

Amrei von Hase

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2531407/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

16
papers

915
citations

840776

11
h-index

996975

15
g-index

16
all docs

16
docs citations

16
times ranked

1012
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Aligning ecological compensation policies with the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework to achieve real net gain in biodiversity. <i>Conservation Science and Practice</i> , 2022, 4, .	2.0	8
2	Spatial analysis to inform the mitigation hierarchy. <i>Conservation Science and Practice</i> , 2022, 4, .	2.0	4
3	Quantifying the “avoided” biodiversity impacts associated with economic development. <i>Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment</i> , 2022, 20, 370-378.	4.0	12
4	Global no net loss of natural ecosystems. <i>Nature Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2020, 4, 46-49.	7.8	51
5	Local conditions and policy design determine whether ecological compensation can achieve No Net Loss goals. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2020, 11, 2072.	12.8	56
6	Moving from biodiversity offsets to a target-based approach for ecological compensation. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2020, 13, e12695.	5.7	51
7	The many meanings of no net loss in environmental policy. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2018, 1, 19-27.	23.7	146
8	Correct framing of biodiversity offsets and conservation: a response to Apostolopoulou & Adams. <i>Oryx</i> , 2017, 51, 32-34.	1.0	13
9	Biodiversity offsets in South Africa “ challenges and potential solutions. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2017, 35, 248-256.	1.8	33
10	A Loss-Gain Calculator for Biodiversity Offsets and the Circumstances in Which No Net Loss Is Feasible. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2016, 9, 252-259.	5.7	53
11	Biodiversity offsets are one solution to widespread poorly compensated biodiversity loss: a response to Curran et al., 2015, 25, 1739-1741.		15
12	Biodiversity Offsets and the Challenge of Achieving No Net Loss. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2013, 27, 1254-1264.	4.7	205
13	A process for assessing the offsetability of biodiversity impacts. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2013, 6, 376-384.	5.7	47
14	Evaluating Private Land Conservation in the Cape Lowlands, South Africa. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2010, 24, 1182-1189.	4.7	32
15	Designing Systematic Conservation Assessments that Promote Effective Implementation: Best Practice from South Africa. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2006, 20, 739-750.	4.7	180
16	SYSTEMATIC CONSERVATION PLANNING IN THE CAPE FLORISTIC REGION AND SUCCULENT KAROO, SOUTH AFRICA: ENABLING SOUND SPATIAL PLANNING AND IMPROVED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2005, 07, 201-228.	7.9	9