

Cory E Goldstein

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2504507/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

27
papers

482
citations

933447

10
h-index

713466

21
g-index

27
all docs

27
docs citations

27
times ranked

1059
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Patient Partner Perspectives Regarding Ethically and Clinically Important Aspects of Trial Design in Pragmatic Cluster Randomized Trials for Hemodialysis. Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease, 2021, 8, 205435812110328.	1.1	0
2	Informed consent in cluster randomised trials: a guide for the perplexed. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e054213.	1.9	15
3	Informed consent in pragmatic trials: results from a survey of trials published 2014–2019. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2021, , medethics-2021-107765.	1.8	12
4	Response. Clinical Trials, 2020, 17, 461-462.	1.6	0
5	Reporting of key methodological and ethical aspects of cluster trials in hemodialysis require improvement: a systematic review. Trials, 2020, 21, 752.	1.6	5
6	Is it unethical to publish data from Chinese transplant research?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 689-690.	1.8	1
7	Ethical Issues in the Design and Conduct of Pragmatic Cluster Randomized Trials in Hemodialysis Care: An Interview Study With Key Stakeholders. Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease, 2020, 7, 205435812096411.	1.1	3
8	Cluster over individual randomization: are study design choices appropriately justified? Review of a random sample of trials. Clinical Trials, 2020, 17, 253-263.	1.6	24
9	It Does Not Matter Whether Research Interventions Are Usual Care. American Journal of Bioethics, 2020, 20, 47-48.	0.9	4
10	Ethical issues raised by cluster randomised trials conducted in low-resource settings: identifying gaps in the Ottawa Statement through an analysis of the PURE Malawi trial. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2019, 45, 388-393.	1.8	5
11	A Stepwise Approach to Ethically Assess Pragmatic Cluster Randomized Trials: Implications for Informed Consent for Suicide Prevention Implementation Research. American Journal of Bioethics, 2019, 19, 101-103.	0.9	0
12	Ethical Issues in Pragmatic Cluster-Randomized Trials in Dialysis Facilities. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, 2019, 74, 659-666.	1.9	6
13	The ethical challenges raised in the design and conduct of pragmatic trials: an interview study with key stakeholders. Trials, 2019, 20, 765.	1.6	30
14	Stepped-wedge trials should be classified as research for the purpose of ethical review. Clinical Trials, 2019, 16, 580-588.	1.6	5
15	Cultivating Innovative Pragmatic Cluster-Randomized Registry Trials Embedded in Hemodialysis Care: Workshop Proceedings From 2018. Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease, 2019, 6, 205435811989439.	1.1	7
16	In reply: When and how should we cluster and cross over: methodological and ethical issues (letters) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgt /Overlock 10 Tf	1.6	0
17	TwIC or treat? Are trials within cohorts ethically defensible?. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 21-24.	1.6	13
18	An Ethical Analysis of the SUPPORT Trial: Addressing Challenges Posed by a Pragmatic Comparative Effectiveness Randomized Controlled Trial. Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal, 2018, 28, 85-118.	0.5	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Stakeholder views regarding ethical issues in the design and conduct of pragmatic trials: study protocol. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 90.	2.4	7
20	Reporting of stepped wedge cluster randomised trials: extension of the CONSORT 2010 statement with explanation and elaboration. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 363, k1614.	2.3	235
21	Developing a framework for the ethical design and conduct of pragmatic trials in healthcare: a mixed methods research protocol. Trials, 2018, 19, 525.	1.6	21
22	Accommodating quality and service improvement research within existing ethical principles. Trials, 2018, 19, 334.	1.6	7
23	Thinking clearly about the FIRST trial: addressing ethical challenges in cluster randomised trials of policy interventions involving health providers. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2018, 44, 593-598.	1.8	2
24	Ethical issues in pragmatic randomized controlled trials: a review of the recent literature identifies gaps in ethical argumentation. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 14.	2.4	48
25	When and how should we cluster and cross over: methodological and ethical issues. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2018, 65, 760-765.	1.6	9
26	Do doctors have a duty to take part in pragmatic randomised trials?. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 357, j2817.	2.3	11
27	Does Consent Form Follow Function?. American Journal of Bioethics, 2017, 17, 29-31.	0.9	1