

Andrea Manca

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1601530/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

45
papers

2,317
citations

331670

21
h-index

243625

44
g-index

49
all docs

49
docs citations

49
times ranked

4868
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Estimating mean QALYs in trial-based cost-effectiveness analysis: the importance of controlling for baseline utility. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2005, 14, 487-496.	1.7	750
2	Quality of life, resource consumption and costs of spinal cord stimulation versus conventional medical management in neuropathic pain patients with failed back surgery syndrome (PROCESS trial). <i>European Journal of Pain</i> , 2008, 12, 1047-1058.	2.8	185
3	Mapping to Estimate Health-State Utility from Non-Preference-Based Outcome Measures: An ISPOR Good Practices for Outcomes Research Task Force Report. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2017, 20, 18-27.	0.3	145
4	Regression Estimators for Generic Health-Related Quality of Life and Quality-Adjusted Life Years. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2012, 32, 56-69.	2.4	122
5	Assessing generalisability by location in trial-based cost-effectiveness analysis: the use of multilevel models. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2005, 14, 471-485.	1.7	92
6	Handling Missing Data in Patient-Level Cost-Effectiveness Analysis alongside Randomised Clinical Trials. <i>Applied Health Economics and Health Policy</i> , 2005, 4, 65-75.	2.1	87
7	The Future of Precision Medicine: Potential Impacts for Health Technology Assessment. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2018, 36, 1439-1451.	3.3	84
8	Mixed treatment comparisons using aggregate and individual participant level data. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> , 2012, 31, 3516-3536.	1.6	83
9	The incidence and healthcare costs of persistent postoperative pain following lumbar spine surgery in the UK: a cohort study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and Hospital Episode Statistics (HES). <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e017585.	1.9	71
10	The Value of Heterogeneity for Cost-Effectiveness Subgroup Analysis. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2014, 34, 951-964.	2.4	67
11	Lost in Translation. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2006, 24, 1101-1119.	3.3	62
12	Cost-effectiveness of adjunct non-pharmacological interventions for osteoarthritis of the knee. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0172749.	2.5	51
13	Long-term healthcare use and costs in patients with stable coronary artery disease: a population-based cohort using linked health records (CALIBER). <i>European Heart Journal Quality of Care & Clinical Outcomes</i> , 2016, 2, 125-140.	4.0	49
14	Relationship between Health-Related Quality of Life, Pain, and Functional Disability in Neuropathic Pain Patients with Failed Back Surgery Syndrome. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2010, 13, 95-102.	0.3	39
15	Deriving Input Parameters for Cost-Effectiveness Modeling: Taxonomy of Data Types and Approaches to Their Statistical Synthesis. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2012, 15, 639-649.	0.3	37
16	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Using Data from Multinational Trials: The Use of Bivariate Hierarchical Modeling. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2007, 27, 471-490.	2.4	33
17	Randomized trial of a brief physiotherapy intervention compared with usual physiotherapy for neck pain patients: Cost-effectiveness analysis. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2006, 22, 67-75.	0.5	29
18	A cost-utility analysis of tension-free vaginal tape versus colposuspension for primary urodynamic stress incontinence. <i>BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2003, 110, 255-62.	2.3	29

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Acupuncture for chronic pain and depression in primary care: a programme of research. Programme Grants for Applied Research, 2017, 5, 1-316.	1.0	27
20	Using electronic health records to predict costs and outcomes in stable coronary artery disease. Heart, 2016, 102, 755-762.	2.9	26
21	The Analysis of Multinational Cost-Effectiveness Data for Reimbursement Decisions. Pharmacoeconomics, 2010, 28, 1079-1096.	3.3	24
22	Good Practice Guidelines for the use of Statistical Regression Models in Economic Evaluations. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 643-652.	3.3	21
23	Mapping clinical outcomes to generic preference-based outcome measures: development and comparison of methods. Health Technology Assessment, 2020, 24, 1-68.	2.8	19
24	A cost-utility analysis of tension-free vaginal tape versus colposuspension for primary urodynamic stress incontinence. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2003, 110, 255-262.	2.3	18
25	A two-stage prediction model for heterogeneous effects of treatments. Statistics in Medicine, 2021, 40, 4362-4375.	1.6	15
26	Investigating the Generalizability of Economic Evaluations Conducted in Italy: A Critical Review. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 709-720.	0.3	14
27	How to Appropriately Extrapolate Costs and Utilities in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 767-776.	3.3	14
28	Reoperation following lumbar spinal surgery: costs and outcomes in a UK population cohort study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and Hospital Episode Statistics (HES). European Spine Journal, 2019, 28, 863-871.	2.2	13
29	Predicting carer health effects for use in economic evaluation. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0184886.	2.5	13
30	The Extrapolation Performance of Survival Models for Data With a Cure Fraction: A Simulation Study. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 1634-1642.	0.3	12
31	Comparing current and emerging practice models for the extrapolation of survival data: a simulation study and case-study. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 263.	3.1	12
32	Prediction of patient-reported outcome measures via multivariate ordered probit models. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society, 2015, 178, 567-591.	1.1	11
33	Methods for network meta-analysis of continuous outcomes using individual patient data: a case study in acupuncture for chronic pain. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 131.	3.1	11
34	Novel systemic therapies in atopic dermatitis: what do we need to fulfil the promise of a treatment revolution?. F1000Research, 2019, 8, 132.	1.6	11
35	Cost-effectiveness of interventions for increasing the possession of functioning smoke alarms in households with pre-school children: a modelling study. BMC Public Health, 2014, 14, 459.	2.9	9
36	The Cost-Effectiveness of Different Chemotherapy Strategies for Patients with Poor Prognosis Advanced Colorectal Cancer (MRC FOCUS). Value in Health, 2012, 15, 22-31.	0.3	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Testing a support programme for opioid reduction for people with chronic non-malignant pain: the I-WOTCH randomised controlled trial protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e028937.	1.9	6
38	Persistent postoperative pain and healthcare costs associated with instrumented and non-instrumented spinal surgery: a case-control study. <i>Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research</i> , 2020, 15, 127.	2.3	5
39	People's preferences for self-management support. <i>Health Services Research</i> , 2022, 57, 91-101.	2.0	5
40	The Value of Further Research: The Added Value of Individual-Participant Level Data. <i>Applied Health Economics and Health Policy</i> , 2019, 17, 273-284.	2.1	3
41	Social value and individual choice: The value of a choice-based decision-making process in a collectively funded health system. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2018, 27, e28-e40.	1.7	2
42	Protocol for an economic analysis of the randomised controlled trial of Improving the Well-being of people with Opioid Treated CHronic pain: I-WOTCH Study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e037243.	1.9	2
43	Protocol for an economic analysis of the randomised controlled trial of Improving the Well-being of people with Opioid Treated CHronic pain: I-WOTCH Study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e037243.	1.9	1
44	“Lost in Translation”: <i>Pharmacoeconomics Italian Research Articles</i> , 2010, 12, 183-202.	0.2	0
45	Evaluating the cost-effectiveness of biologic treatments for psoriatic arthritis: can we make better use of patient data registries?. <i>Clinical Rheumatology</i> , 2017, 36, 1803-1810.	2.2	0