

Katie Gillies

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1384504/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

65
papers

1,081
citations

516681

16
h-index

477281

29
g-index

68
all docs

68
docs citations

68
times ranked

1543
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Developing an online, searchable database to systematically map and organise current literature on retention research (ORRCA2). <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2022, 19, 71-80.	1.6	5
2	Developing theory-based text messages to support retention in clinical trials: A mixed methods approach. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 2022, 3, 22-31.	1.2	0
3	Identifying the outcomes important to men with hypogonadism: A qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>Andrology</i> , 2022, , .	3.5	4
4	What, how, when and who of trial results summaries for trial participants: stakeholder-informed guidance from the RECAP project. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e057019.	1.9	9
5	Behavioural approaches to recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a systematic mapping review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e054854.	1.9	7
6	The People's Trial: supporting the public's understanding of randomised trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 205.	1.6	3
7	The development of theory-informed participant-centred interventions to maximise participant retention in randomised controlled trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 268.	1.6	3
8	Core outcome set for symptomatic uncomplicated gallstone disease. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 109, 539-544.	0.3	9
9	The relative importance of information items and preferred mode of delivery when disseminating results from trials to participants: A mixed-methods study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2022, 25, 419-429.	2.6	3
10	Using qualitative methods in pilot and feasibility trials to inform recruitment and retention processes in full-scale randomised trials: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e055521.	1.9	3
11	Behavioural optimisation to address trial conduct challenges: case study in the UK-REBOA trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 398.	1.6	1
12	Information about dissemination of trial results in patient information leaflets for clinical trials in the UK and Ireland: The what and the when. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2022, 17, e0268898.	2.5	1
13	Studies within a trial priorities to improve the evidence to inform recruitment and retention practice in clinical trials. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 2022, 3, 121-126.	1.2	3
14	Patient and public involvement in numerical aspects of trials: a mixed methods theory-informed survey of trialists' current practices, barriers and facilitators. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e046977.	1.9	8
15	Strategies to improve retention in randomised trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2021, 2021, MR000032.	2.8	46
16	Using a behavioural approach to explore the factors that affect questionnaire return within a clinical trial: a qualitative study based on the theoretical domains framework. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e048128.	1.9	7
17	Providing trial results to participants in phase III pragmatic effectiveness RCTs: a scoping review. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 361.	1.6	10
18	Randomised controlled trials in pre-hospital trauma: a systematic mapping review. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine</i> , 2021, 29, 65.	2.6	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A study protocol of qualitative data sharing practices in clinical trials in the UK and Ireland: towards the production of good practice guidance. HRB Open Research, 2021, 4, 47.	0.6	5
20	Identification and categorisation of relevant outcomes for symptomatic uncomplicated gallstone disease: in-depth analysis to inform the development of a core outcome set. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045568.	1.9	3
21	A study protocol of qualitative data sharing practices in clinical trials in the UK and Ireland: towards the production of good practice guidance. HRB Open Research, 2021, 4, 47.	0.6	3
22	Patient and public involvement in numerical aspects of trials (PoINT): exploring patient and public partners experiences and identifying stakeholder priorities. Trials, 2021, 22, 499.	1.6	9
23	An international core outcome set for evaluating interventions to improve informed consent to clinical trials: The ELICIT Study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 14-22.	5.0	5
24	Why trials lose participants: A multitrail investigation of participants' perspectives using the theoretical domains framework. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 1-13.	5.0	16
25	Placebo comparator group selection and use in surgical trials: the ASPIRE project including expert workshop. Health Technology Assessment, 2021, 25, 1-52.	2.8	6
26	Protocol for a randomised controlled trial comparing laparoscopic cholecystectomy with observation/conservative management for preventing recurrent symptoms and complications in adults with uncomplicated symptomatic gallstones (C-Gall trial). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e039781.	1.9	15
27	Testing approaches to sharing trial results with participants: The Show RESPECT cluster randomised, factorial, mixed methods trial. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003798.	8.4	7
28	How can behavioural science help us design better trials?. Trials, 2021, 22, 882.	1.6	11
29	Bah humbug! Association between sending Christmas cards to trial participants and trial retention: randomised study within a trial conducted simultaneously across eight host trials. BMJ, The, 2021, 375, e067742.	6.0	6
30	Does reading a book in bed make a difference to sleep in comparison to not reading a book in bed? The People's Trial" an online, pragmatic, randomised trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 873.	1.6	5
31	Trial Forge Guidance 2: how to decide if a further Study Within A Trial (SWAT) is needed. Trials, 2020, 21, 33.	1.6	29
32	Dissemination of trial results to participants in phase III pragmatic clinical trials: an audit of trial investigators intentions. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035730.	1.9	10
33	An embedded mixed-methods study highlighted a lack of discussions on retention in clinical trial consultations. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 49-58.	5.0	12
34	Non-randomised evaluations of strategies to increase participant retention in randomised controlled trials: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 224.	5.3	13
35	Considerations and methods for placebo controls in surgical trials (ASPIRE guidelines). Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 828-838.	13.7	54
36	Assessing effective interventions to improve trial retention: do they contain behaviour change techniques?. Trials, 2020, 21, 213.	1.6	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	A systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to improve participant recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 86.	1.6	12
38	A systematic review of risk communication in clinical trials: How does it influence decisions to participate and what are the best methods to improve understanding in a trial context?. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0242239.	2.5	7
39	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
40	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
41	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
42	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
43	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
44	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
45	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
46	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242239.		0
47	Development and evaluation of decision aids for people considering taking part in a clinical trial: a conceptual framework. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 401.	1.6	19
48	What are the most important unanswered research questions in trial retention? A James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership: the PRioRiTy II (Prioritising Retention in Randomised Trials) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 593.	1.6	51
49	Can surgery be avoided in patients with symptomatic gallstone disease and no complications?. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 367, l5709.	2.3	3
50	Designing and using incentives to support recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a scoping review and a checklist for design. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 624.	1.6	46
51	Exploring non-retention in clinical trials: a meta-ethnographic synthesis of studies reporting participant reasons for drop out. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e021959.	1.9	48
52	Reducing research waste by promoting informed responses to invitations to participate in clinical trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 613.	1.6	23
53	Trial Forge Guidance 1: what is a Study Within A Trial (SWAT)?. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 139.	1.6	168
54	Systematic Techniques to Enhance rEtention in Randomised controlled trials: the STEER study protocol. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 197.	1.6	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	A protocol for a systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to increase participant retention to randomised controlled trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 30.	5.3	12
56	Relative importance of informational items in participant information leaflets for trials: a Q-methodology approach. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e023303.	1.9	11
57	Patient reported measures of informed consent for clinical trials: A systematic review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0199775.	2.5	14
58	Vaginal birth after caesarean section: why is uptake so low? Insights from a meta-ethnographic synthesis of women's accounts of their birth choices. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e008881.	1.9	28
59	Evaluation of interventions for informed consent for randomised controlled trials (ELICIT): protocol for a systematic review of the literature and identification of a core outcome set using a Delphi survey. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 484.	1.6	21
60	Decision aids for people considering taking part in clinical trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2015, 2015, CD009736.	2.8	45
61	Decision aids for randomised controlled trials: a qualitative exploration of stakeholders' views. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e005734-e005734.	1.9	29
62	Patient information leaflets (PILs) for UK randomised controlled trials: a feasibility study exploring whether they contain information to support decision making about trial participation. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 62.	1.6	33
63	Making a decision about trial participation: the feasibility of measuring deliberation during the informed consent process for clinical trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 307.	1.6	16
64	Determining information for inclusion in a decision-support intervention for clinical trial participation: A modified Delphi approach. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2013, 10, 967-976.	1.6	22
65	Supporting positive experiences and sustained participation in clinical trials: looking beyond information provision. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2012, 38, 751-756.	1.8	38