	3
24	Think Twice Before Running! Bank Runs and Cognitive Abilities. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2014, , .	0.4	3
25	Conformity and truthful voting under different voting rules. Social Choice and Welfare, 2019, 53, 261-282.	0.8	3
26	Modeling Inequity Aversion in a Dictator Game with Production. Games, 2012, 3, 138-149.	0.6	2
27	An experimental study of gender differences in distributive justice. Cuadernos De Economia (Spain), 2015, 38, 27-36.	0.1	2
28	Preventing (panic) bank runs. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance, 2022, 35, 100697.	3.8	2
29	Does response time predict withdrawal decisions? Lessons from a bank-run experiment. Review of Behavioral Finance, 2019, 12, 200-222.	2.0	1
30	Who withdraws first? Line formation during bank runs. Journal of Banking and Finance, 2022, , 106491.	2.9	1
31	Thinking Fast, Thinking Badly. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2017, , .	0.4	O
32	Does Response Time Predict Withdrawal Decisions? Lessons From a Bank-Run Experiment. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2018, , .	0.4	0
33	Do Women Panic More than Men? An Experimental Study on Financial Decision. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	O