## Andrew G Symon

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2045455/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

471509 526287 48 859 17 27 citations h-index g-index papers 49 49 49 971 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Counselling interventions to enable women to initiate and continue breastfeeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Breastfeeding Journal, 2019, 14, 42.	2.6	76
2	Postnatal Quality of Life Assessment: Introducing the Motherâ€Generated Index. Birth, 2002, 29, 40-46.	2.2	64
3	Evaluating the reliability, validity, acceptability, and practicality of SMS text messaging as a tool to collect research data: results from the Feeding Your Baby project. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2012, 19, 744-749.	4.4	51
4	Postnatal quality of life: a pilot study using the Mother-Generated Index. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2003, 42, 21-29.	<b>3.</b> 3	45
5	Postnatal quality of life assessment: validation of the Mother-Generated Index. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2003, 110, 865-868.	2.3	45
6	Litigation and defensive clinical practice: quantifying the problem. Midwifery, 2000, 16, 8-14.	2.3	37
7	A review of mothers' prenatal and postnatal quality of life. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2003, 1, 38.	2.4	37
8	Examining Autonomy's Boundaries: A Followâ€up Review of Perinatal Mortality Cases in UK Independent Midwifery. Birth, 2010, 37, 280-287.	2.2	34
9	†The midwives aren't allowed to tell you': Perceived infant feeding policy restrictions in a formula feeding culture – The Feeding Your Baby Study. Midwifery, 2014, 30, e49-e55.	2.3	29
10	Midwifery-led antenatal care models: mapping a systematic review to an evidence-based quality framework to identify key components and characteristics of care. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2016, 16, 168.	2.4	29
11	Prediction of initiation and cessation of breastfeeding from late pregnancy to 16â€weeks: the Feeding Your Baby (FYB) cohort study. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e003274.	1.9	27
12	Unique and proforma birth plans: A qualitative exploration of midwives $\times^3$ experiences. Midwifery, 2014, 30, 885-891.	2.3	22
13	Outcomes for births booked under an independent midwife and births in NHS maternity units: matched comparison study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 338, b2060-b2060.	2.3	21
14	A qualitative study of pregnant teenagers' perceptions of the acceptability of a nutritional education intervention. Midwifery, 2003, 19, 140-147.	2.3	18
15	Antenatal care trial interventions: a systematic scoping review and taxonomy development of care models. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2017, 17, 8.	2.4	18
16	Infant and young child feeding in emergencies: Organisational policies and activities during the refugee crisis in Lebanon. Maternal and Child Nutrition, 2018, 14, e12576.	3.0	18
17	Postnatal quality of life assessment: validation of the Mother-Generated Index. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2003, 110, 865-8.	2.3	18

An exploratory study to assess the acceptability of an antenatal quality-of-life instrument (the) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Qverlock 10 Tf 50 62

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Infant feeding in Eastern Scotland: A longitudinal mixed methods evaluation of antenatal intentions and postnatal satisfaction—The Feeding Your Baby study. Midwifery, 2013, 29, e49-e56.	2.3	17
20	The feasibility and acceptability of using the Mother-Generated Index (MGI) as a Patient Reported Outcome Measure in a randomised controlled trial of maternity care. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2015, 15, 100.	3.1	17
21	Exploring the qualities of midwifery-led continuity of care in Australia (MiLCCA) using the quality maternal and newborn care framework. Women and Birth, 2020, 33, 125-134.	2.0	17
22	Care and environment in midwife-led and obstetric-led units: A comparison of mothers' and birth partners' perceptions. Midwifery, 2011, 27, 880-886.	2.3	16
23	Maternity unit design study part 2: perceptions of space and layout. British Journal of Midwifery, 2008, 16, 110-114.	0.4	15
24	Maternity unit design study part 4: midwives' perceptions of staff facilities. British Journal of Midwifery, 2008, 16, 228-231.	0.4	14
25	Adapting the Quality Maternal and Newborn Care (QMNC) Framework to evaluate models of antenatal care: A pilot study. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0200640.	2.5	14
26	Selfâ€Rated "Noâ€â€•and "Lowâ€â€•Risk Pregnancy: A Comparison of Outcomes for Women in Obstetricâ Midwife‣ed Units in England. Birth, 2007, 34, 323-330.	i€Led and	11
27	Maternity unit design study part 3: environmental comfort and control. British Journal of Midwifery, 2008, 16, 167-171.	0.4	11
28	Crossâ€cultural adaptation and translation of a quality of life tool for new mothers: a methodological and experiential account from six countries. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2013, 69, 970-980.	3.3	11
29	Using the Quality Maternal and Newborn Care Framework to evaluate women's experiences of different models of care: A qualitative study. Midwifery, 2019, 73, 26-34.	2.3	11
30	Validation of the Mother-Generated Index in Iran: A Specific Postnatal Quality-of-Life Instrument. International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2013, 4, 1371-9.	0.4	11
31	An exploratory mixed-methods study of Scottish midwives' understandings and perceptions of clinical near misses in maternity care. Midwifery, 2006, 22, 125-136.	2.3	9
32	Maternal quality of life assessment: the feasibility of antenatal–postnatal followâ€up using the Motherâ€Generated Index. Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology, 2011, 29, 183-194.	1.8	9
33	Women's expectations and experiences of childbirth in a Kenyan public hospital. African Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health, 2014, 8, 115-121.	0.2	9
34	Translation and cultural adaptation of the Mother-Generated Index into Brazilian Portuguese: A postnatal quality of life study. Midwifery, 2015, 31, 735-741.	2.3	8
35	Understanding factors affecting breastfeeding practices in one city in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: an interpretative phenomenological study. International Breastfeeding Journal, 2021, 16, 9.	2.6	8
36	Maternity unit design: background to multi-site study in England. British Journal of Midwifery, 2008, 16, 29-33.	0.4	7

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	An integrative literature review of psychosocial factors in the transition to parenthood following non-donor-assisted reproduction compared with spontaneously conceiving couples. Human Fertility, 2021, 24, 249-266.	1.7	7
38	The significance of time factors in cerebal palsy litigation. Midwifery, 2002, 18, 35-42.	2.3	5
39	Periâ€conceptual and midâ€pregnancy drinking: a crossâ€sectional assessment in two Scottish health board areas using a 7â€day Retrospective Diary. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2017, 73, 375-385.	3.3	5
40	Using a quality care framework to evaluate user and provider experiences of maternity care: A comparative study. Midwifery, 2019, 73, 17-25.	2.3	5
41	Evaluation of a retrospective diary for periâ€conceptual and midâ€pregnancy drinking in Scotland: a crossâ€sectional study. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2017, 96, 53-60.	2.8	4
42	Third degree tears: the three-stage negligence test. British Journal of Midwifery, 2008, 16, 192-193.	0.4	3
43	Periâ€Conceptual and Midâ€Pregnancy Alcohol Consumption: A Comparison between Areas of High and Low Deprivation in Scotland. Birth, 2016, 43, 320-327.	2.2	3
44	The changing medicolegal climate for midwives. British Journal of Midwifery, 2003, 11, S30-S32.	0.4	2
45	Qualitative evaluation of an innovative midwifery continuity scheme: Lessons from using a quality care framework. Birth, 2020, 47, 378-388.	2.2	2
46	Commentary on Hung Câ€H (2006) Revalidation of the postpartum stress scale. <i>Journal of Clinical Nursing </i> 15, 718–725. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2007, 16, 1771-1772.	3.0	1
47	Exploration of preterm birth rates associated with different models of antenatal midwifery care in Scotland: Unmatched retrospective cohort analysis. Midwifery, 2015, 31, 590-596.	2.3	1
48	Process lessons from evaluating a combined continuity of carer and home birth scheme. Birth, 2020, 47, 389-396.	2.2	0