

Linda Moy

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2186278/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

197
papers

8,614
citations

57758

44
h-index

54911

84
g-index

207
all docs

207
docs citations

207
times ranked

7820
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Advances in Abbreviated Breast MRI and Ultrafast Imaging. Seminars in Roentgenology, 2022, 57, 145-148.	0.6	3
2	Estimation of the capillary level input function for dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI of the breast using a deep learning approach. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2022, , .	3.0	1
3	Axillary Adenopathy after COVID-19 Vaccine: No Reason to Delay Screening Mammogram. Radiology, 2022, 303, 297-299.	7.3	41
4	The Economic Impact of AI on Breast Imaging. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2022, 4, 302-308.	1.3	10
5	Response to Letter to JACR regarding recently released ACR Appropriateness Criteria Supplemental Breast Cancer Screening. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, , .	1.8	0
6	Follow-up of COVID-19 Vaccine-related Axillary Lymphadenopathy before 12 weeks is Unnecessary. Radiology, 2022, , 220962.	7.3	1
7	Differences between human and machine perception in medical diagnosis. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 6877.	3.3	8
8	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of the Axilla. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, S87-S113.	1.8	2
9	Magnetic Resonance Imaging as an Alternative to Contrast-enhanced Computed Tomography to Mitigate Iodinated Contrast Shortages in the United States: Recommendations From the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, 56, 655-656.	3.4	4
10	Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Breast Imaging: An Analysis of the National Mammography Database. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, 919-934.	1.8	26
11	Mean Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Is a Sufficient Conventional Diffusion-weighted MRI Metric to Improve Breast MRI Diagnostic Performance: Results from the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group A6702 Diffusion Imaging Trial. Radiology, 2021, 298, 60-70.	7.3	30
12	Abbreviated MR Imaging for Breast Cancer. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2021, 59, 99-111.	1.8	10
13	An interpretable classifier for high-resolution breast cancer screening images utilizing weakly supervised localization. Medical Image Analysis, 2021, 68, 101908.	11.6	99
14	Measurement of cellular-interstitial water exchange time in tumors based on diffusion-time-dependent diffusional kurtosis imaging. NMR in Biomedicine, 2021, 34, e4496.	2.8	15
15	Comparison of Narrow-angle and Wide-angle Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Systems in Clinical Practice. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2021, 3, 240-255.	1.3	2
16	Bilateral gradient-echo spectroscopic imaging with correction of frequency variations for measurement of fatty acid composition in mammary adipose tissue. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2021, 86, 33-45.	3.0	1
17	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Update on Technology, Evidence, and Clinical Practice. Radiographics, 2021, 41, 321-337.	3.3	32
18	The COVID-19 Pandemic and Radiology Submissions. Radiology, 2021, 298, 483-484.	7.3	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Multinuclear MRI to disentangle intracellular sodium concentration and extracellular volume fraction in breast cancer. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 5156.	3.3	16
20	The RSNA International COVID-19 Open Radiology Database (RICORD). Radiology, 2021, 299, E204-E213.	7.3	95
21	Comparison of simultaneous multi-slice single-shot DWI to readout-segmented DWI for evaluation of breast lesions at 3T MRI. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 138, 109626.	2.6	9
22	Supplemental MRI in Extremely Dense Breasts: Sharp Reduction in False-Positive Rate in the Second Screening Round of the DENSE Trial. Radiology, 2021, 299, 287-289.	7.3	6
23	Breast MRI for Evaluation of Response to Neoadjuvant Therapy. Radiographics, 2021, 41, 665-679.	3.3	33
24	Lessons from the first DBTex Challenge. Nature Machine Intelligence, 2021, 3, 735-736.	16.0	8
25	Digital Mammography Is Similar to Screen-Film Mammography for Women with Personal History of Breast Cancer. Radiology, 2021, 300, 301-302.	7.3	1
26	Breast Cancer Screening Recommendations Inclusive of All Women at Average Risk: Update from the ACR and Society of Breast Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 1280-1288.	1.8	99
27	Radiologist Characteristics Associated with Interpretive Performance of Screening Mammography: A National Mammography Database (NMD) Study. Radiology, 2021, 300, 518-528.	7.3	10
28	Diffusion weighted imaging for evaluation of breast lesions: Comparison between high b-value single-shot and routine readout-segmented sequences at 3T. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2021, 84, 35-40.	1.8	3
29	Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Screening of Breast Cancer. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2021, 59, 85-98.	1.8	29
30	Artificial intelligence system reduces false-positive findings in the interpretation of breast ultrasound exams. Nature Communications, 2021, 12, 5645.	12.8	94
31	Factors Affecting Image Quality and Lesion Evaluability in Breast Diffusion-weighted MRI: Observations from the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group Multisite Trial (A6702). Journal of Breast Imaging, 2021, 3, 44-56.	1.3	10
32	Reducing False-Positive Biopsies using Deep Neural Networks that Utilize both Local and Global Image Context of Screening Mammograms. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2021, 34, 1414-1423.	2.9	3
33	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Transgender Breast Cancer Screening. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, S502-S515.	1.8	33
34	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Supplemental Breast Cancer Screening Based on Breast Density. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, S456-S473.	1.8	36
35	Background parenchymal enhancement on breast MRI: A comprehensive review. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2020, 51, 43-61.	3.4	68
36	Deep Neural Networks Improve Radiologists' Performance in Breast Cancer Screening. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 2020, 39, 1184-1194.	8.9	358

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Machine learning in breast MRI. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2020, 52, 998-1018.	3.4	100
38	Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI Evaluation of Pathologic Complete Response in Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2)-Positive Breast Cancer After HER2-Targeted Therapy. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2020, 27, e87-e93.	2.5	14
39	Unknown Case #5: A 38-Year-Old Woman with a Palpable Abnormality in the Right Breast. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 84-85.	1.3	0
40	Assessing Radiology Research on Artificial Intelligence: A Brief Guide for Authors, Reviewers, and Readersâ€”From the <i>Radiology</i> Editorial Board. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 294, 487-489.	7.3	229
41	Screening Guidelines Update for Average-Risk and High-Risk Women. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2020, 214, 316-323.	2.2	39
42	The relationship of breast density in mammography and magnetic resonance imaging in women with triple negative breast cancer. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2020, 124, 108813.	2.6	8
43	Response to COVID-19 in Breast Imaging. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 180-185.	1.3	17
44	Unknown Case #4: Part 2. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 81-83.	1.3	0
45	Architectural Distortion on Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Management Algorithm and Pathological Outcome. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 424-435.	1.3	7
46	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging After Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2020, 17, S403-S414.	1.8	12
47	Novel Approaches to Screening for Breast Cancer. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 297, 266-285.	7.3	77
48	Breast Cancer Screening and Health Care Costs. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 180, 1552.	5.1	2
49	Abbreviated Breast MRI: Road to Clinical Implementation. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 201-214.	1.3	13
50	Unknown Case #5 Diagnosis: Rheumatoid Arthritisâ€”Associated Lymphocytic Mastopathy. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 168-169.	1.3	0
51	BI-RADS Category 3 Is a Safe and Effective Alternative to Biopsy or Surgical Excision. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 296, 42-43.	7.3	4
52	Consecutive Screening Rounds with Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Enable Detection of Breast Cancers with Poor Prognosis. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 295, 294-295.	7.3	2
53	Checklist for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging (CLAIM): A Guide for Authors and Reviewers. <i>Radiology: Artificial Intelligence</i> , 2020, 2, e200029.	5.8	541
54	The Radiology Scientific Expert Panel. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 296, E105-E105.	7.3	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Re: Molecular Breast Imaging Under Threat by the Protecting Access to Medicare Act and ACR Appropriate Use Criteria. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 445-446.	1.8	1
56	Sentinel lymph node positivity in patients undergoing mastectomies for ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 931-936.	1.0	12
57	Role of MRI to Assess Response to Neoadjuvant Therapy for Breast Cancer. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2020, 52, .	3.4	29
58	Axillary Nodal Evaluation in Breast Cancer: State of the Art. Radiology, 2020, 295, 500-515.	7.3	151
59	Improving the Ability of Deep Neural Networks to Use Information from Multiple Views in Breast Cancer Screening. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 2020, 121, 827-842.	0.3	4
60	Breast MRI: State of the Art. Radiology, 2019, 292, 520-536.	7.3	442
61	Unknown Case #2: Part 1. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2019, 1, 153-154.	1.3	0
62	Unknown Case #2: Part 2. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2019, 1, 264-266.	1.3	0
63	Unknown Case #3: Part 1. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2019, 1, 267-267.	1.3	0
64	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stage I Breast Cancer: Initial Workup and Surveillance for Local Recurrence and Distant Metastases in Asymptomatic Women. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, S428-S439.	1.8	16
65	City Patterns of Screening Mammography Uptake and Disparity across the United States. Radiology, 2019, 293, 151-157.	7.3	13
66	Breast Cancer Screening in High-Risk Men: A 12-year Longitudinal Observational Study of Male Breast Imaging Utilization and Outcomes. Radiology, 2019, 293, 282-291.	7.3	43
67	Artificial Intelligence for Mammography and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Current Concepts and Future Perspectives. Radiology, 2019, 293, 246-259.	7.3	180
68	Contrast-enhanced MRI for breast cancer screening. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019, 50, 377-390.	3.4	199
69	Utility of Diffusion-weighted Imaging to Decrease Unnecessary Biopsies Prompted by Breast MRI: A Trial of the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group (A6702). Clinical Cancer Research, 2019, 25, 1756-1765.	7.0	100
70	MRI breast screening revisited. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019, 49, 1212-1221.	3.4	26
71	New Frontiers: An Update on Computer-Aided Diagnosis for Breast Imaging in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 300-307.	2.2	79
72	A dual-tuned multichannel bilateral RF coil for $>1\text{H}/^{23}\text{Na}$ breast MRI at 7 T. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2019, 82, 1566-1575.	3.0	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Fatty acid composition in mammary adipose tissue measured by Gradient-echo Spectroscopic MRI and its association with breast cancers. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2019, 116, 205-211.	2.6	10
74	Response to Letter: "œœs Breast MRI Without Contrast Feasible and Appropriate During Pregnancy?" <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2019, 16, 409-410.	1.8	0
75	Is Digital Breast Tomosynthesis the Better Mammogram for Local Breast Cancer Staging?. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 291, 604-605.	7.3	1
76	Overstated Harms of Breast Cancer Screening? A Large Outcomes Analysis of Complications Associated With 9-Gauge Stereotactic Vacuum-Assisted Breast Biopsy. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2019, 212, 925-932.	2.2	19
77	Unknown Case #3: Part 2. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2019, 1, 352-353.	1.3	0
78	Unknown Case #4: Part 1. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2019, 1, 354-355.	1.3	0
79	Abbreviated MRI of the Breast: Does It Provide Value?. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2019, 49, e85-e100.	3.4	107
80	Risk Stratification for Screening Mammography: Benefits and Harms. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2019, 212, 250-258.	2.2	15
81	Globally-Aware Multiple Instance Classifier for Breast Cancer Screening. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2019, 11861, 18-26.	1.3	18
82	Developments in Breast Imaging. <i>Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America</i> , 2018, 26, 247-258.	1.1	2
83	Canceled MRI-guided Breast Biopsies Due to Nonvisualization. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2018, 25, 1101-1110.	2.5	15
84	Stereotactic Breast Biopsy With Benign Results Does Not Negatively Affect Future Screening Adherence. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2018, 15, 622-629.	1.8	3
85	Breast Cancer Screening in Women at Higher-Than-Average Risk: Recommendations From the ACR. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2018, 15, 408-414.	1.8	494
86	What Happens after a Diagnosis of High-Risk Breast Lesion at Stereotactic Vacuum-assisted Biopsy? An Observational Study of Postdiagnosis Management and Imaging Adherence. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 287, 423-431.	7.3	10
87	Associations of County-level Radiologist and Mammography Facility Supply with Screening Mammography Rates in the United States. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2018, 25, 883-888.	2.5	4
88	Do Tumor Shrinkage Patterns at Breast MR Imaging Predict Survival?. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 286, 58-59.	7.3	5
89	Screening Mammography Utilization and Medicare Beneficiaries' Perceptions of Their Primary Care Physicians. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2018, 25, 461-469.	2.5	3
90	Diffusion-Weighted Imaging With Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Mapping for Breast Cancer Detection as a Stand-Alone Parameter. <i>Investigative Radiology</i> , 2018, 53, 587-595.	6.2	130

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Harmonizing Breast Cancer Screening Recommendations: Metrics and Accountability. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 241-245.	2.2	22
92	Trends in breast imaging: an analysis of 21 years of formal scientific abstracts at the Radiological Society of North America. Clinical Imaging, 2018, 49, 1-6.	1.5	1
93	Feasibility analysis of early temporal kinetics as a surrogate marker for breast tumor type, grade, and aggressiveness. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2018, 47, 1692-1700.	3.4	13
94	Screening for Breast Cancer in Women Age 75 Years and Older. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 256-263.	2.2	27
95	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Breast Imaging of Pregnant and Lactating Women. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, S263-S275.	1.8	60
96	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Evaluation of the Symptomatic Male Breast. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, S313-S320.	1.8	40
97	Breast Density Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. , 2018, , .		48
98	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Breast Pain. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, S276-S282.	1.8	25
99	Precision Medicine and Radiogenomics in Breast Cancer: New Approaches toward Diagnosis and Treatment. Radiology, 2018, 287, 732-747.	7.3	203
100	Accuracy and precision of quantitative DCE-MRI parameters: How should one estimate contrast concentration?. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2018, 52, 16-23.	1.8	22
101	Incomplete Assumptions and Treatment Options Affect the Results of a Monte Carlo Simulation of Two Screening Mammography Strategies. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, W81-W81.	2.2	1
102	Should We Continue to Biopsy All Amorphous Calcifications?. Radiology, 2018, 288, 680-681.	7.3	5
103	Male Breast Cancer in the Age of Genetic Testing: An Opportunity for Early Detection, Tailored Therapy, and Surveillance. Radiographics, 2018, 38, 1289-1311.	3.3	37
104	County-Level Factors Predicting Low Uptake of Screening Mammography. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 624-629.	2.2	15
105	Assessing Transgender Patient Care and Gender Inclusivity of Breast Imaging Facilities Across the United States. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1164-1172.	1.8	29
106	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Breast Implant Evaluation. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, S13-S25.	1.8	41
107	Hormonal Effects on Breast Density, Fibroglandular Tissue, and Background Parenchymal Enhancement. Radiographics, 2018, 38, 983-996.	3.3	36
108	Use of Breast Cancer Screening and Its Association with Later Use of Preventive Services among Medicare Beneficiaries. Radiology, 2018, 288, 660-668.	7.3	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	PET/MRI and Molecular Imaging in Breast Cancer. , 2018, , 83-98.		0
110	Correlation of pathologic and radiologic complete response in the axilla after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, e12611-e12611.	1.6	0
111	Background parenchymal enhancement over exam time in patients with and without breast cancer. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 45, 74-83.	3.4	18
112	Breast PET/MR Imaging. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2017, 55, 579-589.	1.8	14
113	Delineating Extramammary Findings at Breast MR Imaging. Radiographics, 2017, 37, 10-31.	3.3	12
114	Magnetic Resonance Imagingâ€“Directed Ultrasound Imaging of Nonâ€“Mass Enhancement in the Breast: Outcomes and Frequency of Malignancy. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2017, 36, 493-504.	1.7	16
115	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Practice Patterns Following 2011 FDA Approval. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 947-953.	2.5	47
116	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Â® Breastâ€“Pain. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, S25-S33.	1.8	20
117	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Â® Evaluation of Nipple Discharge. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, S138-S153.	1.8	65
118	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Â® Palpable Breastâ€“Masses. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, S203-S224.	1.8	68
119	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Â® Stage I Breast Cancer: Initial Workup and Surveillance for Local Recurrence and Distant Metastases in Asymptomatic Women. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, S282-S292.	1.8	12
120	Comparison of conventional DCEâ€“MRI and a novel goldenâ€“angle radial multicoil compressed sensing method for the evaluation of breast lesion conspicuity. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 45, 1746-1752.	3.4	36
121	Compressed Sensing for Breast MRI: Resolving the Trade-Off Between Spatial and Temporal Resolution. Investigative Radiology, 2017, 52, 574-582.	6.2	42
122	Stimulated echo diffusion tensor imaging (STEAM-DTI) with varying diffusion times as a probe of breast tissue. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 45, spcone-spcone.	3.4	0
123	Intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) histogram biomarkers for prediction of neoadjuvant treatment response in breast cancer patients. European Journal of Radiology Open, 2017, 4, 101-107.	1.6	32
124	Breast Cancer Screening for Average-Risk Women: Recommendations From the ACR Commission on Breast Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1137-1143.	1.8	202
125	Comprehensive Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced 3D Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Breast With Fat/Water Separation and High Spatiotemporal Resolution Using Radial Sampling, Compressed Sensing, and Parallel Imaging. Investigative Radiology, 2017, 52, 583-589.	6.2	12
126	Radiologic-Pathologic Discordance and Outcome After MRI-Guided Vacuum-Assisted Biopsy. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, W17-W22.	2.2	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Separation of benign and malignant breast lesions using dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in a biopsy cohort. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 45, 1385-1393.	3.4	24
128	Voxelwise analysis of simultaneously acquired and spatially correlated ¹⁸ F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET and intravoxel incoherent motion metrics in breast cancer. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2017, 78, 1147-1156.	3.0	9
129	Stimulated echo diffusion tensor imaging (STEAM-DTI) with varying diffusion times as a probe of breast tissue. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 45, 84-93.	3.4	30
130	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Monitoring Response to Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy for Breast Cancer. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, S462-S475.	1.8	57
131	ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Breast Cancer Screening. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, S383-S390.	1.8	144
132	Design and performance of a dual tuned 7 T proton/sodium breast coil. , 2017, , .		1
133	Abbreviated Breast MRI. , 2017, , 321-335.		0
134	Abstract CT086: Treatment of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) with pasireotide, an IGF-I inhibitor. , 2017, , .		0
135	Assessment of Aggressiveness of Breast Cancer Using Simultaneous ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET and DCE-MRI. Clinical Nuclear Medicine, 2016, 41, e355-e361.	1.3	22
136	Standardized Uptake Values from PET/MRI in Metastatic Breast Cancer: An Organ-based Comparison With PET/CT. Breast Journal, 2016, 22, 264-273.	1.0	25
137	Comparison of Whole-Body ¹⁸ F FDG PET/MR Imaging and Whole-Body ¹⁸ F FDG PET/CT in Terms of Lesion Detection and Radiation Dose in Patients with Breast Cancer. Radiology, 2016, 281, 193-202.	7.3	99
138	Screening Mammography and Age Recommendations. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 1404.	7.4	1
139	Role of Breast MRI in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Breast Cancer. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2016, 8, 80-89.	1.0	1
140	Frequency of Discordant Lesions and False-negative Cancers at Stereotactic Vacuum-assisted Biopsy. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 994-999.	2.5	7
141	Imaging and clinicopathologic characteristics in a contemporary cohort of younger women with newly diagnosed breast cancer. Cancer Treatment and Research Communications, 2016, 9, 35-40.	1.7	0
142	The relationship of obesity, mammographic breast density, and magnetic resonance imaging in patients with breast cancer. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 1167-1172.	1.5	14
143	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Stage I Breast Cancer: Initial Workup and Surveillance for Local Recurrence and Distant Metastases in Asymptomatic Women. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, e43-e52.	1.8	13
144	Breast MRI Screening: Benefits and Limitations. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2016, 8, 248-257.	1.0	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Evaluation of Breast Lipid Composition in Patients with Benign Tissue and Cancer by Using Multiple Gradient-Echo MR Imaging. <i>Radiology</i> , 2016, 281, 43-53.	7.3	30
146	Outcomes of Preoperative MRI-Guided Needle Localization of Nonpalpable Mammographically Occult Breast Lesions. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2016, 207, 676-684.	2.2	13
147	Evaluation of a known breast cancer using an abbreviated breast MRI protocol: Correlation of imaging characteristics and pathology with lesion detection and conspicuity. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2016, 85, 815-823.	2.6	110
148	Influence of temporal regularization and radial undersampling factor on compressed sensing reconstruction in dynamic contrast enhanced MRI of the breast. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2016, 43, 261-269.	3.4	32
149	Letter to the Editor in Response to a Recent Commentary, "Mammography Trials" by Drs. Saurabh Jha and Jeffrey B. Ware. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2016, 23, 124-125.	2.5	0
150	Assessment of Background Parenchymal Enhancement and Lesion Kinetics in Breast MRI of BRCA 1/2 Mutation Carriers Compared to Matched Controls Using Quantitative Kinetic Analysis. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2016, 23, 358-367.	2.5	8
151	Evaluation of breast cancer using intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) histogram analysis: comparison with malignant status, histological subtype, and molecular prognostic factors. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2016, 26, 2547-2558.	4.5	122
152	Current Status of Hybrid PET/MRI in Oncologic Imaging. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2016, 206, 162-172.	2.2	98
153	Comparison of fitting methods and Monte Carlo sampling strategies for intravoxel incoherent motion in breast cancer. <i>Magnetic Resonance in Medicine</i> , 2015, 74, 1077-1085.	3.0	95
154	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Evaluation of the Symptomatic Male Breast. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2015, 12, 678-682.	1.8	41
155	Polyacrylamide gel breast augmentation: report of two cases and review of the literature. <i>Clinical Imaging</i> , 2015, 39, 339-343.	1.5	14
156	Primary Large Cell Neuroendocrine Carcinoma of the Breast, a Case Report with an Unusual Clinical Course. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2015, 21, 303-307.	1.0	8
157	Comparison of contrast enhancement and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in healthy and cancerous breast tissue. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2015, 84, 1888-1893.	2.6	16
158	The relationship of breast density in mammography and magnetic resonance imaging in high-risk women and women with breast cancer. <i>Clinical Imaging</i> , 2015, 39, 987-992.	1.5	18
159	Abstract P1-01-02: Mammographic breast density and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging in women with mammographically occult breast cancer. , 2015, , .		0
160	The relationship of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging characteristics with race.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2015, 33, 10-10.	1.6	0
161	The Role of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Screening Breast MRI in Populations at Increased Risk for Breast Cancer. <i>Women's Health</i> , 2014, 10, 609-622.	1.5	12
162	MAGE-specific T cells detected directly ex-vivo correlate with complete remission in metastatic breast cancer patients after sequential immune-endocrine therapy. , 2014, 2, 32.		7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Stage I Breast Cancer: Initial Workup and Surveillance for Local Recurrence and Distant Metastases in Asymptomatic Women. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 1160-1168.	1.8	29
164	Breast MRI at 7 Tesla with a bilateral coil and robust fat suppression. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2014, 39, 540-549.	3.4	22
165	Update on Imaging of the Postsurgical Breast. Radiographics, 2014, 34, 642-660.	3.3	49
166	Approach to Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging Interpretation. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 52, 563-583.	1.8	7
167	Short interval follow-up after a benign concordant MR-guided vacuum assisted breast biopsy “is it worthwhile?”. European Radiology, 2014, 24, 1176-1185.	4.5	33
168	Inter- and Intra-reader Agreement for Categorization of Background Parenchymal Enhancement at Baseline and After Training. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 203, 209-215.	2.2	49
169	Outcome of High-Risk Lesions at MRI-Guided 9-Gauge Vacuum- Assisted Breast Biopsy. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 202, 237-245.	2.2	68
170	Detection of metastases in breast cancer: Is whole body PET/MR better than PET/CT?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 15-15.	1.6	0
171	Radiologic-pathologic Correlation at Breast MR Imaging. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America, 2013, 21, 583-599.	1.1	15
172	Differentiation of malignant and benign breast lesions using magnetization transfer imaging and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 37, 138-145.	3.4	19
173	Comparison of 3-point dixon imaging and fuzzy C-means clustering methods for breast density measurement. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 38, 474-481.	3.4	28
174	Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of hormone-induced breast changes in young premenopausal women. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 31, 1-9.	1.8	27
175	Breast MRI at 7 Tesla with a bilateral coil and T1-weighted acquisition with robust fat suppression: image evaluation and comparison with 3 Tesla. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 2969-2978.	4.5	24
176	Evaluation of the Kinetic Properties of Background Parenchymal Enhancement throughout the Phases of the Menstrual Cycle. Radiology, 2013, 268, 356-365.	7.3	40
177	Ductal Carcinoma in Situ of the Breasts: Review of MR Imaging Features. Radiographics, 2013, 33, 1569-1588.	3.3	83
178	Screening prior to Breast Cancer Diagnosis: The More Things Change, the More They Stay the Same. International Journal of Breast Cancer, 2013, 2013, 1-6.	1.2	5
179	Imaging Features and Management of High-Risk Lesions on Contrast-Enhanced Dynamic Breast MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2012, 198, 249-255.	2.2	50
180	Interstitial fluid pressure correlates with intravoxel incoherent motion imaging metrics in a mouse mammary carcinoma model. NMR in Biomedicine, 2012, 25, 787-794.	2.8	43

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	The relationship of breast density, BMI, and menopausal status in mammography and MRI.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, 36-36.	1.6	1
182	Role of Fusion of Prone FDG-PET and Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Breasts in the Evaluation of Breast Cancer. Breast Journal, 2010, 16, no-no.	1.0	58
183	Optimizing 1.5-Tesla and 3-Tesla Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Breasts. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America, 2010, 18, 207-224.	1.1	32
184	Preface. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America, 2010, 18, xiii.	1.1	1
185	Microinvasive ductal carcinoma in situ: Clinical presentation, imaging features, pathologic findings, and outcome. European Journal of Radiology, 2010, 73, 102-107.	2.6	62
186	Is Breast MRI Helpful in the Evaluation of Inconclusive Mammographic Findings?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2009, 193, 986-993.	2.2	104
187	Interpretation and Clinical Applications of Breast MRI: <i>Self-Assessment Module</i>. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2008, 191, S60-S67.	2.2	2
188	Sonographically Guided Marker Placement for Confirmation of Removal of Mammographically Occult Lesions After Localization. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2008, 191, 1216-1219.	2.2	4
189	Improving Specificity of Breast MRI Using Prone PET and Fused MRI and PET 3D Volume Datasets. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2007, 48, 528-537.	5.0	64
190	Prone MammoPET Acquisition Improves the Ability to Fuse MRI and PET Breast Scans. Clinical Nuclear Medicine, 2007, 32, 194-198.	1.3	30
191	Prospective Comparison of Mammography, Sonography, and MRI in Patients Undergoing Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Palpable Breast Cancer. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2005, 184, 868-877.	2.2	349
192	A Comparison of Whole-Genome Shotgun-Derived Mouse Chromosome 16 and the Human Genome. Science, 2002, 296, 1661-1671.	12.6	344
193	Specificity of Mammography and US in the Evaluation of a Palpable Abnormality: Retrospective Review. Radiology, 2002, 225, 176-181.	7.3	107
194	The Pendent View. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2001, 177, 173-175.	2.2	5
195	Dew Effects on Passive Microwave Observations of Land Surfaces. Remote Sensing of Environment, 1999, 70, 129-137.	11.0	27
196	Slipped capital femoral epiphysis: a physeal lesion diagnosed by MRI, with radiographic and CT correlation. Skeletal Radiology, 1998, 27, 139-144.	2.0	79
197	Müllerian mixed tumors: CT characteristics with clinical and pathologic observations.. American Journal of Roentgenology, 1997, 169, 531-535.	2.2	16