
Stefanie E Kuchinsky

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2069646/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Assessment methods for determining small changes in hearing performance over time. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2022, 151, 3866-3885.	1.1	4
2	Direct impact of cognitive control on sentence processing and comprehension. Language, Cognition and Neuroscience, 2021, 36, 211-239.	1.2	24
3	Systematic Comparison of Trial Exclusion Criteria for Pupillometry Data Analysis in Individuals With Single-Sided Deafness and Normal Hearing. Trends in Hearing, 2021, 25, 233121652110132.	1.3	6
4	Dichotic listening performance and effort as a function of spectral resolution and interaural symmetry. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2021, 150, 920-935.	1.1	4
5	High gamma cortical processing of continuous speech in younger and older listeners. NeuroImage, 2020, 222, 117291.	4.2	39
6	Neurostimulation and Pupillometry: New Directions for Learning and Research in Applied Linguistics. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 2020, 40, 56-77.	1.5	26
7	Objective and Subjective Auditory Effects of Traumatic Brain Injury and Blast Exposure in Service Members and Veterans. Frontiers in Neurology, 2020, 11, 613.	2.4	6
8	Aging, Hearing Loss, and Listening Effort: Imaging Studies of the Aging Listener. Springer Handbook of Auditory Research, 2020, , 231-256.	0.7	5
9	Separable neural representations of sound sources: Speaker identity and musical timbre. NeuroImage, 2019, 191, 116-126.	4.2	16
10	Best Practices and Advice for Using Pupillometry to Measure Listening Effort: An Introduction for Those Who Want to Get Started. Trends in Hearing, 2018, 22, 233121651880086.	1.3	145
11	Measuring listening-related effort and fatigue in school-aged children using pupillometry. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2017, 161, 95-112.	1.4	40
12	Pupillometry reveals changes in physiological arousal during a sustained listening task. Psychophysiology, 2017, 54, 193-203.	2.4	67
13	Linking Indices of Tonic Alertness: Resting-State Pupil Dilation and Cingulo-Opercular Neural Activity. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2016, , 218-230.	1.3	5
14	Cingulo-Opercular Function During Word Recognition in Noise for Older Adults with Hearing Loss. Experimental Aging Research, 2016, 42, 67-82.	1.2	41
15	Task-Related Vigilance During Word Recognition in Noise for Older Adults with Hearing Loss. Experimental Aging Research, 2016, 42, 50-66.	1.2	34
16	Cortical Activity Predicts Which Older Adults Recognize Speech in Noise and When. Journal of Neuroscience, 2015, 35, 3929-3937.	3.6	86
17	How message similarity shapes the timecourse of sentence formulation. Journal of Memory and Language, 2015, 84, 1-23.	2.1	11
18	Speechâ€perception training for older adults with hearing loss impacts word recognition and effort. Psychophysiology, 2014, 51, 1046-1057.	2.4	66

STEFANIE E KUCHINSKY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	White Matter Hyperintensities Predict Low Frequency Hearing in Older Adults. JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology, 2013, 14, 425-433.	1.8	39
20	Pupil size varies with word listening and response selection difficulty in older adults with hearing loss. Psychophysiology, 2013, 50, 23-34.	2.4	146
21	The Cingulo-Opercular Network Provides Word-Recognition Benefit. Journal of Neuroscience, 2013, 33, 18979-18986.	3.6	150
22	Word Intelligibility and Age Predict Visual Cortex Activity during Word Listening. Cerebral Cortex, 2012, 22, 1360-1371.	2.9	31
23	Auditory Cortex Signs of Age-Related Hearing Loss. JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology, 2012, 13, 703-713.	1.8	178
24	Multiple imputation of missing fMRI data in whole brain analysis. NeuroImage, 2012, 60, 1843-1855.	4.2	31
25	Inferior frontal sensitivity to common speech sounds is amplified by increasing word intelligibility. Neuropsychologia, 2011, 49, 3563-3572.	1.6	10
26	Reversing the hands of time: Changing the mapping from seeing to saying Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2011, 37, 748-756.	0.9	23

3