Piotr S Fudalej

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1810109/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

93 papers 2,085 citations

218677 26 h-index 289244 40 g-index

98 all docs 98 docs citations

98 times ranked 1505 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Gingival labial recessions in orthodontically treated and untreated individuals: a case – control study. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2013, 40, 631-637.	4.9	104
2	Development of labial gingival recessions in orthodontically treated patients. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2013, 143, 206-212.	1.7	97
3	Determining the cessation of vertical growth of the craniofacial structures to facilitate placement of single-tooth implants. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2007, 131, S59-S67.	1.7	92
4	Severe complication of a bonded mandibular lingual retainer. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2012, 142, 406-409.	1.7	86
5	Three-dimensional Imaging Methods for Quantitative Analysis of Facial Soft Tissues and Skeletal Morphology in Patients with Orofacial Clefts: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e93442.	2.5	79
6	Epidemiologic study of orthodontic retention procedures. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2018, 153, 496-504.	1.7	79
7	Gingival labial recessions and the post-treatment proclination of mandibular incisors. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2015, 37, 508-513.	2.4	61
8	Are orthodontic distalizers reinforced with the temporary skeletal anchorage devices effective?. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2011, 139, 722-729.	1.7	59
9	Gingival recessions and the change of inclination of mandibular incisors during orthodontic treatment. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2013, 35, 249-255.	2.4	54
10	Three-dimensional prospective evaluation of tooth-borne and bone-borne surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2012, 40, 757-762.	1.7	52
11	Orthodontic retention procedures in Switzerland. Swiss Dental Journal, 2014, 124, 655-61.	0.1	50
12	Pulpal Reactions to Orthodontic Force Application in Humans: A Systematic Review. Journal of Endodontics, 2012, 38, 1463-1469.	3.1	45
13	Reproducibility and accuracy of linear measurements on dental models derived from cone-beam computed tomography compared with digital dental casts. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2014, 146, 328-336.	1.7	40
14	Prediction of the outcome of orthodontic treatment of Class III malocclusions—a systematic review. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2011, 33, 190-197.	2.4	39
15	Dental Arch Relationships following Palatoplasty for Cleft Lip and Palate Repair. Journal of Dental Research, 2012, 91, 47-51.	5. 2	39
16	Dental Arch Relationship in Children with Complete Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate following Warsaw (One-Stage Repair) and Oslo Protocols. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2009, 46, 648-653.	0.9	38
17	Nasolabial Esthetics in Children With Complete Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate After 1- Versus 3-Stage Treatment Protocols. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2009, 67, 1661-1666.	1.2	37
18	Validation of a novel semi-automated method for three-dimensional surface rendering of condyles using cone beam computed tomography data. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2013, 42, 1023-1029.	1.5	37

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Effectiveness of the cervical vertebral maturation method to predict postpeak circumpubertal growth of craniofacial structures. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2010, 137, 59-65.	1.7	34
20	Gingival recession in orthodontic patients 10 to 15Âyears posttreatment: A retrospective cohort study. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2018, 153, 645-655.	1.7	34
21	Dental arch relationship in children with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate following one-stage and three-stage surgical protocols. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2011, 15, 503-510.	3.0	32
22	Non-pharmacological interventions for alleviating pain during orthodontic treatment. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 2016, CD010263.	2.8	32
23	The cervical vertebrae maturation (CVM) method cannot predict craniofacial growth in girls with Class II malocclusion. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2016, 38, 1-7.	2.4	32
24	Regional facial asymmetries in unilateral orofacial clefts. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2015, 37, 636-642.	2.4	31
25	Nasolabial symmetry and esthetics in cleft lip and palate: analysis of 3D facial images. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2015, 19, 1833-1842.	3.0	31
26	Rating nasolabial appearance on three-dimensional images in cleft lip and palate: a comparison with standard photographs. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2016, 38, 197-201.	2.4	31
27	Speech outcomes in 10-year-old children with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate after one-stage lip and palate repair in the first year of life. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2012, 65, 175-181.	1.0	29
28	Survival of maxillary and mandibular bonded retainers 10 to 15Âyears after orthodontic treatment: a retrospective observational study. Progress in Orthodontics, 2019, 20, 28.	3.5	28
29	Long-term changes of the upper lip position relative to the incisal edge. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2008, 133, 204-209.	1.7	26
30	Self-Esteem, Coping Styles, and Quality of Life in Polish Adolescents and Young Adults with Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2014, 51, 290-299.	0.9	24
31	Midfacial Growth in a Consecutive Series of Preadolescent Children with Complete Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate following a One-Stage Simultaneous Repair. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2008, 45, 667-673.	0.9	23
32	Treatment Outcome after One-Stage Repair in Children with Complete Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate Assessed with the Goslon Yardstick. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2009, 46, 374-380.	0.9	23
33	Stability of Le Fort I maxillary inferior repositioning surgery with rigid internal fixation: a systematic review. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2015, 44, 609-614.	1.5	23
34	Cephalometric Standards for Polish 10-Year-Olds with Normal Occlusion. Angle Orthodontist, 2008, 78, 262-269.	2.4	22
35	Nasolabial symmetry and aesthetics in children with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2012, 50, 621-625.	0.8	22
36	One-stage (Warsaw) and two-stage (Oslo) repair of unilateral cleft lip and palate: Craniofacial outcomes. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2015, 43, 1224-1231.	1.7	22

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Cessation of facial growth in subjects with short, average, and long facial types – Implications for the timing of implant placement. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2015, 43, 2106-2111.	1.7	21
38	Maxillary distraction osteogenesis versus orthognathic surgery for cleft lip and palate patients. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 9, CD010403.	2.8	20
39	Mandibular Growth Rotation Effects on Postretention Stability of Mandibular Incisor Alignment. Angle Orthodontist, 2007, 77, 199-205.	2.4	19
40	Regional facial asymmetries and attractiveness of the face. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2016, 38, 602-608.	2.4	19
41	A survey of general dentists regarding orthodontic retention procedures. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2017, 39, 69-75.	2.4	19
42	Maxillary distraction osteogenesis versus orthognathic surgery for cleft lip and palate patients. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 2018, CD010403.	2.8	19
43	Cephalometric comparison of early and late secondary bone grafting in the treatment of patients suffering from unilateral cleft lip and palate. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2017, 45, 479-484.	1.7	18
44	Effects of Different Timing of Alveolar Bone Graft on Craniofacial Morphology in Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2020, 57, 105-113.	0.9	18
45	The Slav-cleft: A three-center study of the outcome of treatment of cleft lip and palate. Part 1: Craniofacial morphology. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2016, 44, 1767-1776.	1.7	16
46	Gingival recession in mandibular incisors and symphysis morphologyâ€"a retrospective cohort study. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2018, 40, 185-192.	2.4	16
47	A comparative assessment of failures and periodontal health between 2 mandibular lingual retainers in orthodontic patients. A 2-year follow-up, single practice-based randomized trial. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2021, 160, 494-502.e1.	1.7	16
48	Early alveolar bone grafting has a negative effect on maxillary dental arch dimensions of preâ€school children with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate. Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research, 2011, 14, 51-57.	2.8	15
49	Early versus late alveolar bone grafting in unilateral cleft lip and palate: Dental arch relationships in pre-adolescent patients. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2018, 46, 2052-2057.	1.7	15
50	Application of holography and augmented reality based technology to visualize the internal structure of the dental root – a proof of concept. Head & Face Medicine, 2022, 18, 12.	2.1	15
51	Craniofacial Morphology in Complete Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate Patients Consecutively Treated With 1-Stage Repair of the Cleft. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery, 2010, 21, 1468-1473.	0.7	13
52	Cephalometric outcome of two types of palatoplasty in complete unilateral cleft lip and palate. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2013, 51, 144-148.	0.8	13
53	Speech outcome in complete unilateral cleft lip and palate $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ a comparison of three methods of the hard palate closure. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2014, 41, 809-815.	3.0	13
54	Nasolabial aesthetics correlates poorly with skeletal symmetry in unilateral cleft lip and palate. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2013, 66, e1-e7.	1.0	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reliability of the cervical vertebrae maturation (CVM) method. Bratislava Medical Journal, 2015, 116, 222-226.	0.8	12
56	Width and elevation of the palatal shelves in unoperated unilateral and bilateral cleft lip and palate patients in the permanent dentition. Journal of Anatomy, 2012, 220, 263-270.	1.5	11
57	Judgment of Nasolabial Esthetics in Cleft Lip and Palate is Not Influenced by Overall Facial Attractiveness. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2016, 53, 45-52.	0.9	11
58	Morphological variability in unrepaired bilateral clefts with and without cleft palate evaluated with geometric morphometrics. Journal of Anatomy, 2020, 236, 425-433.	1.5	11
59	Facial esthetics in children with unilateral cleft lip and palate 3 years after alveolar bonegrafting combined with rhinoplasty between 2 and 4 years of age. Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research, 2013, 16, 36-43.	2.8	10
60	Comparison of Three Methods of Rating Nasolabial Appearance in Cleft Lip and Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2017, 54, 400-407.	0.9	10
61	The Slavcleft: A three-center study of the outcome of treatment of cleft lip and palate. Part 2: Dental arch relationships. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2019, 47, 1092-1095.	1.7	10
62	Rating dental arch relationships and palatal morphology with the EUROCRAN index on three different formats of dental casts in children with unilateral cleft lip and palate. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2016, 20, 943-950.	3.0	9
63	Alveolar Volume Following Different Timings of Secondary Bone Grafting in Patients with Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate. A Pilot Study. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 3524.	2.4	9
64	Effects of posttreatment skeletal maturity measured with the cervical vertebral maturation method on incisor alignment relapse. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2008, 134, 238-244.	1.7	8
65	Transplant vs implant in a patient with agenesis of both maxillary lateral incisors: A 9-year follow-up. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2016, 149, 751-756.	1.7	8
66	Maxillofacial morphology in post-pubertal patients with unilateral cleft lip and palate following early vs. late secondary alveolar bone grafting. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery, 2021, 49, 809-814.	1.7	8
67	Mandibular Morphology and Spatial Position following One-Stage Simultaneous Repair of Complete Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2008, 45, 272-277.	0.9	7
68	Pattern of Morphological Variability in Unrepaired Unilateral Clefts With and Without Cleft Palate May Suggest Intrinsic Growth Deficiency. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2020, 8, 587859.	3.7	7
69	An anatomical subunit-based outcome assessment scale for bilateral cleft lip and palate. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2017, 46, 988-992.	1.5	6
70	Tooth wear and gingival recession in 210 orthodontically treated patients: a retrospective cohort study. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2018, 40, 444-450.	2.4	6
71	Nasolabial shape and aesthetics in unilateral cleft lip and palate: an analysis of nasolabial shape using a mean 3D facial template. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2021, 50, 267-272.	1.5	6
72	Age-related changes of dental pulp tissue after experimental tooth movement in rats. PeerJ, 2016, 4, e1625.	2.0	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Relapse of mandibular incisor alignment is not associated with the total posttreatment mandibular rotation. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2010, 138, 392.e1-392.e7.	1.7	5
74	Dental arch relationship in 5â€yearâ€olds with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate after early alveolar bone grafting. Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research, 2012, 15, 117-123.	2.8	5
75	A brief history of orthodontic retention. British Dental Journal, 2021, 230, 777-780.	0.6	5
76	Is postadolescent mandibular anterior growth rotation a risk factor for relapse of incisor alignment in males?. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2008, 134, 245-250.	1.7	4
77	Orthodontic pain: The use of non-pharmacological adjuncts and its effect on compliance. Seminars in Orthodontics, 2018, 24, 248-258.	1.4	4
78	Prediction ofÂtheÂFacial Growth Direction is Challenging. Communications in Computer and Information Science, 2021, , 665-673.	0.5	4
79	Editor's Comment and Q&A. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2010, 138, 392-393.	1.7	3
80	Authors' response. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2013, 143, 4.	1.7	3
81	Nasolabial appearance after two palatoplasty types in cleft lip and palate. Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research, 2014, 17, 124-131.	2.8	3
82	No association between gingival labial recession and facial type. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2016, 38, 286-291.	2.4	3
83	Examining the Impact of Dental Imperfections on Scan-Path Patterns. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, 2018, , 278-286.	0.6	2
84	Long-term Effectiveness of Maxillary and Mandibular Bonded Orthodontic Retainers. Oral Health & Retainers, 2020, 18, 633-641.	0.5	2
85	Cervical vertebrae maturation method and craniofacial growth. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2016, 38, 112-112.	2.4	1
86	Judging Qualification, Gender, and Age of the Observer Based on Gaze Patterns When Looking at Faces. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2021, , 429-439.	1.3	1
87	C14 and C16 acylcarnitines in newborns with orofacial clefts. Przeglad Gastroenterologiczny, 2012, 5, 276-280.	0.7	0
88	Whole-blood 3-hydroxyisovalerylcarnitine as a risk factor for orofacial clefts. Archives of Oral Biology, 2013, 58, 459-461.	1.8	0
89	Response to "Concerning the Article Entitled â€Judgment of Nasolabial Esthetics in Cleft Lip and Palate is not Influenced by Overall Facial Attractiveness'― Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2017, 54, 619-620.	0.9	0
90	The Slavcleft: a three-center study of the outcome of treatment of cleft lip and palate. Nasolabial appearance. Peerl, 2021, 9, e10631.	2.0	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Craniofacial shape from pre- to post-adolescence. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2021, , .	2.4	0
92	Metaphor Comprehension and Interpretation in Cleft Palate Children Aged 6–9. Psychology of Language and Communication, 2017, 21, 266-286.	0.6	0
93	Association of 3-dimensional facial changes and height and weight increase in children: A 2-year follow-up. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2021, , .	1.7	0