

Marleen Kunneman

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/159786/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

54
papers

1,541
citations

304743

22
h-index

330143

37
g-index

56
all docs

56
docs citations

56
times ranked

2076
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Making care fit manifesto. <i>BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2023, 28, 5-6.	3.5	23
2	Remote shared decision making through telemedicine: A systematic review of the literature. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 356-365.	2.2	35
3	Making sense of diabetes medication decisions: a mixed methods cluster randomized trial using a conversation aid intervention. <i>Endocrine</i> , 2022, 75, 377-391.	2.3	7
4	Shared decision making process measures and patient problems. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 2457-2465.	2.2	3
5	Effect of Shared Decision-Making for Stroke Prevention on Treatment Adherence and Safety Outcomes in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. <i>Journal of the American Heart Association</i> , 2022, 11, e023048.	3.7	13
6	Advocating for evidence-informed decisions to make healthcare fit for each person. <i>BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2022, 27, 65-66.	3.5	2
7	Key Factors Relevant for Healthcare Decisions of Patients with Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes in Secondary Care According to Healthcare Professionals. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2022, Volume 16, 809-819.	1.8	2
8	Reimagining care for young adults living with type-1 diabetes. <i>Journal of Diabetes Investigation</i> , 2022, 13, 1294-1299.	2.4	2
9	Making Care Fit in the Lives and Loves of Patients With Chronic Conditions. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e211576.	5.9	4
10	Making Diabetes Care Fit—Are We Making Progress?. <i>Frontiers in Clinical Diabetes and Healthcare</i> , 2021, 2, .	0.8	14
11	Co-creating sensible care plans using shared decision making: Patients' reflections and observations of encounters. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2021, , .	2.2	6
12	Shared Decision Making in Cardiac Electrophysiology Procedures and Arrhythmia Management. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 14, CIRCEP121007958.	4.8	20
13	Do clinicians convey what they intend? Lay interpretation of verbal risk labels used in decision encounters. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2020, 103, 418-422.	2.2	9
14	Assessment of Shared Decision-making for Stroke Prevention in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 180, 1215.	5.1	62
15	Envisioning Shared Decision Making: A Reflection for the Next Decade. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2020, 5, 238146832096378.	0.9	13
16	When Guidelines Recommend Shared Decision-making. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2020, 323, 1345.	7.4	36
17	Reflecting on shared decision making: A reflection-quantification study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2019, 22, 1165-1172.	2.6	12
18	Clinician-patient communication during the diagnostic workup: The ABIDE project. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment and Disease Monitoring</i> , 2019, 11, 520-528.	2.4	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Purposeful SDM: A problem-based approach to caring for patients with shared decision making. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 1786-1792.	2.2	74
20	Do Shared Decision-Making Measures Reflect Key Elements of Shared Decision Making? A Content Review of Coding Schemes. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2019, 39, 886-893.	2.4	12
21	Patient explicit consideration of tradeoffs in decision making about rectal cancer treatment: benefits for decision process and quality of life. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2019, 58, 1069-1076.	1.8	17
22	Training for Medical Oncologists on Shared Decision-Making About Palliative Chemotherapy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Oncologist</i> , 2019, 24, 259-265.	3.7	36
23	Humanistic communication in the evaluation of shared decision making: A systematic review. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 452-466.	2.2	45
24	Developing a Conversation Aid to Support Shared Decision Making: Reflections on Designing Anticoagulation Choice. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings</i> , 2019, 94, 686-696.	3.0	35
25	Development and Usability of ADappt: Web-Based Tool to Support Clinicians, Patients, and Caregivers in the Diagnosis of Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer Disease. <i>JMIR Formative Research</i> , 2019, 3, e13417.	1.4	38
26	Fostering Choice Awareness for Shared Decision Making: A Secondary Analysis of Video-Recorded Clinical Encounters. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings Innovations, Quality & Outcomes</i> , 2018, 2, 60-68.	2.4	28
27	P1â€256: COMMUNICATION ON DIAGNOSTIC TESTING FOR (ALZHEIMER'S) DEMENTIA: THE ABIDEâ€CLINICAL ENCOUNTER STUDY. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia</i> , 2018, 14, P378.	0.8	0
28	Association of Amyloid Positron Emission Tomography With Changes in Diagnosis and Patient Treatment in an Unselected Memory Clinic Cohort. <i>JAMA Neurology</i> , 2018, 75, 1062.	9.0	102
29	Commentary on "The stereotypicality of symptomatic and pragmatic argumentation in consultations about palliative systemic treatment for advanced cancer": <i>Journal of Argumentation in Context</i> , 2018, 7, 204-208.	0.4	6
30	Alzheimer's biomarkers in daily practice (ABIDE) project: Rationale and design. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment and Disease Monitoring</i> , 2017, 6, 143-151.	2.4	57
31	When patient-centred care is worth doing well: informed consent or shared decision-making. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2017, 26, 522-524.	3.7	34
32	What are the decision-making preferences of patients in vascular surgery? A mixed-methods study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e013272.	1.9	31
33	Patients' and caregivers' views on conversations and shared decision making in diagnostic testing for Alzheimer's disease: The ABIDE project. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions</i> , 2017, 3, 314-322.	3.7	47
34	Diagnostic dilemmas in Alzheimer's disease: Room for shared decision making. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions</i> , 2017, 3, 301-304.	3.7	28
35	Clinicians' views on conversations and shared decision making in diagnostic testing for Alzheimer's disease: The ABIDE project. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions</i> , 2017, 3, 305-313.	3.7	23
36	Shared Decision Making and Improving Health Care. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2017, 318, 617.	7.4	111

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Shared decision making and the internist. <i>European Journal of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 37, 1-6.	2.2	14
38	[DTâ€“01â€“02]: THE IMPACT OF AMYLOID PET ON DIAGNOSIS AND PATIENT MANAGEMENT IN AN UNSELECTED MEMORY CLINIC COHORT: THE ABIDE PROJECT. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia</i> , 2017, 13, P1474.	0.8	0
39	Measurement with a wink. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2017, 26, 849-851.	3.7	15
40	Shared decision making for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2017, 18, 443.	1.6	24
41	P4â€“240: Deciding About Diagnostic Testing for Alzheimerâ€™s Disease: Patientsâ€™ Views and Experiences. <i>Alzheimer's and Dementia</i> , 2016, 12, P1122.	0.8	0
42	Liability and Informed Consent in the Context of Shared Decision Making. <i>Academic Emergency Medicine</i> , 2016, 23, 1428-1433.	1.8	19
43	What Is Shared Decision Making? (and What It Is Not). <i>Academic Emergency Medicine</i> , 2016, 23, 1320-1324.	1.8	94
44	P1-418: Cliniciansâ€™ Views and Attitudes on Shared Decision Making in Diagnostic Testing for Alzheimerâ€™s Disease. , 2016, 12, P595-P595.		0
45	Caring with evidence based medicine. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i3530.	6.0	12
46	Deciding about (neo-)adjuvant rectal and breast cancer treatment: Missed opportunities for shared decision making. <i>Acta OncolÃ³gica</i> , 2016, 55, 134-139.	1.8	68
47	Older Cancer Patientsâ€™ User Experiences With Web-Based Health Information Tools: A Think-Aloud Study. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2016, 18, e208.	4.3	85
48	Authorsâ€™ Reply: Response to â€œOlder Cancer Patientsâ€™ User Experiences With Web-Based Health Information Tools: A Think-Aloud Studyâ€• <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2016, 18, e289.	4.3	3
49	Considering patient values and treatment preferences enhances patient involvement in rectal cancer treatment decision making. <i>Radiotherapy and Oncology</i> , 2015, 117, 338-342.	0.6	45
50	Probabilities of benefit and harms of preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: What do radiation oncologists tell and what do patients understand?. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2015, 98, 1092-1098.	2.2	17
51	Which benefits and harms of preoperative radiotherapy should be addressed? A Delphi consensus study among rectal cancer patients and radiation oncologists. <i>Radiotherapy and Oncology</i> , 2015, 114, 212-217.	0.6	18
52	Career development for early career academics: Benefits of networking and the role of professional societies. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2014, 97, 132-134.	2.2	61
53	Theory-informed design of values clarification methods: A cognitive psychological perspective on patient health-related decision making. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2013, 77, 156-163.	3.8	54
54	Shared Decision-Making for Patients Hospitalized with Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Randomized Trial. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 0, Volume 16, 1395-1404.	1.8	1