Jan Abel Olsen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2141912/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

279798 223800 52 2,283 23 46 citations h-index g-index papers 54 54 54 2445 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Theory versuspractice: a review of ?willingness-to-pay? in health and health care. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2001, 10, 39-52.	1.7	340
2	Cost-Effectiveness of Telemedicine in Remote Orthopedic Consultations: Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2019, 21, e11330.	4.3	223
3	Estimating QALY Gains in Applied Studies: A Review of Cost-Utility Analyses Published in 2010. Pharmacoeconomics, 2014, 32, 367-375.	3.3	134
4	Theories of justice and their implications for priority setting in health care. Journal of Health Economics, 1997, 16, 625-639.	2.7	120
5	An inquiry into the different perspectives that can be used when eliciting preferences in health. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2003, 12, 545-551.	1.7	110
6	Production gains from health care: what should be included in cost-effectiveness analyses?. Social Science and Medicine, 1999, 49, 17-26.	3.8	81
7	Risk of malnutrition and health-related quality of life in community-living elderly men and women: The TromsÃ, study. Quality of Life Research, 2011, 20, 575-582.	3.1	81
8	On what basis should health be discounted?. Journal of Health Economics, 1993, 12, 39-53.	2.7	77
9	The insensitivity of `willingness-to-pay' to the size of the good: New evidence for health care. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2004, 25, 445-460.	2.2	72
10	Time preferences for health gains: An empirical investigation. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 1993, 2, 257-265.	1.7	65
11	The relative importance of health, income and social relations for subjective well-being: An integrative analysis. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 152, 176-185.	3.8	61
12	Persons vs years: Two ways of eliciting implicit weights. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 1994, 3, 39-46.	1.7	58
13	The moral relevance of personal characteristics in setting health care priorities. Social Science and Medicine, 2003, 57, 1163-1172.	3.8	55
14	Equity in health: the importance of different health streams. Journal of Health Economics, 2001, 20, 823-834.	2.7	52
15	In search of a common currency: A comparison of seven EQ-5D-5L value sets. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq1	1.9.7843	14 rgBT /0∨
16	The impact of pecuniary and non-pecuniary incentives for attracting young doctors to rural general practice. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 128, 1-9.	3.8	48
17	Aiding priority setting in health care: is there a role for the contingent valuation method?., 1997, 6, 603-612.		45
18	Priority Preferences: "End of Life―Does Not Matter, But Total Life Does. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 1063-1066.	0.3	43

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Lumpectomy or mastectomy? Is breast conserving surgery too expensive?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 1997, 45, 07-14.	2.5	40
20	Differential Recall Bias, Intermediate Confounding, and Mediation Analysis in Life Course Epidemiology: An Analytic Framework with Empirical Example. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1828.	2.1	37
21	Willingness to pay for public health care: a comparison of two approaches. Health Policy, 2004, 70, 217-228.	3.0	34
22	A note on eliciting distributive preferences for health. Journal of Health Economics, 2000, 19, 541-550.	2.7	31
23	A conceptual map of health-related quality of life dimensions: key lessons for a new instrument. Quality of Life Research, 2020, 29, 733-743.	3.1	27
24	Properties of the Cigarette Dependence Scale and the Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence in a representative sample of smokers in Norway. Addiction, 2008, 103, 1441-1449.	3.3	25
25	Testing alternative regression models to predict utilities: mapping the QLQ-C30 onto the EQ-5D-5L and the SF-6D. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 2823-2839.	3.1	25
26	Filling the psycho-social gap in the EQ-5D: the empirical support for four bolt-on dimensions. Quality of Life Research, 2020, 29, 3119-3129.	3.1	24
27	Health state utility instruments compared: inquiring into nonlinearity across EQ-5D-5L, SF-6D, HUI-3 and 15D. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 1667-1678.	3.1	22
28	Combining education and income into a socioeconomic position score for use in studies of health inequalities. BMC Public Health, 2022, 22, 969.	2.9	22
29	Education and health and well-being: direct and indirect effects with multiple mediators and interactions with multiple imputed data in Stata. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2017, 71, jech-2016-208671.	3.7	21
30	Violence Affects Physical and Mental Health Differently: The General Population Based Troms \tilde{A}_s Study. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0136588.	2.5	21
31	Implicit versus explicit ranking: On inferring ordinal preferences for health care programmes based on differences in willingness-to-pay. Journal of Health Economics, 2005, 24, 990-996.	2.7	20
32	Eliciting Preferences for Prioritizing Treatment of Rare Diseases: the Role of Opportunity Costs and Framing Effects. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 1051-1061.	3.3	19
33	Preference Weighting of Health State Values: What Difference Does It Make, and Why?. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 451-457.	0.3	19
34	Do country-specific preference weights matter in the choice of mapping algorithms? The case of mapping the Diabetes-39 onto eight country-specific EQ-5D-5L value sets. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 1801-1814.	3.1	19
35	Increasing marginal utility of small increases in life-expectancy?. Journal of Health Economics, 2010, 29, 541-548.	2.7	16
36	Does an activity based remuneration system attract young doctors to general practice?. BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 68.	2.2	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Exploring the causal and effect nature of EQ-5D dimensions: an application of confirmatory tetrad analysis and confirmatory factor analysis. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2018, 16, 153.	2.4	13
38	Modelling Nonlinearities and Reference Dependence in General Practitioners' Income Preferences. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2016, 25, 1020-1038.	1.7	12
39	Yes, health is important, but as much for its importance via social life: The direct and indirect effects of health on subjective well-being in chronically ill individuals. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2018, 27, 209-222.	1.7	12
40	Assessment of outcome measures for cost–utility analysis in depression: mapping depression scales onto the EQ-5D-5L. BJPsych Open, 2018, 4, 160-166.	0.7	12
41	General practitioners' altered preferences for private practice vs. salaried positions: a consequence of proposed policy regulations?. BMC Health Services Research, 2015, 15, 119.	2.2	11
42	What Explains Willingness to Pay for Smoking-Cessation Treatments —Addiction Level, Quit-Rate Effectiveness or the Opening Bid?. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2012, 10, 407-415.	2.1	10
43	Health and wellbeing in Norway: Population norms and the social gradient. Social Science and Medicine, 2020, 259, 113155.	3.8	10
44	Explaining subjective social status in two countries: The relative importance of education, occupation, income and childhood circumstances. SSM - Population Health, 2021, 15, 100864.	2.7	9
45	Young doctors' preferences for payment systems: the influence of gender and personality traits. Human Resources for Health, 2015, 13, 69.	3.1	8
46	How is your life? understanding the relative importance of life domains amongst older adults, and their associations with self-perceived COVID-19 impacts. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 2281-2293.	3.1	7
47	PREFERENCES FOR THE NORMATIVE BASIS OF HEALTH CARE PRIORITY SETTING: SOME EVIDENCE FROM TWO COUNTRIES. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2013, 22, 480-485.	1.7	6
48	Competing Views on the English EQ-5D-5L Valuation Set. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 574-575.	0.3	5
49	Associations between utilization rates and patients' health: a study of spine surgery and patient-reported outcomes (EQ-5D and ODI). BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 135.	2.2	5
50	Expanding the Scope of Value for Economic Evaluation: The EQ-HWB. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 480-481.	0.3	4
51	How Do EQ-5D-5L Value Sets Differ?., 2022,, 235-258.		3
52	Who keeps on working? The importance of resilience for labour market participation. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0258444.	2.5	2