## Susan L Norris

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2414563/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 407-415.	5.0	2,130
2	The skills and experience of GRADE methodologists can be assessed with a simple tool. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 79, 150-158.e1.	5.0	122
3	Systematic review of the efficacy and safety of antiretroviral drugs against SARS, MERS or COVIDâ€19: initial assessment. Journal of the International AIDS Society, 2020, 23, e25489.	3.0	116
4	The WHO-INTEGRATE evidence to decision framework version 1.0: integrating WHO norms and values and a complexity perspective. BMJ Global Health, 2019, 4, e000844.	4.7	114
5	Limitations of A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) and suggestions for improvement. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 58.	5.3	67
6	Developing WHO guidelines: Time to formally include evidence from mathematical modelling studies. F1000Research, 2017, 6, 1584.	1.6	54
7	A review of methods used for hazard identification and risk assessment of environmental hazards. Environment International, 2019, 123, 231-239.	10.0	49
8	Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0140290.	2.5	47
9	The use of mathematical modeling studies for evidence synthesis and guideline development: A glossary. Research Synthesis Methods, 2019, 10, 125-133.	8.7	38
10	Implementation plans included in World Health Organisation guidelines. Implementation Science, 2015, 11, 76.	6.9	33
11	GRADE Methods for Guideline Development: Time to Evolve?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 165, 810.	3.9	33
12	Developing WHO guidelines: Time to formally include evidence from mathematical modelling studies. F1000Research, 2017, 6, 1584.	1.6	32
13	Clarifying WHO's position on the FRAX® tool for fracture prediction. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2016, 94, 862-862.	3.3	31
14	Clinical Practice Guidelines Registry: Toward Reducing Duplication, Improving Collaboration, and Increasing Transparency. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 705-707.	3.9	30
15	Improving the quality of WHO guidelines over the last decade: progress and challenges. The Lancet Global Health, 2017, 5, e855-e856.	6.3	23
16	Meeting public health needs in emergencies–World Health Organization guidelines. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2018, 11, 133-135.	2.4	17
17	Incorporating health workers' perspectives into a WHO guideline on personal protective equipment developed during an Ebola virus disease outbreak. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 45.	1.6	16
18	An evaluation of emergency guidelines issued by the World Health Organization in response to four infectious disease outbreaks. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0198125.	2.5	15

SUSAN L NORRIS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Incorporating health workers' perspectives into a WHO guideline on personal protective equipment developed during an Ebola virus disease outbreak. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 45.	1.6	14
20	WHO guidance for refugees in camps: systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027094.	1.9	7
21	An evaluation of WHO emergency guidelines for Zika virus disease. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2019, 12, 218-224.	1.8	5
22	Contribution and quality of mathematical modeling evidence in World Health Organization guidelines: A systematic review. Epidemics, 2022, 39, 100570.	3.0	4
23	Common issues raised during the quality assurance process of WHO guidelines: a cross-sectional study. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2018, 16, 7.	2.8	1
24	Current definitions of living systematic reviews and living guidelines need to change. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2022, 15, 75-76.	1.8	1