

# Greg N Kawchuk

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2149012/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

83  
papers

1,509  
citations

304743

22  
h-index

377865

34  
g-index

84  
all docs

84  
docs citations

84  
times ranked

1049  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A prospective study of patients with low back pain attending a Canadian emergency department: Why they came and what happened?. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0268123.	2.5	6
2	Changes in pain sensitivity and spinal stiffness in relation to responder status following spinal manipulative therapy in chronic low Back pain: a secondary explorative analysis of a randomized trial. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2021, 22, 23.	1.9	5
3	The global summit on the efficacy and effectiveness of spinal manipulative therapy for the prevention and treatment of non-musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review of the literature. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2021, 29, 8.	1.5	21
4	Repetitive in vivo manual loading of the spine elicits cellular responses in porcine annuli fibrosi. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0248104.	2.5	1
5	Posterior to anterior spinal stiffness measured in a sample of 127 secondary care low back pain patients. Clinical Biomechanics, 2021, 87, 105408.	1.2	3
6	Response to Lawrence DJ: the global summit on the efficacy and effectiveness of spinal manipulative therapy for the prevention and treatment of non-musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review of the literature. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2021, 29, 26.	1.5	0
7	A cross-sectional analysis of persistent low back pain, using correlations between lumbar stiffness, pressure pain threshold, and heat pain threshold. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2021, 29, 34.	1.5	5
8	Force Distribution Within Spinal Tissues During Posterior to Anterior Spinal Manipulative Therapy: A Secondary Analysis. Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 2021, 15, 809372.	2.1	3
9	The importance of selecting the correct site to apply spinal manipulation when treating spinal pain: Myth or reality? A systematic review. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 23415.	3.3	22
10	More published full-time researchers, early career researchers, clinician-researchers and graduate students unite to call for actions against the pseudoscientific claim that chiropractic care boosts immunity. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2020, 28, 48.	1.5	3
11	The bench-top accuracy of the VerteTrack spinal stiffness assessment device. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2020, 28, 42.	1.5	7
12	The effect on clinical outcomes when targeting spinal manipulation at stiffness or pain sensitivity: a randomized trial. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 14615.	3.3	20
13	A united statement of the global chiropractic research community against the pseudoscientific claim that chiropractic care boosts immunity. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2020, 28, 21.	1.5	18
14	The use of internet analytics by a Canadian provincial chiropractic regulator to monitor, evaluate and remediate misleading claims regarding specific health conditions, pregnancy, and COVID-19. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2020, 28, 24.	1.5	12
15	Potential mechanisms for lumbar spinal stiffness change following spinal manipulative therapy: a scoping review. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2020, 28, 15.	1.5	6
16	Misinformation about spinal manipulation and boosting immunity: an analysis of Twitter activity during the COVID-19 crisis. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2020, 28, 34.	1.5	23
17	Association of Exposures to Seated Postures With Immediate Increases in Back Pain: A Systematic Review of Studies With Objectively Measured Sitting Time. Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics, 2020, 43, 1-12.	0.9	28
18	Self-reports vs. physical measures of spinal stiffness. PeerJ, 2020, 8, e9598.	2.0	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Using artificial intelligence algorithms to identify existing knowledge within the back pain literature. <i>European Spine Journal</i> , 2020, 29, 1917-1924.	2.2	3
20	Predicting who responds to spinal manipulative therapy using a short-time frame methodology: Results from a 238-participant study. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0242831.	2.5	2
21	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242831.		0
22	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242831.		0
23	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242831.		0
24	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242831.		0
25	Clinicians's Ability to Detect a Palpable Difference in Spinal Stiffness Compared With a Mechanical Device. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2019, 42, 89-95.	0.9	8
26	Reliability of a new loaded rolling wheel system for measuring spinal stiffness in asymptomatic participants. <i>BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders</i> , 2019, 20, 176.	1.9	13
27	Chiropractic, one big unhappy family: better together or apart?. <i>Chiropractic &amp; Manual Therapies</i> , 2019, 27, 4.	1.5	37
28	Differential patient responses to spinal manipulative therapy and their relation to spinal degeneration and post-treatment changes in disc diffusion. <i>European Spine Journal</i> , 2019, 28, 259-269.	2.2	9
29	X-ray vision: the accuracy and repeatability of a technology that allows clinicians to see spinal X-rays superimposed on a person's back. <i>PeerJ</i> , 2019, 7, e6333.	2.0	6
30	Does the application site of spinal manipulative therapy alter spinal tissues loading?. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2018, 18, 1041-1052.	1.3	10
31	Letter to the Editor Re: Oakley PA, Cuttler JM, Harrison DE. X-Ray Imaging Is Essential for Contemporary Chiropractic and Manual Therapy Spinal Rehabilitation: Radiography Increases Benefits and Reduces Risks. <i>Dose Response</i>. 2018 Jun 19;16(2). Dose-Response, 2018, 16, 155932581881152.	1.6	12
32	SafetyNET Community-based patient safety initiatives: development and application of a Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Survey. <i>Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association</i> , 2018, 62, 130-142.	0.2	7
33	The Clinical Value of Assessing Lumbar Posteroanterior Segmental Stiffness: A Narrative Review of Manual and Instrumented Methods. <i>PM and R</i> , 2017, 9, 816-830.	1.6	31
34	Patient-Induced Reaction Forces and Moments Are Influenced by Variations in Spinal Manipulative Technique. <i>Spine</i> , 2017, 42, E71-E77.	2.0	3
35	The McAndrews Leadership Lecture: February 2016, by Dr Greg Kawchuk. Putting the "Act" Back in Chiropractic. <i>Journal of Chiropractic Humanities</i> , 2017, 24, 44-48.	0.8	0
36	Research priorities of the Canadian chiropractic profession: a consensus study using a modified Delphi technique. <i>Chiropractic &amp; Manual Therapies</i> , 2017, 25, 38.	1.5	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Feeling stiffness in the back: a protective perceptual inference in chronic back pain. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2017, 7, 9681.	3.3	31
38	Spinal Tissue Loading Created by Different Methods of Spinal Manipulative Therapy Application. <i>Spine</i> , 2017, 42, 635-643.	2.0	12
39	Tissue loading created during spinal manipulation in comparison to loading created by passive spinal movements. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2016, 6, 38107.	3.3	8
40	The Accuracy of Locating Lumbar Vertebrae When Using Palpation Versus Ultrasonography. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2016, 39, 387-392.	0.9	22
41	Does experimental low back pain change posteroanterior lumbar spinal stiffness and trunk muscle activity? A randomized crossover study. <i>Clinical Biomechanics</i> , 2016, 34, 45-52.	1.2	30
42	Structural health monitoring (vibration) as a tool for identifying structural alterations of the lumbar spine: a twin control study. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2016, 6, 22974.	3.3	4
43	Do Participants With Low Back Pain Who Respond to Spinal Manipulative Therapy Differ Biomechanically From Nonresponders, Untreated Controls or Asymptomatic Controls?. <i>Spine</i> , 2015, 40, 1329-1337.	2.0	63
44	A non-randomized clinical trial to assess the impact of nonrigid, inelastic corsets on spine function in low back pain participants and asymptomatic controls. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2015, 15, 2222-2227.	1.3	22
45	Neural responses to the mechanical characteristics of high velocity, low amplitude spinal manipulation: Effect of specific contact site. <i>Manual Therapy</i> , 2015, 20, 797-804.	1.6	30
46	Quantification of loading in biomechanical testing: the influence of dissection sequence. <i>Journal of Biomechanics</i> , 2015, 48, 3522-3526.	2.1	8
47	The effect of application site of spinal manipulative therapy (SMT) on spinal stiffness. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2015, 15, 1332-1338.	1.3	25
48	Real-Time Visualization of Joint Cavitation. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0119470.	2.5	46
49	Criterion validity of manual assessment of spinal stiffness. <i>Manual Therapy</i> , 2014, 19, 589-594.	1.6	14
50	Do Changes in Transversus Abdominis and Lumbar Multifidus During Conservative Treatment Explain Changes in Clinical Outcomes Related to Nonspecific Low Back Pain? A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of Pain</i> , 2014, 15, 377.e1-377.e35.	1.4	53
51	Study protocol for patient response to spinal manipulation – a prospective observational clinical trial on physiological and patient-centered outcomes in patients with chronic low back pain. <i>BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine</i> , 2014, 14, 292.	3.7	9
52	Neural Responses to the Mechanical Parameters of a High-Velocity, Low-Amplitude Spinal Manipulation: Effect of Preload Parameters. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2014, 37, 68-78.	0.9	37
53	Mechanical changes in the spine in back pain. , 2013, , 31-37.		0
54	Do various baseline characteristics of transversus abdominis and lumbar multifidus predict clinical outcomes in nonspecific low back pain? A systematic review. <i>Pain</i> , 2013, 154, 2589-2602.	4.2	55

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reliability of 2 Ultrasonic Imaging Analysis Methods in Quantifying Lumbar Multifidus Thickness. <i>Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy</i> , 2013, 43, 251-262.	3.5	34
56	Knowledge exchange and knowledge translation in physical therapy and manual therapy fields: barriers, facilitators and issues. <i>Physical Therapy Reviews</i> , 2012, 17, 227-233.	0.8	11
57	Kawchuk responds. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2012, 12, 360-361.	1.3	0
58	The effect of duration and amplitude of spinal manipulative therapy (SMT) on spinal stiffness. <i>Manual Therapy</i> , 2012, 17, 577-583.	1.6	19
59	The reproducibility of signals from skin-mounted accelerometers following removal and replacement. <i>Gait and Posture</i> , 2011, 34, 432-434.	1.4	10
60	Commentary: Therapeutic ultrasound: What now?. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2011, 11, 978.	1.3	2
61	Preliminary Investigation of the Mechanisms Underlying the Effects of Manipulation. <i>Spine</i> , 2011, 36, 1772-1781.	2.0	92
62	Spinal landmark depth in relation to body mass index. <i>Manual Therapy</i> , 2011, 16, 384-387.	1.6	13
63	Association Between Changes in Abdominal and Lumbar Multifidus Muscle Thickness and Clinical Improvement After Spinal Manipulation. <i>Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy</i> , 2011, 41, 389-399.	3.5	63
64	Identification of Spinal Tissues Loaded by Manual Therapy. <i>Spine</i> , 2010, 35, 1983-1990.	2.0	20
65	A new statistical trend in clinical research – Bayesian statistics. <i>Physical Therapy Reviews</i> , 2010, 15, 372-381.	0.8	10
66	Performance and Reliability of a Variable Rate, Force/Displacement Application System. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2010, 33, 585-593.	0.9	13
67	Reliability of assisted indentation in measuring lumbar spinal stiffness. <i>Manual Therapy</i> , 2009, 14, 197-205.	1.6	26
68	The relation between the application angle of spinal manipulative therapy (SMT) and resultant vertebral accelerations in an in situ porcine model. <i>Manual Therapy</i> , 2009, 14, 480-483.	1.6	17
69	Structural health monitoring to detect the presence, location and magnitude of structural damage in cadaveric porcine spines. <i>Journal of Biomechanics</i> , 2009, 42, 109-115.	2.1	14
70	Creation of an asymmetrical gradient of back muscle activity and spinal stiffness during asymmetrical hip extension. <i>Clinical Biomechanics</i> , 2009, 24, 799-806.	1.2	15
71	A True Blind for Subjects Who Receive Spinal Manipulation Therapy. <i>Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</i> , 2009, 90, 366-368.	0.9	20
72	Bulging of the Inner and Outer Annulus During In Vivo Axial Loading of Normal and Degenerated Discs. <i>Journal of Spinal Disorders and Techniques</i> , 2009, 22, 214-218.	1.9	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	The feasibility of vibration as a tool to assess spinal integrity. <i>Journal of Biomechanics</i> , 2008, 41, 2319-2323.	2.1	11
74	The relation between the spatial distribution of vertebral artery compromise and exposure to cervical manipulation. <i>Journal of Neurology</i> , 2008, 255, 371-377.	3.6	31
75	The Accuracy of Ultrasonic Indentation in Detecting Simulated Bone Displacement: A Comparison of Three Techniques. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2006, 29, 126-133.	0.9	15
76	Variability of Force Magnitude and Force Duration in Manual and Instrument-Based Manipulation Techniques. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2006, 29, 611-618.	0.9	28
77	Pressures Generated During Spinal Manipulation and Their Association With Hand Anatomy. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2005, 28, 265.e1-265.e7.	0.9	16
78	Defining the Effect of Cervical Manipulation on Vertebral Artery Integrity: Establishment of an Animal Model. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2004, 27, 539-546.	0.9	7
79	Sources of variation in spinal indentation testing: Indentation site relocation, intraabdominal pressure, subject movement, muscular response, and stiffness estimation. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2001, 24, 84-91.	0.9	32
80	Ultrasonic indentation: A procedure for the noninvasive quantification of force-displacement properties of the lumbar spine. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 2001, 24, 149-156.	0.9	20
81	Forces and relative vertebral movements during SMT to unembalmed post-rigor human cadavers: peculiarities associated with joint cavitation. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 1995, 18, 4-9.	0.9	36
82	Biomechanical characterization (fingerprinting) of five novel methods of cervical spine manipulation. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 1993, 16, 573-7.	0.9	74
83	Forces generated during spinal manipulative therapy of the cervical spine: a pilot study. <i>Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics</i> , 1992, 15, 275-8.	0.9	38