

# M Remzi Sanver

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2342125/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

63  
papers

1,547  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

361022

35  
g-index

65  
all docs

65  
docs citations

65  
times ranked

532  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Nash Equilibrium and Welfare Optimality. <i>Review of Economic Studies</i> , 1999, 66, 23-38.	5.4	828
2	A minimax procedure for electing committees. <i>Public Choice</i> , 2007, 132, 401-420.	1.7	90
3	Feasible Nash Implementation of Social Choice Rules When the Designer Does not Know Endowments or Production Sets. , 1995, , 367-433.		54
4	Critical strategies under approval voting: Who gets ruled in and ruled out. <i>Electoral Studies</i> , 2006, 25, 287-305.	1.7	50
5	Voting Systems that Combine Approval and Preference. <i>Studies in Choice and Welfare</i> , 2009, , 215-237.	0.2	46
6	Sets of alternatives as Condorcet winners. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2003, 20, 477-494.	0.8	33
7	On the manipulability of voting rules: The case of 4 and 5 alternatives. <i>Mathematical Social Sciences</i> , 2012, 64, 67-73.	0.5	29
8	Strong equilibrium outcomes of voting games $\frac{1}{2}$ are the generalized Condorcet winners. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2004, 22, 331-347.	0.8	26
9	Maskin monotonic aggregation rules. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2006, 91, 179-183.	1.9	23
10	Ensuring Pareto Optimality by Referendum Voting. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2006, 27, 211-219.	0.8	23
11	Simple Collective Identity Functions. <i>Theory and Decision</i> , 2010, 68, 417-443.	1.0	21
12	Nash implementing non-monotonic social choice rules by awards. <i>Economic Theory</i> , 2006, 28, 453-460.	0.9	19
13	Monotonicity properties and their adaptation to irresolute social choice rules. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2012, 39, 371-398.	0.8	19
14	One-way monotonicity as a form of strategy-proofness. <i>International Journal of Game Theory</i> , 2009, 38, 553-574.	0.5	17
15	Strategy-proofness of the plurality rule over restricted domains. <i>Economic Theory</i> , 2009, 39, 461-471.	0.9	17
16	An individual manipulability of positional voting rules. <i>SERIEs</i> , 2011, 2, 431-446.	1.4	17
17	How to Elect a Representative Committee Using Approval Balloting. , 2006, , 83-95.		16
18	A general impossibility result on strategy-proof social choice hyperfunctions. <i>Games and Economic Behavior</i> , 2009, 66, 880-892.	0.8	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Introduction to mechanism design and implementation. Transnational Corporations Review, 2019, 11, 1-6.	3.1	14
20	Minimal monotonic extensions of scoring rules. Social Choice and Welfare, 2005, 25, 31-42.	0.8	12
21	Dictatorial domains in preference aggregation. Social Choice and Welfare, 2006, 28, 61-76.	0.8	12
22	Characterizations of majoritarianism: a unified approach. Social Choice and Welfare, 2009, 33, 159-171.	0.8	11
23	Strategy-proof resolute social choice correspondences. Social Choice and Welfare, 2007, 30, 89-101.	0.8	10
24	Approval as an Intrinsic Part of Preference. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2010, , 469-481.	0.2	10
25	Revisiting the connection between the no-show paradox and monotonicity. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2017, 90, 9-17.	0.5	9
26	On the alternating use of unanimity and surjectivity in the Gibbard-Satterthwaite Theorem. Economics Letters, 2007, 96, 140-143.	1.9	8
27	A solution to the two-person implementation problem. Journal of Economic Theory, 2021, 194, 105261.	1.1	8
28	A Minimax Procedure for Negotiating Multilateral Treaties. , 2007, , 265-282.		8
29	Nash implementation via hyperfunctions. Social Choice and Welfare, 2006, 26, 607-623.	0.8	7
30	A characterization of superdictatorial domains for strategy-proof social choice functions. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2007, 54, 257-260.	0.5	7
31	Efficiency in the Degree of Compromise: A New Axiom for Social Choice. Group Decision and Negotiation, 2004, 13, 375-380.	3.3	6
32	Expected Utility Consistent Extensions of Preferences. Theory and Decision, 2009, 67, 123-144.	1.0	6
33	Choosers as extension axioms. Theory and Decision, 2009, 67, 375-384.	1.0	6
34	Compromise Rules Revisited. Group Decision and Negotiation, 2019, 28, 63-78.	3.3	6
35	A new monotonicity condition for tournament solutions. Theory and Decision, 2010, 69, 439-452.	1.0	5
36	Social choice without the Pareto principle under weak independence. Social Choice and Welfare, 2014, 43, 953-961.	0.8	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	An Arrovian impossibility in combining ranking and evaluation. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2021, 57, 535-555.	0.8	5
38	Anonymous, neutral, and resolute social choice revisited. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2021, 57, 97-113.	0.8	5
39	Nash implementation of the majority rule. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2006, 91, 369-372.	1.9	4
40	Nash implementability of the plurality rule over restricted domains. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2008, 99, 298-300.	1.9	4
41	On the subgame perfect implementability of voting rules. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2021, 56, 421-441.	0.8	4
42	An Allocation Rule with Wealth-Regressive Tax Rates. <i>Journal of Public Economic Theory</i> , 2002, 4, 63-69.	1.1	3
43	Sophisticated preference aggregation. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2009, 33, 73-86.	0.8	3
44	Absolute qualified majoritarianism: How does the threshold matter?. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2017, 153, 20-22.	1.9	3
45	Evaluationwise strategy-proofness. <i>Games and Economic Behavior</i> , 2017, 106, 227-238.	0.8	3
46	Equilibrium allocations of endowment-pretension games in public good economies. <i>Review of Economic Design</i> , 2005, 9, 307-316.	0.3	2
47	Stereotype formation as trait aggregation. <i>Mathematical Social Sciences</i> , 2009, 58, 226-237.	0.5	2
48	Voting games of resolute social choice correspondences. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2015, 45, 187-201.	0.8	2
49	Implementing Pareto Optimal and Individually Rational Outcomes by Veto. <i>Group Decision and Negotiation</i> , 2018, 27, 223-233.	3.3	2
50	Arrovian impossibilities in aggregating preferences over non-resolute outcomes. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2008, 30, 495-506.	0.8	1
51	A characterization of the Copeland solution. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2010, 107, 354-355.	1.9	1
52	Nash implementing social choice rules with restricted ranges. <i>Review of Economic Design</i> , 2017, 21, 65-72.	0.3	1
53	Recovering non-monotonicity problems of voting rules. <i>Social Choice and Welfare</i> , 2021, 56, 125-141.	0.8	1
54	Minimal Maskin Monotonic Extensions of Tournament Solutions. <i>Studies in Choice and Welfare</i> , 2015, 127-141.	0.2	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Revisiting the Connection between the No-Show Paradox and Monotonicity. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
56	Which dictatorial domains are superdictatorial? A complete characterization for the Gibbardâ€™Satterthwaite impossibility. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2018, 94, 32-34.	0.5	0
57	Restricting the domain allows for weaker independence. Social Choice and Welfare, 2018, 51, 563-575.	0.8	0
58	Metrizible preferences over preferences. Social Choice and Welfare, 2020, 55, 177-191.	0.8	0
59	Editorial: Special issue in memory of Kenneth J. Arrow. Social Choice and Welfare, 2020, 54, 201-202.	0.8	0
60	The relationship between Arrowâ€™s and Wilsonâ€™s theorems on restricted domains. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2021, 114, 95-95.	0.5	0
61	Mechanism design for pandemics. Review of Economic Design, 0, , 1.	0.3	0
62	Monotonicity violations under plurality with a runoff: the case of French presidential elections. Social Choice and Welfare, 0, , 1.	0.8	0
63	Compromising as an equal loss principle. Review of Economic Design, 0, , .	0.3	0