

# Susan L Norris

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2414563/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

24  
papers

2,999  
citations

567281

15  
h-index

677142

22  
g-index

25  
all docs

25  
docs citations

25  
times ranked

5633  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Contribution and quality of mathematical modeling evidence in World Health Organization guidelines: A systematic review. <i>Epidemics</i> , 2022, 39, 100570.	3.0	4
2	Current definitions of living systematic reviews and living guidelines need to change. <i>Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2022, 15, 75-76.	1.8	1
3	Clinical Practice Guidelines Registry: Toward Reducing Duplication, Improving Collaboration, and Increasing Transparency. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 705-707.	3.9	30
4	Systematic review of the efficacy and safety of antiretroviral drugs against SARS, MERS or COVID-19: initial assessment. <i>Journal of the International AIDS Society</i> , 2020, 23, e25489.	3.0	116
5	An evaluation of WHO emergency guidelines for Zika virus disease. <i>Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2019, 12, 218-224.	1.8	5
6	The WHO-INTEGRATE evidence to decision framework version 1.0: integrating WHO norms and values and a complexity perspective. <i>BMJ Global Health</i> , 2019, 4, e000844.	4.7	114
7	WHO guidance for refugees in camps: systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e027094.	1.9	7
8	A review of methods used for hazard identification and risk assessment of environmental hazards. <i>Environment International</i> , 2019, 123, 231-239.	10.0	49
9	The use of mathematical modeling studies for evidence synthesis and guideline development: A glossary. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2019, 10, 125-133.	8.7	38
10	Meeting public health needs in emergencies—World Health Organization guidelines. <i>Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2018, 11, 133-135.	2.4	17
11	Common issues raised during the quality assurance process of WHO guidelines: a cross-sectional study. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2018, 16, 7.	2.8	1
12	An evaluation of emergency guidelines issued by the World Health Organization in response to four infectious disease outbreaks. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0198125.	2.5	15
13	Incorporating health workers' perspectives into a WHO guideline on personal protective equipment developed during an Ebola virus disease outbreak. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2018, 7, 45.	1.6	16
14	Incorporating health workers' perspectives into a WHO guideline on personal protective equipment developed during an Ebola virus disease outbreak. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2018, 7, 45.	1.6	14
15	Improving the quality of WHO guidelines over the last decade: progress and challenges. <i>The Lancet Global Health</i> , 2017, 5, e855-e856.	6.3	23
16	Developing WHO guidelines: Time to formally include evidence from mathematical modelling studies. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2017, 6, 1584.	1.6	32
17	Developing WHO guidelines: Time to formally include evidence from mathematical modelling studies. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2017, 6, 1584.	1.6	54
18	GRADE Methods for Guideline Development: Time to Evolve?. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 165, 810.	3.9	33

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The skills and experience of GRADE methodologists can be assessed with a simple tool. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 79, 150-158.e1.	5.0	122
20	Limitations of A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) and suggestions for improvement. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016, 5, 58.	5.3	67
21	Clarifying WHO's position on the FRAX® tool for fracture prediction. <i>Bulletin of the World Health Organization</i> , 2016, 94, 862-862.	3.3	31
22	Implementation plans included in World Health Organisation guidelines. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 76.	6.9	33
23	Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0140290.	2.5	47
24	GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2011, 64, 407-415.	5.0	2,130