

Karla Kerlikowske

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1817871/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

175
papers

11,850
citations

34016

52
h-index

30010

103
g-index

175
all docs

175
docs citations

175
times ranked

9434
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Efficacy of Screening Mammography. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995, 273, 149.	3.8	759
2	Diagnostic Accuracy of Digital Screening Mammography With and Without Computer-Aided Detection. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 1828.	2.6	452
3	Using Clinical Factors and Mammographic Breast Density to Estimate Breast Cancer Risk: Development and Validation of a New Predictive Model. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008, 148, 337.	2.0	450
4	National Performance Benchmarks for Modern Screening Digital Mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Radiology, 2017, 283, 49-58.	3.6	418
5	Cumulative Probability of False-Positive Recall or Biopsy Recommendation After 10 Years of Screening Mammography. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 155, 481.	2.0	354
6	Risk Factors for Breast Cancer for Women Aged 40 to 49 Years. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 156, 635.	2.0	316
7	Biomarker Expression and Risk of Subsequent Tumors After Initial Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Diagnosis. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2010, 102, 627-637.	3.0	304
8	Does Utilization of Screening Mammography Explain Racial and Ethnic Differences in Breast Cancer?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2006, 144, 541.	2.0	284
9	Prevalence of Mammographically Dense Breasts in the United States. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2014, 106, .	3.0	281
10	Personalizing Mammography by Breast Density and Other Risk Factors for Breast Cancer: Analysis of Health Benefits and Cost-Effectiveness. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 155, 10.	2.0	276
11	Variability and Accuracy in Mammographic Interpretation Using the American College of Radiology Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 1998, 90, 1801-1809.	3.0	269
12	Mortality Among Women With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ of the Breast in the Population-Based Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2000, 160, 953.	4.3	269
13	Comparative Effectiveness of Digital Versus Film-Screen Mammography in Community Practice in the United States. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 155, 493.	2.0	232
14	Characteristics Associated With Recurrence Among Women With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Treated by Lumpectomy. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2003, 95, 1692-1702.	3.0	221
15	Longitudinal Measurement of Clinical Mammographic Breast Density to Improve Estimation of Breast Cancer Risk. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2007, 99, 386-395.	3.0	220
16	Identifying Women With Dense Breasts at High Risk for Interval Cancer. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 162, 673-681.	2.0	215
17	Collaborative Modeling of the Benefits and Harms Associated With Different U.S. Breast Cancer Screening Strategies. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 164, 215.	2.0	209
18	Effect of Antihypertensive Treatment in Patients Having Already Suffered From Stroke. Stroke, 1997, 28, 2557-2562.	1.0	204

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Breast Cancer Risk by Breast Density, Menopause, and Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy Use. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2010, 28, 3830-3837.	0.8	188
20	Epidemiology of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs</i> , 2010, 2010, 139-141.	0.9	180
21	Outcomes of Screening Mammography by Frequency, Breast Density, and Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2013, 173, 807.	2.6	177
22	The Contributions of Breast Density and Common Genetic Variation to Breast Cancer Risk. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2015, 107, .	3.0	174
23	Breast Density and Benign Breast Disease: Risk Assessment to Identify Women at High Risk of Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2015, 33, 3137-3143.	0.8	170
24	Population-Attributable Risk Proportion of Clinical Risk Factors for Breast Cancer. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2017, 3, 1228.	3.4	165
25	Declines in Invasive Breast Cancer and Use of Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy in a Screening Mammography Population. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2007, 99, 1335-1339.	3.0	151
26	Comparison of Clinical and Automated Breast Density Measurements: Implications for Risk Prediction and Supplemental Screening. <i>Radiology</i> , 2016, 279, 710-719.	3.6	145
27	Accuracy and Outcomes of Screening Mammography in Women With a Personal History of Early-Stage Breast Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2011, 305, 790.	3.8	141
28	Breast cancer risk prediction using a clinical risk model and polygenic risk score. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2016, 159, 513-525.	1.1	129
29	Prognostic Characteristics of Breast Cancer Among Postmenopausal Hormone Users in a Screened Population. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2003, 21, 4314-4321.	0.8	127
30	Patterns of Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging Use in Community Practice. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2014, 174, 125.	2.6	126
31	Benefits, Harms, and Costs for Breast Cancer Screening After US Implementation of Digital Mammography. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2014, 106, dju092.	3.0	120
32	Defining menopausal status in epidemiologic studies: A comparison of multiple approaches and their effects on breast cancer rates. <i>Maturitas</i> , 2010, 67, 60-66.	1.0	117
33	Comparison of Risk Factors for Ductal Carcinoma In Situ and Invasive Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 1997, 89, 76-82.	3.0	111
34	Racial differences in timeliness of follow-up after abnormal screening mammography. , 1996, 78, 1395-1402.		108
35	Evaluation of Abnormal Mammography Results and Palpable Breast Abnormalities. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2003, 139, 274.	2.0	106
36	National Performance Benchmarks for Modern Diagnostic Digital Mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. <i>Radiology</i> , 2017, 283, 59-69.	3.6	102

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Benign Breast Disease, Mammographic Breast Density, and the Risk of Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2013, 105, 1043-1049.	3.0	99
38	Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Breast Cancer Mortality in the US: Estimates From Collaborative Simulation Modeling. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 1484-1494.	3.0	92
39	Tailoring Breast Cancer Screening Intervals by Breast Density and Risk for Women Aged 50 Years or Older: Collaborative Modeling of Screening Outcomes. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 165, 700.	2.0	90
40	Screening Outcomes in Older US Women Undergoing Multiple Mammograms in Community Practice: Does Interval, Age, or Comorbidity Score Affect Tumor Characteristics or False Positive Rates?. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2013, 105, 334-341.	3.0	88
41	Breast Tumor Prognostic Characteristics and Biennial vs Annual Mammography, Age, and Menopausal Status. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2015, 1, 1069.	3.4	85
42	Are Breast Density and Bone Mineral Density Independent Risk Factors for Breast Cancer?. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2005, 97, 368-374.	3.0	83
43	Obesity, Mammography Use and Accuracy, and Advanced Breast Cancer Risk. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2008, 100, 1724-1733.	3.0	81
44	Diagnosis of second breast cancer events after initial diagnosis of early stage breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2010, 124, 863-873.	1.1	78
45	Upgrade of high-risk breast lesions detected on mammography in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2014, 207, 24-31.	0.9	77
46	Geographic Access to Breast Imaging for US Women. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2014, 11, 874-882.	0.9	74
47	Changes in Mammography Use by Women's Characteristics During the First 5 Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 1161-1167.	3.0	69
48	Screening Performance of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography in Community Practice by Patient Age, Screening Round, and Breast Density. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e2011792.	2.8	68
49	Performance of First Mammography Examination in Women Younger Than 40 Years. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2010, 102, 692-701.	3.0	67
50	Performance Benchmarks for Screening Breast MR Imaging in Community Practice. <i>Radiology</i> , 2017, 285, 44-52.	3.6	66
51	Performance of Screening Ultrasonography as an Adjunct to Screening Mammography in Women Across the Spectrum of Breast Cancer Risk. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 179, 658.	2.6	66
52	Radiomic Phenotypes of Mammographic Parenchymal Complexity: Toward Augmenting Breast Density in Breast Cancer Risk Assessment. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 290, 41-49.	3.6	63
53	Variation in detection of ductal carcinoma in situ during screening mammography: A survey within the International Cancer Screening Network. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2014, 50, 185-192.	1.3	58
54	Subsequent Breast Cancer Risk Following Diagnosis of Atypical Ductal Hyperplasia on Needle Biopsy. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2017, 3, 36.	3.4	57

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Automated and Clinical Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System Density Measures Predict Risk for Screen-Detected and Interval Cancers. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 168, 757-765.	2.0	56
56	Risk Factors That Increase Risk of Estrogen Receptor-Positive and -Negative Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2017, 109, djw276.	3.0	55
57	Validation of the breast cancer surveillance consortium model of breast cancer risk. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 175, 519-523.	1.1	55
58	Timeliness of follow-up after abnormal screening mammography. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 1996, 40, 53-64.	1.1	52
59	Comparing sensitivity and specificity of screening mammography in the United States and Denmark. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 137, 2198-2207.	2.3	52
60	Body mass index, mammographic density, and breast cancer risk by estrogen receptor subtype. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2019, 21, 48.	2.2	52
61	Long-Term Outcomes and Cost-Effectiveness of Breast Cancer Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in the United States. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2020, 112, 582-589.	3.0	48
62	Surveillance Breast MRI and Mammography: Comparison in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 292, 311-318.	3.6	46
63	Dense and Nondense Mammographic Area and Risk of Breast Cancer by Age and Tumor Characteristics. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2015, 24, 798-809.	1.1	42
64	Effect of Background Parenchymal Enhancement on Breast MR Imaging Interpretive Performance in Community-based Practices. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 286, 822-829.	3.6	42
65	Breast Cancer Population Attributable Risk Proportions Associated with Body Mass Index and Breast Density by Race/Ethnicity and Menopausal Status. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2020, 29, 2048-2056.	1.1	41
66	Radiographers supporting radiologists in the interpretation of screening mammography: a viable strategy to meet the shortage in the number of radiologists. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2015, 15, 410.	1.1	39
67	Strategies to Identify Women at High Risk of Advanced Breast Cancer During Routine Screening for Discussion of Supplemental Imaging. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 179, 1230.	2.6	39
68	Reported Mammographic Density: Film-Screen versus Digital Acquisition. <i>Radiology</i> , 2013, 266, 752-758.	3.6	37
69	Family History and Breast Cancer Risk Among Older Women in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium Cohort. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 178, 494.	2.6	36
70	Breast Cancer Screening Strategies for Women With ATM, CHEK2, and PALB2 Pathogenic Variants. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2022, 8, 587.	3.4	36
71	Combining quantitative and qualitative breast density measures to assess breast cancer risk. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2017, 19, 97.	2.2	35
72	Women's Awareness and Perceived Importance of the Harms and Benefits of Mammography Screening. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 177, 1381.	2.6	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Benefits and Harms of Screening Mammography by Comorbidity and Age: A Qualitative Synthesis of Observational Studies and Decision Analyses. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 31, 561-572.	1.3	33
74	Emerging Trends in Family History of Breast Cancer and Associated Risk. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2017, 26, 1753-1760.	1.1	33
75	International variation in management of screen-detected ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2014, 50, 2695-2704.	1.3	32
76	Efficacy of treating hypertension in women. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 1999, 14, 718-729.	1.3	30
77	One versus Two Breast Density Measures to Predict 5- and 10-Year Breast Cancer Risk. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2015, 24, 889-897.	1.1	30
78	Breast Cancer Characteristics Associated With Digital Versus Film-Screen Mammography for Screen-Detected and Interval Cancers. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2015, 205, 676-684.	1.0	30
79	Combined Benefit of Quantitative Three-Compartment Breast Image Analysis and Mammography Radiomics in the Classification of Breast Masses in a Clinical Data Set. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 290, 621-628.	3.6	29
80	Screening Mammography Outcomes: Risk of Breast Cancer and Mortality by Comorbidity Score and Age. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2020, 112, 599-606.	3.0	29
81	Family History of Breast Cancer, Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk in a U.S. Breast Cancer Screening Population. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2017, 26, 938-944.	1.1	28
82	Breast Biopsy Intensity and Findings Following Breast Cancer Screening in Women With and Without a Personal History of Breast Cancer. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 178, 458.	2.6	28
83	Utilization of breast cancer screening with magnetic resonance imaging in community practice. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 33, 275-283.	1.3	28
84	Assessment of Radiologist Performance in Breast Cancer Screening Using Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e201759.	2.8	28
85	Comparative Access to and Use of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Screening by Women's Race/Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e2037546.	2.8	28
86	Multilevel Factors Associated With Time to Biopsy After Abnormal Screening Mammography Results by Race and Ethnicity. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2022, 8, 1115.	3.4	28
87	Increased Risk of Developing Breast Cancer after a False-Positive Screening Mammogram. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2015, 24, 1882-1889.	1.1	27
88	Women's experiences and preferences regarding breast imaging after completing breast cancer treatment. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2017, Volume 11, 199-204.	0.8	27
89	Stress Signaling from Human Mammary Epithelial Cells Contributes to Phenotypes of Mammographic Density. <i>Cancer Research</i> , 2014, 74, 5032-5044.	0.4	26
90	The Effect of Change in Body Mass Index on Volumetric Measures of Mammographic Density. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2015, 24, 1724-1730.	1.1	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Impact of a primary care based intervention on breast cancer knowledge, risk perception and concern: A randomized, controlled trial. <i>Breast</i> , 2015, 24, 758-766.	0.9	25
92	Association of Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography With Risk of Interval Invasive and Advanced Breast Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2022, 327, 2220.	3.8	25
93	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Radiologist Learning Curve. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 291, 34-42.	3.6	24
94	The Role of Social Determinants of Health in Self-Reported Access to Health Care Among Women Undergoing Screening Mammography. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2020, 29, 1437-1446.	1.5	23
95	Joint relative risks for estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer from a clinical model, polygenic risk score, and sex hormones. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 166, 603-612.	1.1	22
96	Combined effect of volumetric breast density and body mass index on breast cancer risk. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 177, 165-173.	1.1	21
97	Advanced Breast Cancer Definitions by Staging System Examined in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 909-916.	3.0	21
98	Evaluation of LIBRA Software for Fully Automated Mammographic Density Assessment in Breast Cancer Risk Prediction. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 296, 24-31.	3.6	21
99	Association of Breast Density With Breast Cancer Risk Among Women Aged 65 Years or Older by Age Group and Body Mass Index. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e2122810.	2.8	21
100	Breast Biopsy Recommendations and Breast Cancers Diagnosed during the COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Radiology</i> , 2022, 303, 287-294.	3.6	21
101	Cumulative Probability of False-Positive Results After 10 Years of Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2022, 5, e222440.	2.8	21
102	The influence of race/ethnicity and place of service on breast reconstruction for Medicare beneficiaries with mastectomy. <i>SpringerPlus</i> , 2014, 3, 416.	1.2	20
103	Costs of diagnostic and preoperative workup with and without breast MRI in older women with a breast cancer diagnosis. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2016, 16, 76.	0.9	20
104	Differences in Screening Mammography Outcomes Among White, Chinese, and Filipino Women. <i>Archives of Internal Medicine</i> , 2005, 165, 1862.	4.3	19
105	Knowledge and Perception of Breast Density, Screening Mammography, and Supplemental Screening: in Search of "Informed" Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2020, 35, 1654-1660.	1.3	19
106	Prioritizing breast imaging services during the COVID pandemic: A survey of breast imaging facilities within the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2021, 151, 106540.	1.6	19
107	Deep learning networks find unique mammographic differences in previous negative mammograms between interval and screen-detected cancers: a case-case study. <i>Cancer Imaging</i> , 2019, 19, 41.	1.2	18
108	Cumulative Advanced Breast Cancer Risk Prediction Model Developed in a Screening Mammography Population. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2022, 114, 676-685.	3.0	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Cumulative Risk Distribution for Interval Invasive Second Breast Cancers After Negative Surveillance Mammography. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 36, 2070-2077.	0.8	17
110	Longitudinal Changes in Volumetric Breast Density in Healthy Women across the Menopausal Transition. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2019, 28, 1324-1330.	1.1	17
111	Impact of Mammography Screening Interval on Breast Cancer Diagnosis by Menopausal Status and BMI. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2013, 28, 1454-1462.	1.3	16
112	Mammographic screening interval in relation to tumor characteristics and false-positive risk by race/ethnicity and age. <i>Cancer</i> , 2013, 119, 3959-3967.	2.0	16
113	Using Breast Cancer Risk Associated Polymorphisms to Identify Women for Breast Cancer Chemoprevention. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0168601.	1.1	16
114	Benefit of mammography screening in women ages 40-49 years: Current evidence from randomized controlled trials. <i>Cancer</i> , 1995, 76, 1679-1681.	2.0	15
115	Mammographic quantitative image analysis and biologic image composition for breast lesion characterization and classification. <i>Medical Physics</i> , 2014, 41, 031915.	1.6	15
116	Breast MRI BI-RADS Assessments and Abnormal Interpretation Rates by Clinical Indication in US Community Practices. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2014, 21, 1370-1376.	1.3	15
117	Risk prediction for local versus regional/metastatic tumors after initial ductal carcinoma in situ diagnosis treated by lumpectomy. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2016, 157, 351-361.	1.1	15
118	Discussions of Dense Breasts, Breast Cancer Risk, and Screening Choices in 2019. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2019, 322, 69.	3.8	15
119	Deep Learning Predicts Interval and Screening-detected Cancer from Screening Mammograms: A Case-Case-Control Study in 6369 Women. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 301, 550-558.	3.6	15
120	Trends in screening breast magnetic resonance imaging use among US women, 2006 to 2016. <i>Cancer</i> , 2020, 126, 5293-5302.	2.0	15
121	Long-term outcomes among African-American and white women with breast cancer: What is the impact of comorbidity?. <i>Journal of Geriatric Oncology</i> , 2014, 5, 266-275.	0.5	14
122	Comparison of cumulative false-positive risk of screening mammography in the United States and Denmark. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 39, 656-663.	0.8	14
123	Automated volumetric breast density measures: differential change between breasts in women with and without breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2019, 21, 118.	2.2	13
124	Digital Mammography and Breast Tomosynthesis Performance in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer, 2007-2016. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 300, 290-300.	3.6	13
125	Cost-Effectiveness of Screening Mammography Beyond Age 75 Years. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2022, 175, 11-19.	2.0	13
126	Relationship between preoperative breast MRI and surgical treatment of non-metastatic breast cancer. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 116, 1008-1015.	0.8	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Screening mammography in women less than age 50 years. <i>Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2012, 24, 38-43.	0.9	11
128	Progress Toward Consensus on Breast Cancer Screening Guidelines and Reducing Screening Harms. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2015, 175, 1970.	2.6	11
129	Interaction of mammographic breast density with menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use in relation to the risk of aggressive breast cancer subtypes. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 165, 421-431.	1.1	11
130	Does mammographic density mediate risk factor associations with breast cancer? An analysis by tumor characteristics. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2018, 170, 129-141.	1.1	11
131	New mammography screening performance metrics based on the entire screening episode. <i>Cancer</i> , 2020, 126, 3289-3296.	2.0	11
132	Association of mammographic density measures and breast cancer "intrinsic" molecular subtypes. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2021, 187, 215-224.	1.1	11
133	Comparing Mammographic Density Assessed by Digital Breast Tomosynthesis or Digital Mammography: The Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, , 204579.	3.6	10
134	Factors Associated with Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Use among Medicare Beneficiaries with Nonmetastatic Breast Cancer. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2016, 22, 24-34.	0.4	9
135	Facility Variability in Examination Indication Among Women With Prior Breast Cancer: Implications and the Need for Standardization. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2020, 17, 755-764.	0.9	9
136	Assessment of a Risk-Based Approach for Triaging Mammography Examinations During Periods of Reduced Capacity. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e211974.	2.8	9
137	Mammographic Variation Measures, Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2021, 217, 326-335.	1.0	9
138	Trade-Offs Between Harms and Benefits of Different Breast Cancer Screening Intervals Among Low-Risk Women. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 1017-1026.	3.0	9
139	Patient and Radiologist Characteristics Associated With Accuracy of Two Types of Diagnostic Mammograms. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2015, 205, 456-463.	1.0	8
140	Correlation Between Screening Mammography Interpretive Performance on a Test Set and Performance in Clinical Practice. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2017, 24, 1256-1264.	1.3	8
141	Preoperative MRI in breast cancer: effect of breast density on biopsy rate and yield. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2022, 191, 177-190.	1.1	8
142	The Impact of Breast Density on Breast Cancer Risk and Breast Screening. <i>Current Breast Cancer Reports</i> , 2012, 4, 161-168.	0.5	7
143	Higher Mammography Screening Costs Without Appreciable Clinical Benefit: The Case of Digital Mammography. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2014, 106, dju191-dju191.	3.0	7
144	The Effect of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Adoption on Facility-Level Breast Cancer Screening Volume. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2018, 211, 957-963.	1.0	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Patterns of Breast Imaging Use Among Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 34, 2098-2106.	1.3	7
146	Relationship of Predicted Risk of Developing Invasive Breast Cancer, as Assessed with Three Models, and Breast Cancer Mortality among Breast Cancer Patients. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0160966.	1.1	7
147	AI as a new paradigm for risk-based screening for breast cancer. <i>Nature Medicine</i> , 2022, 28, 29-30.	15.2	7
148	A Call for Evidence of Benefits Outweighing Harms Before Implementing New Technologies. <i>Archives of Internal Medicine</i> , 2010, 170, 990.	4.3	6
149	Breast Density: More Than Meets the Eye. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2016, 108, djw128.	3.0	6
150	Age at initiation of screening mammography by family history of breast cancer in the breast cancer surveillance consortium. <i>Cancer Causes and Control</i> , 2021, 32, 103-107.	0.8	6
151	Radiologist Agreement for Mammographic Recall by Case Difficulty and Finding Type. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2016, 13, e72-e79.	0.9	4
152	Toward Risk-Based Breast Cancer Screening. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 710-711.	2.0	4
153	Incorporating Robustness to Imaging Physics into Radiomic Feature Selection for Breast Cancer Risk Estimation. <i>Cancers</i> , 2021, 13, 5497.	1.7	4
154	Changes in Breast Cancer Risk Distribution Among Vermont Women Using Screening Mammography. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2014, 106, dju157-dju157.	3.0	3
155	The Role of Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Assessment and Surgical Treatment of Interval and Screen-Detected Breast Cancer in Older Women. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2016, 22, 616-622.	0.4	3
156	Supplemental Breast Cancer Screening: A Density Conundrum. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 32, 593-594.	1.3	3
157	Function-related Indicators and Outcomes of Screening Mammography in Older Women: Evidence from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium Cohort. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2021, 30, 1582-1590.	1.1	3
158	Breast Density Knowledge in a Screening Mammography Population Exposed to Density Notification. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2022, 19, 615-624.	0.9	3
159	Diagnostic Mammography Performance across Racial and Ethnic Groups in a National Network of Community-Based Breast Imaging Facilities. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2022, 31, 1324-1333.	1.1	3
160	Progress Toward Consensus on Breast Cancer Screening Guidelines and Reducing Screening Harms—Reply. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 176, 562.	2.6	2
161	Locoregional treatment of breast cancer in women with and without preoperative magnetic resonance imaging. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 213, 132-139.e2.	0.9	2
162	Preoperative Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging Use by Breast Density and Family History of Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2018, 27, 987-993.	1.5	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	Derived mammographic masking measures based on simulated lesions predict the risk of interval cancer after controlling for known risk factors: a caseâ€case analysis. <i>Medical Physics</i> , 2019, 46, 1309-1316.	1.6	2
164	Organization Communication Factors and Abnormal Mammogram Follow-up: a Qualitative Study Among Ethnically Diverse Women Across Three Healthcare Systems. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 35, 3000-3006.	1.3	2
165	Interval breast cancers â€” insights into a complex phenotype. <i>Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 17, 138-139.	12.5	2
166	Association of Daily Alcohol Intake, Volumetric Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk. <i>JNCI Cancer Spectrum</i> , 2021, 5, pkaa124.	1.4	2
167	Mammography adherence in relation to function-related indicators in older women. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2022, 154, 106869.	1.6	2
168	Breast biopsy patterns and findings among older women undergoing screening mammography: The role of age and comorbidity. <i>Journal of Geriatric Oncology</i> , 2022, 13, 161-169.	0.5	2
169	Automated volumetric breast density derived by shape and appearance modeling. <i>Proceedings of SPIE</i> , 2014, 9034, 90342T.	0.8	1
170	Benefits of Supplemental Ultrasonography With Mammographyâ€”Reply. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 179, 1150.	2.6	1
171	Dual-energy three-compartment breast imaging for compositional biomarkers to improve detection of malignant lesions. <i>Communications Medicine</i> , 2021, 1, .	1.9	1
172	Racial differences in timeliness of follow-up after abnormal screening mammography. , 1996, 78, 1395.		1
173	A Procedure for Eliciting Womenâ€™s Preferences for Breast Cancer Screening Frequency. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2022, , 0272989X2110733.	1.2	1
174	Re: â€œLinkage of theÂACR National Mammography Database toÂthe Network of State Cancer Registries: Proof of Concept Evaluation by the ACR NationalÂMammography Database Committeeâ€• <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2019, 16, 135-136.	0.9	0
175	Response to Pisano, Gastonis, Sparano, et al. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 940-941.	3.0	0