
Stacey E Rand

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1950631/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



STACEV F RAND

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Factor structure and construct validity of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit for Carers (ASCOT-Carer). Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 2601-2614.	3.1	45
2	The EQ-HWB: Overview of the Development of a Measure of Health and Wellbeing and Key Results. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 482-491.	0.3	45
3	Carers' quality of life and experiences of adult social care support in England. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2014, 22, 375-385.	1.6	37
4	Validity and test-retest reliability of the self-completion adult social care outcomes toolkit (ASCOT-SCT4) with adults with long-term physical, sensory and mental health conditions in England. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2017, 15, 163.	2.4	26
5	Developing an Easy Read Version of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (<scp>ASCOT</scp>). Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 2018, 31, e36-e48.	2.0	23
6	Dutch translation and cross-cultural validation of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 56.	2.4	22
7	Enhancing primary care support for informal carers: A scoping study with professional stakeholders. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2020, 28, 642-650.	1.6	18
8	Developing a proxy version of the Adult social care outcome toolkit (ASCOT). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2017, 15, 108.	2.4	16
9	The factors associated with care-related quality of life of adults with intellectual disabilities in England: implications for policy and practice. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2017, 25, 1607-1619.	1.6	16
10	The impact of longâ€ŧerm care on quality of life. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2018, 27, e43-e58.	1.7	15
11	What is the best approach to adopt for identifying the domains for a new measure of health, social care and carer-related quality of life to measure quality-adjusted life years? Application to the development of the EQ-HWB?. European Journal of Health Economics, 2021, 22, 1067-1081.	2.8	15
12	Are reasons for careâ€giving related to carers' careâ€related quality of life and strain? Evidence from a survey of carers in England. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2019, 27, 151-160.	1.6	14
13	Head-to-Head Comparison of the Psychometric Properties of 3 Carer-Related Preference-Based Instruments. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 1477-1488.	0.3	12
14	A study of dyadic interdependence of control, social participation and occupation of adults who use long-term care services and their carers. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 3307-3321.	3.1	11
15	Exploring the views of being a proxy from the perspective of unpaid carers and paid carers: developing a proxy version of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT). BMC Health Services Research, 2019, 19, 201.	2.2	10
16	Measuring the outcomes of long-term care for unpaid carers: comparing the ASCOT-Carer, Carer Experience Scale and EQ-5D-3 L. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2019, 17, 184.	2.4	10
17	Feasibility, factor structure and construct validity of the easy-read Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT-ER). Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability, 2020, 45, 119-132.	1.6	10
18	Translation, cultural adaptation and construct validity of the German version of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit for informal Carers (German ASCOT-Carer). Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 905-920.	3.1	10

Stacey E Rand

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Social careâ€related outcomes in Finland. Construct validity and structural characteristics of the Finnish ASCOT measure with older home care users. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2021, 29, 712-728.	1.6	9
20	Care home residents' quality of life and its association with CQC ratings and workforce issues: the MiCareHQ mixed-methods study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2021, 9, 1-188.	1.4	9
21	Measuring Carer Outcomes in an Economic Evaluation: A Content Comparison of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit for Carers, Carer Experience Scale, and Care-Related Quality of Life Using Exploratory Factor Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 885-896.	2.4	8
22	Exploring the feasibility and validity of a pragmatic approach to estimating the impact of long-term care: The 'expected' ASCOT method. Journal of Long-Term Care, 2019, .	1.1	8
23	Cross-cultural adaptation and construct validity of the German version of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit for service users (German ASCOT). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2020, 18, 326.	2.4	6
24	Measuring safety in older adult care homes: a scoping review of the international literature. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e043206.	1.9	6
25	The impact of social care services on carers' quality of life. International Journal of Care and Caring, 2020, 4, 235-259.	0.5	6
26	What does an outcomes-based perspective bring to an understanding of care and caring?. International Journal of Care and Caring, 2020, 4, 605-610.	0.5	5
27	Issues and challenges in comparing carers' quality of life in England and Japan: lessons from developing the Japanese version of the ASCOT-Carer. International Journal of Care and Caring, 2019, 3, 459-464.	0.5	4
28	Social careâ€related quality of life of people with dementia and their carers in England. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2022, 30, .	1.6	4
29	Family carers managing personal budgets for adults with learning disabilities or autism. British Journal of Learning Disabilities, 2021, 49, 52-61.	1.1	2
30	Letter to the Editor re: McEwan et al (2019) Moving on from Quality Assurance: Exploring Systems — Outcomes in Disability Services. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities, 2020, 17, 376-378.	2.7	0
31	Assessing the Structural Characteristics of the Japanese Version of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit for Carers. Home Health Care Management and Practice, 2022, 34, 17-23.	1.0	0
32	Exploring the structural characteristics of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT) and ASCOT-Carer. NIHR Open Research, 0, 2, 21.	0.0	0