

# Fady Y Hijji

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2308312/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

51  
papers

695  
citations

623734

14  
h-index

610901

24  
g-index

52  
all docs

52  
docs citations

52  
times ranked

875  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Lateral lumbar interbody fusion: a systematic review of complication rates. Spine Journal, 2017, 17, 1412-1419.	1.3	97
2	Radiation exposure and reduction in the operating room: Perspectives and future directions in spine surgery. World Journal of Orthopedics, 2017, 8, 524.	1.8	51
3	Multimodal Analgesia Versus Intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia for Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion Procedures. Spine, 2017, 42, 1145-1150.	2.0	45
4	Impact of body mass index on surgical outcomes, narcotics consumption, and hospital costs following anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine, 2018, 28, 160-166.	1.7	35
5	Improvements in Neck and Arm Pain Following an Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion. Spine, 2017, 42, E825-E832.	2.0	34
6	Patient Perceptions of Minimally Invasive Versus Open Spine Surgery. Clinical Spine Surgery, 2018, 31, E184-E192.	1.3	32
7	Preoperative mental health status may not be predictive of improvements in patient-reported outcomes following an anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine, 2017, 26, 177-182.	1.7	30
8	Radiographic Analysis of Psoas Morphology and its Association With Neurovascular Structures at L4-5 With Reference to Lateral Approaches. Spine, 2017, 42, E1386-E1392.	2.0	26
9	Risk Factors Associated With Failure to Reach Minimal Clinically Important Difference in Patient-reported Outcomes Following Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion for Spondylolisthesis. Clinical Spine Surgery, 2018, 31, E92-E97.	1.3	24
10	Comparison of Postoperative Outcomes Between Primary MIS TLIF and MIS TLIF With Revision Decompression. Spine, 2019, 44, 150-156.	2.0	23
11	Cervical disc arthroplasty: do conflicts of interest influence the outcome of clinical studies?. Spine Journal, 2017, 17, 1026-1032.	1.3	22
12	Impact of local steroid application on dysphagia following an anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: results of a prospective, randomized single-blind trial. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine, 2018, 29, 10-17.	1.7	21
13	Sex Differences for Anterior Cervical Fusion. Spine, 2018, 43, 1025-1030.	2.0	20
14	Patient knowledge regarding radiation exposure from spinal imaging. Spine Journal, 2017, 17, 305-312.	1.3	19
15	The Popularity of Outcome Measures Used in the Foot and Ankle Literature. Foot and Ankle Specialist, 2020, 13, 58-68.	1.0	16
16	Iliac Crest Bone Graft. Clinical Spine Surgery, 2017, 30, 439-441.	1.3	14
17	Variation in Spine Surgeon Selection Criteria Between Neurosurgery and Orthopedic Surgery Patients. Clinical Spine Surgery, 2018, 31, E127-E132.	1.3	14
18	Narcotic Consumption Following Anterior and Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion Procedures. Clinical Spine Surgery, 2017, 30, E1190-E1200.	1.3	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Predictors of Citation Rate in the Spine Literature. <i>Clinical Spine Surgery</i> , 2020, 33, 76-81.	1.3	12
20	Postoperative Fever Evaluation Following Lumbar Fusion Procedures. <i>Neurospine</i> , 2018, 15, 154-162.	2.9	12
21	Risk Factors Associated With Failure to Reach Minimal Clinically Important Difference in Patient-Reported Outcomes Following Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2019, 13, 262-269.	1.5	12
22	Preoperative Mental Health is not Predictive of Patient-reported Outcomes Following a Minimally Invasive Lumbar Discectomy. <i>Clinical Spine Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, E1388-E1391.	1.3	11
23	Is Body Mass Index a Risk Factor for Revision Procedures After Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion?. <i>Clinical Spine Surgery</i> , 2018, 31, E85-E91.	1.3	9
24	Dysphagia Following Anterior Cervical Spine Surgery: Assessment Using an Abridged SWAL-QOL. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2019, 13, 102-109.	1.5	9
25	Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion: Comparison of Isthmic Versus Degenerative Spondylolisthesis. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 115-124.	1.5	9
26	Preoperative Mental Health May Not Be Predictive of Improvements in Patient-Reported Outcomes Following a Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 26-31.	1.5	9
27	The Utility of Routinely Obtaining Postoperative Laboratory Studies Following a Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion. <i>Clinical Spine Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, E1405-E1410.	1.3	8
28	Iliac Crest Bone Graft for Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion. <i>Spine</i> , 2018, 43, 1307-1312.	2.0	8
29	Minimally invasive techniques for lumbar decompressions and fusions. <i>Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine</i> , 2017, 10, 559-566.	3.5	7
30	Does Day of Surgery Affect Hospital Length of Stay and Charges Following Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion?. <i>Clinical Spine Surgery</i> , 2018, 31, E291-E295.	1.3	7
31	A Comparison of Narcotic Consumption Between Hospital and Ambulatory-Based Surgery Centers Following Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2018, 12, 595-602.	1.5	7
32	A Review of Vitamin D in Spinal Surgery: Deficiency Screening, Treatment, and Outcomes. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 447-454.	1.5	7
33	Spinal Surgeon Variation in Single-Level Cervical Fusion Procedures. <i>Spine</i> , 2017, 42, 1031-1038.	2.0	6
34	Does the Day of the Week Affect Length of Stay and Hospital Charges Following Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion?. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2019, 13, 296-301.	1.5	6
35	Risk Factors for a Long Hospital Stay Following Minimally Invasive Lumbar Discectomy. <i>Clinical Spine Surgery</i> , 2019, 32, E56-E59.	1.3	5
36	American Society of Anesthesiologists Score is Not Predictive of Complication Incidence After Minimally Invasive Posterior Lumbar Spine Procedures. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 32-37.	1.5	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion: Comparison of Grade I Versus Grade II Isthmic Spondylolisthesis. <i>International Journal of Spine Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 108-114.	1.5	4
38	Publication Rates of Abstracts Accepted to the 2010â€“2012 Annual Meetings of the North American Spine Society. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2017, 17, S212.	1.3	2
39	How Do Patient-Reported Outcomes Vary Between Lumbar Fusion Patients with Complete Versus Incomplete Follow-Up?. <i>World Neurosurgery</i> , 2022, 158, e717-e725.	1.3	2
40	Effects of Intraoperative Anesthetic Medications on Postoperative Urinary Retention after Single Level Lumbar Fusion. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2016, 16, S373-S374.	1.3	1
41	Lower Narcotic Dose and Higher Inpatient Pain Scores Lead to Longer Hospital Stays Following Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2016, 16, S374-S375.	1.3	1
42	The efficacy of electrical spinal fusion stimulators on fusion rates: a meta-analysis. <i>Current Orthopaedic Practice</i> , 2018, 29, 316-321.	0.2	1
43	The popularity of outcome measures used in shoulder arthroplasty literature. <i>Shoulder and Elbow</i> , 2021, 13, 237-247.	1.5	1
44	Evaluation of Online Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion Patient Education Materials. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2016, 16, S357.	1.3	0
45	The Effect of Smoking Status on Inpatient Pain Scores following Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2016, 16, S359.	1.3	0
46	The Effect of Spinal Fusion Stimulators on Outcomes following Fusion Procedures: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2017, 17, S174-S175.	1.3	0
47	Value in lumbar spine fusion: Minimally invasive versus traditional open surgery. <i>Seminars in Spine Surgery</i> , 2018, 30, 121-124.	0.2	0
48	Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion for Degenerative Spine and Adult Deformity: Surgical Technique and the Evidence. <i>Seminars in Spine Surgery</i> , 2018, 30, 207-213.	0.2	0
49	Anatomic Considerations and Reconstruction of the Thumb Flexor Pulley System. <i>Techniques in Hand and Upper Extremity Surgery</i> , 2019, 23, 191-195.	0.6	0
50	Variability of orthopedic physician fracture location identification: Implications for bone stimulator treatment. <i>Orthopaedics and Traumatology: Surgery and Research</i> , 2020, 106, 1383-1390.	2.0	0
51	Accuracy of self-reported opioid use in orthopaedic trauma patients. <i>European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.4	0