
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1649827/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



POLE HUDCH

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Jackknife-based method for measuring LRP onset latency differences. Psychophysiology, 1998, 35, 99-115.	2.4	508
2	Using the jackknife-based scoring method for measuring LRP onset effects in factorial designs. Psychophysiology, 2001, 38, 816-827.	2.4	348
3	Effects of truncation on reaction time analysis Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 1994, 123, 34-80.	2.1	282
4	Automatic and controlled stimulus processing in conflict tasks: Superimposed diffusion processes and delta functions. Cognitive Psychology, 2015, 78, 148-174.	2.2	192
5	Partial advance information and response preparation: Inferences from the lateralized readiness potential Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 1996, 125, 307-323.	2.1	183
6	Many Faces of Expertise: Fusiform Face Area in Chess Experts and Novices. Journal of Neuroscience, 2011, 31, 10206-10214.	3.6	180
7	Testing the race model inequality: An algorithm and computer programs. Behavior Research Methods, 2007, 39, 291-302.	4.0	175
8	Locus of the effect of temporal preparation: Evidence from the lateralized readiness potential. Psychophysiology, 2003, 40, 597-611.	2.4	152
9	Randomized Response Estimates for the 12â€Month Prevalence of Cognitiveâ€Enhancing Drug Use in University Students. Pharmacotherapy, 2013, 33, 44-50.	2.6	152
10	Threshold models of temporal-order judgments evaluated by a ternary response task. Perception & Psychophysics, 1987, 42, 224-239.	2.3	149
11	Preparing for Action: Inferences from CNV and LRP. Journal of Psychophysiology, 2004, 18, 77-88.	0.7	147
12	On the Locus of Speed-Accuracy Trade-Off in Reaction Time: Inferences From the Lateralized Readiness Potential Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2004, 133, 261-282.	2.1	143
13	Use of illicit and prescription drugs for cognitive or mood enhancement among surgeons. BMC Medicine, 2013, 11, 102.	5.5	138
14	Motor coactivation revealed by response force in divided and focused attention Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1993, 19, 1278-1291.	0.9	132
15	Doping in Two Elite Athletics Competitions Assessed by Randomized-Response Surveys. Sports Medicine, 2018, 48, 211-219.	6.5	127
16	The Processing of Temporal Intervals Reflected by CNV-Like Brain Potentials. Psychophysiology, 1991, 28, 648-655.	2.4	123
17	On the optimality of serial and parallel processing in the psychological refractory period paradigm: Effects of the distribution of stimulus onset asynchronies. Cognitive Psychology, 2009, 58, 273-310.	2.2	122
18	Directed attention prolongs the perceived duration of a brief stimulus. Perception & Psychophysics, 1998, 60, 1305-1317.	2.3	120

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Simple reaction time and statistical facilitation: A parallel grains model. Cognitive Psychology, 2003, 46, 101-151.	2.2	117
20	Randomized response estimates for doping and illicit drug use in elite athletes. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 2010, 106, 230-232.	3.2	116
21	Anabolic ergogenic substance users in fitness-sports: A distinct group supported by the health care system. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 2006, 81, 11-19.	3.2	115
22	Mechanisms of speed–accuracy tradeoff: evidence from covert motor processes. Biological Psychology, 2000, 51, 173-199.	2.2	109
23	Motor programming of response force and movement direction. Psychophysiology, 1998, 35, 721-728.	2.4	107
24	Doping in fitness sports: estimated number of unreported cases and individual probability of doping. Addiction, 2006, 101, 1640-1644.	3.3	103
25	Bisecting RT with lateralized readiness potentials: Precue effects after LRP onset. Acta Psychologica, 1995, 90, 111-127.	1.5	101
26	Perceived duration of expected and unexpected stimuli. Psychological Research, 2006, 70, 77-87.	1.7	99
27	Left–right coding of past and future in language: The mental timeline during sentence processing. Cognition, 2010, 117, 126-138.	2.2	97
28	Visual attention and temporal discrimination: Differential effects of automatic and voluntary cueing. Visual Cognition, 2006, 13, 29-50.	1.6	95
29	Mental chronometry and individual differences: Modeling reliabilities and correlations of reaction time means and effect sizes. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2013, 20, 819-858.	2.8	95
30	On estimating the difference limen in duration discrimination tasks: A comparison of the 2AFC and the reminder task. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 291-305.	2.3	92
31	Sequential effects within a short foreperiod context: Evidence for the conditioning account of temporal preparation. Acta Psychologica, 2008, 129, 297-307.	1.5	90
32	Trial-by-trial updating of an internal reference in discrimination tasks: Evidence from effects of stimulus order and trial sequence. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2012, 74, 1819-1841.	1.3	90
33	Information Processing Models Generating Lognormally Distributed Reaction Times. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 1993, 37, 513-525.	1.8	89
34	Response force is sensitive to the temporal uncertainty of response stimuli. Perception & Psychophysics, 1997, 59, 1089-1097.	2.3	89
35	Jackknife-based method for measuring LRP onset latency differences. Psychophysiology, 1998, 35, 99-115.	2.4	84
36	Counting models of temporal discrimination. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2001, 8, 270-277.	2.8	80

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	With the past behind and the future ahead: Back-to-front representation of past and future sentences. Memory and Cognition, 2012, 40, 483-495.	1.6	78
38	Response grouping in the psychological refractory period (PRP) paradigm: Models and contamination effects. Cognitive Psychology, 2008, 57, 75-121.	2.2	74
39	Effects of auditory stimulus intensity on response force in simple, go/no-go, and choice RT tasks. Perception & Psychophysics, 1999, 61, 107-119.	2.3	73
40	Donders's assumption of pure insertion: an evaluation on the basis of response dynamics. Acta Psychologica, 1999, 102, 43-76.	1.5	69
41	Estimating the difference limen in 2AFC tasks: Pitfalls and improved estimators. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2009, 71, 1219-1227.	1.3	69
42	On the analysis of psychometric functions: The Spearman-KÃ <b>r</b> ber method. Perception & Psychophysics, 2001, 63, 1399-1420.	2.3	67
43	Random search with unequal search rates: Serial and parallel generalizations of McGill's model. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 1987, 31, 1-23.	1.8	65
44	A recruitment theory of force-time relations in the production of brief force pulses: The parallel force unit model Psychological Review, 1991, 98, 268-294.	3.8	65
45	Crossmodal temporal discrimination: Assessing the predictions of a general pacemaker-counter model. Perception & Psychophysics, 2006, 68, 1140-1152.	2.3	65
46	Effects of stimulus duration and intensity on simple reaction time and response force Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1998, 24, 915-928.	0.9	63
47	Threshold estimation in two-alternative forced-choice (2AFC) tasks: The Spearman-Käber method. Perception & Psychophysics, 2004, 66, 517-533.	2.3	63
48	Temporal reproductions are influenced by an internal reference: Explaining the Vierordt effect. Acta Psychologica, 2014, 147, 60-67.	1.5	63
49	Effects of Sleep Loss and Circadian Rhythm on Executive Inhibitory Control in the Stroop and Simon Tasks. Chronobiology International, 2012, 29, 55-61.	2.0	62
50	Separate-activation models with variable base times: Testability and checking of cross-channel dependency. Perception & Psychophysics, 1986, 39, 248-254.	2.3	60
51	No evidence for qualitative differences in the processing of short and long temporal intervals. Acta Psychologica, 2005, 120, 141-171.	1.5	60
52	p-hacking by post hoc selection with multiple opportunities: Detectability by skewness test?: Comment on Simonsohn, Nelson, and Simmons (2014) Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2015, 144, 1137-1145.	2.1	59
53	Separation of phasic arousal and expectancy effects in a speeded reaction time task via fMRI. Psychophysiology, 2009, 46, 163-171.	2.4	56
54	Asking sensitive questions: A statistical power analysis of randomized response models Psychological Methods, 2012, 17, 623-641.	3.5	56

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Time resolution of clocks: Effects on reaction time measurement—Good news for bad clocks. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1989, 42, 1-12.	1.4	55
56	Associations between Physical and Cognitive Doping – A Cross-Sectional Study in 2.997 Triathletes. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e78702.	2.5	54
57	The locus of temporal preparation effects: Evidence from the psychological refractory period paradigm. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2006, 13, 536-542.	2.8	51
58	A double-response paradigm to study stimulus intensity effects upon the motor system in simple reaction time experiments. Perception & Psychophysics, 1984, 36, 545-558.	2.3	50
59	Using the jackknife-based scoring method for measuring LRP onset effects in factorial designs. Psychophysiology, 2001, 38, 816-827.	2.4	50
60	Preparation of response force and movement direction: Onset effects on the lateralized readiness potential. Psychophysiology, 2000, 37, 507-514.	2.4	49
61	Perceptual learning in auditory temporal discrimination: No evidence for a cross-modal transfer to the visual modality. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2009, 16, 382-389.	2.8	49
62	Does Immediate Arousal Enhance Response Force in Simple Reaction Time?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology, 1996, 49, 972-990.	2.3	48
63	Brief bimanual force pulses: Correlations between the hands in force and time Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2001, 27, 1485-1497.	0.9	48
64	The effect of 40 h constant wakefulness on taskâ€switching efficiency. Journal of Sleep Research, 2009, 18, 167-172.	3.2	48
65	Modulation of alertness by sustained cognitive demand in MS as surrogate measure of fatigue and fatigability. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 2014, 340, 178-182.	0.6	48
66	Why jackknifing yields good latency estimates. Psychophysiology, 2009, 46, 300-312.	2.4	47
67	Duration perception of visual and auditory oddball stimuli: Does judgment task modulate the temporal oddball effect?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 814-828.	1.3	47
68	Temporal preparation improves temporal resolution: Evidence from constant foreperiods. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 1504-1514.	2.3	46
69	Dynamic adjustment of temporal preparation: Shifting warning signal modality attenuates the sequential foreperiod effect. Acta Psychologica, 2009, 132, 40-47.	1.5	44
70	Locus of the redundant-signals effect in bimodal divided attention: A neurophysiological analysis. Perception & Psychophysics, 2001, 63, 555-562.	2.3	43
71	Perceptual learning in temporal discrimination: asymmetric cross-modal transfer from audition to vision. Experimental Brain Research, 2012, 221, 205-210.	1.5	42
72	Motor Limitation in Dual-Task Processing Under Ballistic Movement Conditions. Psychological Science, 2006, 17, 788-793.	3.3	41

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Decomposing sources of response slowing in the PRP paradigm Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2007, 33, 610-626.	0.9	41
74	The source of execution-related dual-task interference: Motor bottleneck or response monitoring?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2009, 35, 1413-1426.	0.9	41
75	Tests of Race Models for Reaction Time in Experiments with Asynchronous Redundant Signals. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 1997, 41, 367-381.	1.8	40
76	Locus of the effect of the number of alternative responses: Evidence from the lateralized readiness potential Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1998, 24, 1215-1231.	0.9	40
77	Bimanual Response Grouping in Dual-Task Paradigms. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 61, 999-1019.	1.1	40
78	Effects of stimulus intensity on the lateralized readiness potential Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1999, 25, 1454-1471.	0.9	39
79	Elaborative rehearsal of nontemporal information interferes with temporal processing of durations in the range of seconds but not milliseconds. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 137, 127-133.	1.5	39
80	Effects of Stimulus Order on Discrimination Processes in Comparative and Equality Judgements: Data and Models. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2014, 67, 1121-1150.	1.1	39
81	Effects of redundant auditory stimuli on reaction time. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2007, 14, 39-44.	2.8	38
82	Effects of Response Probability on Response Force in Simple RT. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology, 1997, 50, 405-420.	2.3	35
83	Short Article: Knowing When to Hear Aids What to Hear. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2007, 60, 1610-1615.	1.1	35
84	Temporal preparation influences the dynamics of information processing: Evidence for early onset of information accumulation. Vision Research, 2010, 50, 1025-1034.	1.4	35
85	Temporal Preparation Decreases Perceptual Latency: Evidence from a Clock Paradigm. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2010, 63, 2432-2451.	1.1	35
86	Systematic biases and Type I error accumulation in tests of the race model inequality. Behavior Research Methods, 2007, 39, 539-551.	4.0	34
87	Exogenous visual attention prolongs perceived duration. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2011, 73, 68-85.	1.3	34
88	Central Slowing During the Night. Psychological Science, 2007, 18, 456-461.	3.3	33
89	The effect of a cross-trial shift of auditory warning signals on the sequential foreperiod effect. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 134, 94-104.	1.5	33
90	Does temporal preparation increase the rate of sensory information accumulation?. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 137, 56-64.	1.5	32

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	The quest for an optimal alpha. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0208631.	2.5	32
92	Number magnitude determines gaze direction: Spatial–numerical association in a free-choice task. Cortex, 2011, 47, 617-620.	2.4	31
93	Dual-task processing when task 1 is hard and task 2 is easy: Reversed central processing order?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2011, 37, 115-136.	0.9	30
94	Formation and representation of temporal reference information. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 2016, 8, 46-52.	3.9	30
95	Dimensional overlap between time and space. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2013, 20, 1120-1125.	2.8	27
96	Action selection by temporally distal goal states. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2017, 24, 467-473.	2.8	27
97	Registered Replication Report on Fischer, Castel, Dodd, and Pratt (2003). Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2020, 3, 143-162.	9.4	27
98	Motor limitation in dual-task processing with different effectors. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 61, 1385-1399.	1.1	26
99	Response force in RT tasks: Isolating effects of stimulus probability and response probability. Visual Cognition, 2002, 9, 477-501.	1.6	25
100	Prediction Profiles for Nutritional Supplement Use Among Young German Elite Athletes. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 2014, 24, 623-631.	2.1	25
101	Optimizing Research Payoff. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2016, 11, 664-691.	9.0	25
102	On the time-course of automatic response activation in the Simon task. Psychological Research, 2018, 82, 734-743.	1.7	24
103	The Space–Time Congruency Effect: A Metaâ€Analysis. Cognitive Science, 2019, 43, e12709.	1.7	24
104	How strongly linked are mental time and space along the left–right axis?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2015, 41, 1878-1883.	0.9	24
105	Attention delays perceived stimulus offset. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 2926-2933.	1.4	23
106	Does attention impair temporal discrimination? Examining non-attentional accounts. Psychological Research, 2007, 72, 49-60.	1.7	23
107	DLs in reminder and 2AFC tasks: Data and models. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 1179-1198.	1.3	23
108	Does the asymmetry effect inflate the temporal expansion of odd stimuli?. Psychological Research, 2010, 74, 90-98.	1.7	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Processing two tasks with varying task order: Central stage duration influences central processing order. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 137, 10-17.	1.5	23
110	A Comparison of the Cheater Detection and the Unrelated Question Models: A Randomized Response Survey on Physical and Cognitive Doping in Recreational Triathletes. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0155765.	2.5	23
111	Multisensory Perception of Contradictory Information in an Environment of Varying Reliability: Evidence for Conscious Perception and Optimal Causal Inference. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 3167.	3.3	22
112	Visuospatial attention and redundancy gain. Psychological Research, 2009, 73, 254-262.	1.7	21
113	The auditory redundant signals effect: An influence of number of stimuli or number of percepts?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2009, 71, 1375-1384.	1.3	21
114	Analgesics use in competitive triathletes: its relationship to doping and on predicting its usage. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2016, 34, 1965-1969.	2.0	21
115	Stimulus-response compatibility in intensity-force relations. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology, 2002, 55, 1175-1191.	2.3	20
116	Dynamics of sensorimotor cortex activation to spatial sounds precueing ipsi- versus contralateral manual responses. Cognitive Brain Research, 2003, 17, 573-583.	3.0	20
117	Temporal organization of covert motor processes during response selection and preparation. Biological Psychology, 2003, 64, 47-75.	2.2	19
118	Effects of stimulus order on discrimination sensitivity for short and long durations. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 1033-1043.	1.3	19
119	Stimulus expectation prolongs rather than shortens perceived duration: Evidence from self-generated expectations Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2018, 44, 117-127.	0.9	19
120	The surface—weight illusion: On the contribution of grip force to perceived heaviness. Perception & Psychophysics, 1999, 61, 23-30.	2.3	18
121	On the correlation of a naturally and an artificially dichotomized variable. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2004, 57, 235-251.	1.4	18
122	A computer program for Spearman-Käber and probit analysis of psychometric function data. Behavior Research Methods, 2004, 36, 11-16.	1.3	18
123	Multimodal Integration of Time. Experimental Psychology, 2014, 61, 310-322.	0.7	18
124	Task predictability influences the variable foreperiod effect: evidence of task-specific temporal preparation. Psychological Research, 2015, 79, 230-237.	1.7	18
125	Some properties of p-curves, with an application to gradual publication bias Psychological Methods, 2018, 23, 546-560.	3.5	18
126	Questionable research practices may have little effect on replicability. ELife, 2020, 9, .	6.0	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Effects of redundant visual stimuli on temporal order judgments. Perception & Psychophysics, 2004, 66, 563-573.	2.3	17
128	Prevalence Estimates for Pharmacological Neuroenhancement in Austrian University Students: Its Relation to Health-Related Risk Attitude and the Framing Effect of Caffeine Tablets. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 2018, 9, 494.	3.5	17
129	Does Immediate Arousal Enhance Response Force in Simple Reaction Time?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology, 1996, 49, 972-990.	2.3	17
130	Amplitude and duration scaling of brief isometric force pulses Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1995, 21, 1457-1472.	0.9	16
131	Response mode does not modulate the space–time congruency effect: Evidence for a space–time mapping at a conceptual level. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 156, 162-167.	1.5	16
132	The greater temporal acuity in the reminder task than in the 2AFC task is independent of standard duration and sensory modality Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 66, 26-31.	0.8	15
133	The influence of stimulus repetition on duration judgments with simple stimuli. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1213.	2.1	15
134	Refined Analysis of the Critical Age Ranges of Childhood Overweight: Implications for Primary Prevention. Obesity, 2012, 20, 2151-2154.	3.0	14
135	Effects of stimulus order on duration discrimination sensitivity are under attentional control Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 292-307.	0.9	14
136	The role of consolidation for perceptual learning in temporal discrimination within and across modalities. Acta Psychologica, 2014, 147, 75-79.	1.5	14
137	Effect Size Estimation From <i>t</i> -Statistics in the Presence of Publication Bias. Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie / Journal of Psychology, 2018, 226, 56-80.	1.0	14
138	The time-course of distractor-based activation modulates effects of speed-accuracy tradeoffs in conflict tasks. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, 29, 837-854.	2.8	14
139	THE EFFECT OF 40 HOURS OF CONSTANT WAKEFULNESS ON NUMBER COMPARISON PERFORMANCE. Chronobiology International, 2010, 27, 807-825.	2.0	13
140	Introducing a control condition in the classic oddball paradigm: Oddballs are overestimated in duration not only because of their oddness. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 1737-1749.	1.3	13
141	Late backward effects in the refractory period paradigm: effects of Task 2 execution on Task 1 performance. Psychological Research, 2010, 74, 378-387.	1.7	12
142	Do we map remembrances to the left/back and expectations to the right/front of a mental timeline? Space–time congruency effects with retrospective and prospective verbs. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 156, 168-178.	1.5	12
143	Gricean Expectations in Online Sentence Comprehension: An ERP Study on the Processing of Scalar Inferences. Cognitive Science, 2019, 43, e12776.	1.7	12
144	Response activation and activation–transmission in response-based backward crosstalk: Analyses and simulations with an extended diffusion model Psychological Review, 2023, 130, 102-136.	3.8	12

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
145	Constant versus variable response signal delays in speed-accuracy trade-offs: Effects of advance preparation for processing time. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 878-886.	2.3	11
146	Duration Discrimination Performance: No Cross-Modal Transfer from Audition to Vision Even after Massive Perceptual Learning. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2011, , 92-100.	1.3	11
147	Estimating discrimination performance in two-alternative forced choice tasks: Routines for MATLAB and R. Behavior Research Methods, 2012, 44, 1157-1174.	4.0	10
148	Interpreting confidence intervals: A comment on Hoekstra, Morey, Rouder, and Wagenmakers (2014). Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2016, 23, 124-130.	2.8	10
149	Effects of conflict trial proportion: A comparison of the Eriksen and Simon tasks. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 810-836.	1.3	10
150	Comparisons of Two Variants of the Method of Constant Stimuli for Estimating Difference Thresholds. Swiss Journal of Psychology, 2009, 68, 189-192.	0.9	10
151	Illusory double flashes can speed up responses like physical ones: evidence from the sound-induced flash illusion. Experimental Brain Research, 2011, 214, 113-119.	1.5	9
152	Effects of Response Probability on Response Force in Simple RT. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology, 1997, 50, 405-420.	2.3	9
153	Is It Possible to Prepare the Second Component of a Movement Before the First One?. Journal of Motor Behavior, 1990, 22, 125-148.	0.9	8
154	Determinants of Central Processing Order in Psychological Refractory Period Paradigms: Central Arrival Times, Detection Times, or Preparation?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 64, 2012-2043.	1.1	8
155	Incremental generation of answers during the comprehension of questions with quantifiers. Cognition, 2017, 166, 328-343.	2.2	8
156	Coactive Processing of Dimensionally Redundant Targets Within the Auditory Modality?. Experimental Psychology, 2011, 58, 50-54.	0.7	8
157	Optimizing Research Output: How Can Psychological Research Methods Be Improved?. Annual Review of Psychology, 2022, 73, 691-718.	17.7	8
158	The influence of dichotical fusion on the redundant signals effect, localization performance, and the mismatch negativity. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2011, 11, 68-84.	2.0	7
159	Time-course analysis of temporal preparation on central processes. Psychological Research, 2012, 76, 236-251.	1.7	7
160	Are all the triangles blue? – ERP evidence for the incremental processing of German quantifier restriction. Language and Cognition, 2017, 9, 603-636.	0.6	7
161	Multimodal Simon Effect: A Multimodal Extension of the Diffusion Model for Conflict Tasks. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2018, 12, 507.	2.0	7
162	Refined Analysis of a Cross-Sectional Doping Survey Among Recreational Triathletes: Support for the Nutritional Supplement Gateway Hypothesis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 561013.	2.1	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	The Temporal Oddball Effect and Related Phenomena: Cognitive Mechanisms and Experimental Approaches. , 2019, , 71-89.		7
164	The Mental Timeline in a Crossed-Hands Paradigm. Experimental Psychology, 2016, 63, 326-332.	0.7	7
165	Effects of stimulus order on comparative judgments across stimulus attributes and sensory modalities Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2018, 44, 7-12.	0.9	7
166	Fusion prevents the redundant signals effect: Evidence from stereoscopically presented stimuli Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2011, 37, 1361-1368.	0.9	6
167	Cheater Detection Using the Unrelated Question Model. Sociological Methods and Research, 2023, 52, 389-411.	6.8	6
168	The Backward Crosstalk Effect Does Not Depend on the Degree of a Preceding Response Conflict. Experimental Psychology, 2020, 67, 277-291.	0.7	6
169	Redundancy gain for semantic features. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2013, 20, 474-480.	2.8	5
170	Temporal processing within and across senses. Acta Psychologica, 2014, 147, 1.	1.5	5
171	Representations of temporal information in short-term memory: Are they modality-specific?. Acta Psychologica, 2016, 170, 163-167.	1.5	5
172	Physical and cognitive doping in university students using the unrelated question model (UQM): Assessing the influence of the probability of receiving the sensitive question on prevalence estimation. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0197270.	2.5	5
173	Decay of internal reference information in duration discrimination: Intertrial interval modulates the Type B effect. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2019, 72, 1578-1586.	1.1	5
174	A bimodal extension of the Eriksen flanker task. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 790-799.	1.3	5
175	S1-R2 and R1-R2 Backward Crosstalk Both Affect the Central Processing Stage. Journal of Cognition, 2020, 3, 37.	1.4	5
176	Impaired temporal discrimination within the attentional blink. Perception & Psychophysics, 2007, 69, 1295-1304.	2.3	4
177	Temporal sequence discrimination within and across senses: do we really hear what we see?. Experimental Brain Research, 2019, 237, 3089-3098.	1.5	4
178	To prepare or not to prepare? When preparation of a response in Task 2 induces extra performance costs in Task 1. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 654-660.	2.8	4
179	Most (but not all) quantifiers are interpreted immediately in visual context. Language, Cognition and Neuroscience, 2020, 35, 1203-1222.	1.2	4
180	Mental Imagery of Free Fall: Does a Falling Apple Accelerate in Our Minds?. Timing and Time Perception, 2021, 9, 150-160.	0.6	4

	Rol	f Ulrich	
#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Humans integrate duration information across sensory modalities: Evidence for an amodal internal reference of time Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2021, 47, 1205-1225.	0.9	4
182	Doping and Drug Use in Elite Sports. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 2006, 38, S247.	0.4	4
183	A Replication of ``Processing time shifts affects the execution of motor responses (Sell & Kaschak,) Tj ET	Qq1 1 0.784314 rg 0.9	;BT_/Overloc
184	No evidence for a late locus of task switch effects. Brain Research, 2009, 1253, 74-80.	2.2	3
185	Context and Complexity in Incremental Sentence Interpretation: An ERP Study on Temporal Quantification. Cognitive Science, 2020, 44, e12913.	1.7	3
186	Associations Between Abstract Concepts: Investigating the Relationship Between Deictic Time and Valence. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 612720.	2.1	3
187	Perceived duration increases not only with physical, but also with implicit size Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2019, 45, 969-979.	0.9	3
188	What Makes an Oddball Odd? Evidence from a Spatially Predictable Temporal Oddball Paradigm. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2014, 126, 190-191.	0.5	2
189	The Mental Timeline During the Processing of Linguistic Information. Human Cognitive Processing, 0, , 103-122.	0.1	2
190	A simple, general, and efficient method for sequential hypothesis testing: The independent segments procedure Psychological Methods, 2021, 26, 486-497.	3.5	2
191	Self-protecting responses in randomized response designs: A survey on intimate partner violence during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. Sociological Methods and Research, 2024, 53, 296-327	6.8	2
192	The short-term storage as a buffer memory between long-term storage and the motor system: A simultaneous-processing model. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 1985, 29, 243-270.	1.8	1
193	Selective search in short-term memory under ideal conditions of test stimulus categorization. Memory and Cognition, 1985, 13, 29-36.	1.6	1
194	The Cognitive Representation of Time and Duration. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2014, 120 21-23.	6, 0.5	1
195	How Closely Related are Time and Space on the Left-right Axis?. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2014, 126, 172-173.	0.5	1
196	Understanding the meaning of words and sentences: The role of non-linguistic processes. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 156, 97.	1.5	1
197	Action consequences affect the space-time congruency effect on reaction time. Acta Psychologica, 2019, 198, 102850.	1,5	1
198	Short-term memory of temporal information revisited. Psychological Research, 2021, 85, 1776-1782.	1.7	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Alternative sequential methods in statistical testing: A reply to Lakens (2021) and Erdfelder and Schnuerch (2021) Psychological Methods, 2021, 26, 507-512.	3.5	1
200	Reply to: Testing of †Executive Function'. Chronobiology International, 2012, 29, 1285-1285.	2.0	0
201	Speed or duration? Effects of implicit stimulus attributes on perceived duration. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 0, , 1-22.	0.9	0
202	The Use Of Nutritional Supplements Among Master Athletes. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 2005, 37, S444.	0.4	0
203	Estimating The Individual Probability Of Doping Among Fitness Center Visitors. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 2005, 37, S13.	0.4	0
204	Modelle zur Zeitdauerdiskrimination: Ein neuer Zugang ihrer Überprüfbarkeit. , 1998, , 233-240.		0
205	Is rushing always faster than strolling? A reaction time study on the processing of sentences containing manner of motion verbs. Acta Psychologica, 2021, 221, 103428.	1.5	Ο