## M Remzi Sanver

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2342125/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 361022 1,547 63 13 35 citations h-index g-index papers 65 65 65 532 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Recovering non-monotonicity problems of voting rules. Social Choice and Welfare, 2021, 56, 125-141.	0.8	1
2	On the subgame perfect implementability of voting rules. Social Choice and Welfare, 2021, 56, 421-441.	0.8	4
3	An Arrovian impossibility in combining ranking and evaluation. Social Choice and Welfare, 2021, 57, 535-555.	0.8	5
4	A solution to the two-person implementation problem. Journal of Economic Theory, 2021, 194, 105261.	1.1	8
5	The relationship between Arrow's and Wilson's theorems on restricted domains. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2021, 114, 95-95.	0.5	O
6	Anonymous, neutral, and resolute social choice revisited. Social Choice and Welfare, 2021, 57, 97-113.	0.8	5
7	Metrizable preferences over preferences. Social Choice and Welfare, 2020, 55, 177-191.	0.8	O
8	Editorial: Special issue in memory of Kenneth J. Arrow. Social Choice and Welfare, 2020, 54, 201-202.	0.8	0
9	Introduction to mechanism design and implementation <sup>â€</sup> . Transnational Corporations Review, 2019, 11, 1-6.	3.1	14
10	Compromise Rules Revisited. Group Decision and Negotiation, 2019, 28, 63-78.	3.3	6
11	Implementing Pareto Optimal and Individually Rational Outcomes by Veto. Group Decision and Negotiation, 2018, 27, 223-233.	3.3	2
12	Which dictatorial domains are superdictatorial? A complete characterization for the Gibbard–Satterthwaite impossibility. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2018, 94, 32-34.	0.5	0
13	Restricting the domain allows for weaker independence. Social Choice and Welfare, 2018, 51, 563-575.	0.8	O
14	Nash implementing social choice rules with restricted ranges. Review of Economic Design, 2017, 21, 65-72.	0.3	1
15	Absolute qualified majoritarianism: How does the threshold matter?. Economics Letters, 2017, 153, 20-22.	1.9	3
16	Revisiting the connection between the no-show paradox and monotonicity. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2017, 90, 9-17.	0.5	9
17	Evaluationwise strategy-proofness. Games and Economic Behavior, 2017, 106, 227-238.	0.8	3
18	Voting games of resolute social choice correspondences. Social Choice and Welfare, 2015, 45, 187-201.	0.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Minimal Maskin Monotonic Extensions of Tournament Solutions. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2015, , 127-141.	0.2	1
20	Social choice without the Pareto principle under weak independence. Social Choice and Welfare, 2014, 43, 953-961.	0.8	5
21	On the manipulability of voting rules: The case of 4 and 5 alternatives. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2012, 64, 67-73.	0.5	29
22	Monotonicity properties and their adaptation to irresolute social choice rules. Social Choice and Welfare, 2012, 39, 371-398.	0.8	19
23	An individual manipulability of positional voting rules. SERIEs, 2011, 2, 431-446.	1.4	17
24	Simple Collective Identity Functions. Theory and Decision, 2010, 68, 417-443.	1.0	21
25	A new monotonicity condition for tournament solutions. Theory and Decision, 2010, 69, 439-452.	1.0	5
26	A characterization of the Copeland solution. Economics Letters, 2010, 107, 354-355.	1.9	1
27	Approval as an Intrinsic Part of Preference. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2010, , 469-481.	0.2	10
28	Expected Utility Consistent Extensions of Preferences. Theory and Decision, 2009, 67, 123-144.	1.0	6
29	Choosers as extension axioms. Theory and Decision, 2009, 67, 375-384.	1.0	6
30	One-way monotonicity as a form of strategy-proofness. International Journal of Game Theory, 2009, 38, 553-574.	0.5	17
31	Strategy-proofness of the plurality rule over restricted domains. Economic Theory, 2009, 39, 461-471.	0.9	17
32	Sophisticated preference aggregation. Social Choice and Welfare, 2009, 33, 73-86.	0.8	3
33	Characterizations of majoritarianism: a unified approach. Social Choice and Welfare, 2009, 33, 159-171.	0.8	11
34	Stereotype formation as trait aggregation. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2009, 58, 226-237.	0.5	2
35	A general impossibility result on strategy-proof social choice hyperfunctions. Games and Economic Behavior, 2009, 66, 880-892.	0.8	14
36	Voting Systems that Combine Approval and Preference. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2009, , 215-237.	0.2	46

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Arrovian impossibilities in aggregating preferences over non-resolute outcomes. Social Choice and Welfare, 2008, 30, 495-506.	0.8	1
38	Nash implementability of the plurality rule over restricted domains. Economics Letters, 2008, 99, 298-300.	1.9	4
39	On the alternating use of "unanimity―and "surjectivity―in the Gibbard–Satterthwaite Theorem. Economics Letters, 2007, 96, 140-143.	1.9	8
40	A characterization of superdictatorial domains for strategy-proof social choice functions. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2007, 54, 257-260.	0.5	7
41	Strategy-proof resolute social choice correspondences. Social Choice and Welfare, 2007, 30, 89-101.	0.8	10
42	A minimax procedure for electing committees. Public Choice, 2007, 132, 401-420.	1.7	90
43	A Minimax Procedure for Negotiating Multilateral Treaties. , 2007, , 265-282.		8
44	Maskin monotonic aggregation rules. Economics Letters, 2006, 91, 179-183.	1.9	23
45	Nash implementation of the majority rule. Economics Letters, 2006, 91, 369-372.	1.9	4
46	Nash implementing non-monotonic social choice rules by awards. Economic Theory, 2006, 28, 453-460.	0.9	19
47	Nash implementation via hyperfunctions. Social Choice and Welfare, 2006, 26, 607-623.	0.8	7
48	Ensuring Pareto Optimality by Referendum Voting. Social Choice and Welfare, 2006, 27, 211-219.	0.8	23
49	Dictatorial domains in preference aggregation. Social Choice and Welfare, 2006, 28, 61-76.	0.8	12
50	Critical strategies under approval voting: Who gets ruled in and ruled out. Electoral Studies, 2006, 25, 287-305.	1.7	50
51	How to Elect a Representative Committee Using Approval Balloting. , 2006, , 83-95.		16
52	Minimal monotonic extensions of scoring rules. Social Choice and Welfare, 2005, 25, 31-42.	0.8	12
53	Equilibrium allocations of endowment-pretension games in public good economies. Review of Economic Design, 2005, 9, 307-316.	0.3	2
54	Efficiency in the Degree of Compromise: A New Axiom for Social Choice. Group Decision and Negotiation, 2004, 13, 375-380.	3.3	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Strong equilibrium outcomes of voting games i½½are the generalized Condorcet winners. Social Choice and Welfare, 2004, 22, 331-347.	0.8	26
56	Sets of alternatives as Condorcet winners. Social Choice and Welfare, 2003, 20, 477-494.	0.8	33
57	An Allocation Rule with Wealth-Regressive Tax Rates. Journal of Public Economic Theory, 2002, 4, 63-69.	1.1	3
58	Nash Equilibrium and Welfare Optimality. Review of Economic Studies, 1999, 66, 23-38.	5.4	828
59	Feasible Nash Implementation of Social Choice Rules When the Designer Does not Know Endowments or Production Sets., 1995,, 367-433.		54
60	Revisiting the Connection between the No-Show Paradox and Monotonicity. SSRN Electronic Journal, $0,  ,  .$	0.4	0
61	Mechanism design for pandemics. Review of Economic Design, 0, , 1.	0.3	0
62	Monotonicity violations under plurality with a runoff: the case of French presidential elections. Social Choice and Welfare, $0$ , $1$ .	0.8	0
63	Compromising as an equal loss principle. Review of Economic Design, 0, , .	0.3	O