

Antoine Boivin

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1352954/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

40
papers

1,848
citations

430874

18
h-index

302126

39
g-index

40
all docs

40
docs citations

40
times ranked

2674
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Developing a Canadian evaluation framework for patient and public engagement in research: study protocol. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2021, 7, 10.	2.9	14
2	Assessing and promoting partnership between patients and health care professionals: Co-construction of the CADICEE tool for patients and their relatives. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2021, 24, 1230-1241.	2.6	14
3	Changing relationships: how does patient involvement transform professional identity? An ethnographic study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e045520.	1.9	7
4	Revisiting the Relationship Between Systems of Innovation and Health Systems: A Response to Recent Commentaries. <i>International Journal of Health Policy and Management</i> , 2020, 9, 45-46.	0.9	0
5	Environmentally-Induced Transgenerational Epigenetic Inheritance: Implication of PIWI Interacting RNAs. <i>Cells</i> , 2019, 8, 1108.	4.1	27
6	Information needs of francophone health care professionals and the public with regard to medical assistance in dying in Quebec: a qualitative study. <i>CMAJ Open</i> , 2019, 7, E190-E196.	2.4	6
7	The Participation of Patients and Relatives in Quebec's Health System: The Montréal Model. <i>Organizational Behaviour in Health Care</i> , 2019, , 17-61.	0.4	6
8	From Craft to Reflective Art and Science Comment on "Metrics and Evaluation Tools for Patient Engagement in Healthcare Organization- and System-Level Decision-Making: A Systematic Review". <i>International Journal of Health Policy and Management</i> , 2019, 8, 124-127.	0.9	12
9	What Health System Challenges Should Responsible Innovation in Health Address? Insights From an International Scoping Review. <i>International Journal of Health Policy and Management</i> , 2019, 8, 63-75.	0.9	51
10	Family Physicians Attaching New Patients From Centralized Waiting Lists: A Cross-Sectional Study. <i>Journal of Primary Care and Community Health</i> , 2018, 9, 215013271879594.	2.1	8
11	Primary health care organizational characteristics associated with better accessibility: data from the QUALICO-PC survey in Quebec. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2018, 19, 188.	2.9	9
12	Patient vs. Community Engagement: Emerging Issues. <i>Medical Care</i> , 2018, 56, S53-S57.	2.4	17
13	Patient and public engagement in research and health system decision making: A systematic review of evaluation tools. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2018, 21, 1075-1084.	2.6	153
14	Evaluating patient and public involvement in research. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 363, k5147.	2.3	116
15	Area deprivation and attachment to a general practitioner through centralized waiting lists: a cross-sectional study in Quebec, Canada. <i>International Journal for Equity in Health</i> , 2018, 17, 176.	3.5	14
16	Recommendations for patient engagement in patient-oriented emergency medicine research. <i>Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine</i> , 2018, 20, 435-442.	1.1	17
17	Alignment of Canadian Primary Care With the Patient Medical Home Model: A QUALICO-PC Study. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2017, 15, 230-236.	1.9	28
18	Assessing the performance of centralized waiting lists for patients without a regular family physician using clinical-administrative data. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2017, 18, 1.	2.9	58

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Medical end-of-life practices among Canadian physicians: a pilot study. <i>CMAJ Open</i> , 2016, 4, E222-E229.	2.4	6
20	International changes in end-of-life practices over time: a systematic review. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2016, 16, 539.	2.2	14
21	Do new and traditional models of primary care differ with regard to access?: Canadian QUALICOPC study. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2016, 62, 54-61.	0.4	9
22	Who gets a family physician through centralized waiting lists?. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2015, 16, 10.	2.9	17
23	An international cross-sectional survey on the Quality and Costs of Primary Care (QUALICO-PC): recruitment and data collection of places delivering primary care across Canada. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2015, 16, 20.	2.9	24
24	Comparing end-of-life practices in different policy contexts: a scoping review. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2015, 20, 115-123.	1.7	12
25	What Are the Key Ingredients for Effective Public Involvement in Health Care Improvement and Policy Decisions? A Randomized Trial Process Evaluation. <i>Milbank Quarterly</i> , 2014, 92, 319-350.	4.4	97
26	Involving patients in setting priorities for healthcare improvement: a cluster randomized trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 24.	6.9	171
27	How can clinical practice guidelines be adapted to facilitate shared decision making? A qualitative key-informant study. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2013, 22, 855-863.	3.7	76
28	Clinical practice guidelines and patient decision aids. An inevitable relationship. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2012, 65, 584-589.	5.0	92
29	Patient and Public Involvement in Clinical Practice Guidelines. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2011, 31, E45-E74.	2.4	131
30	Target for improvement: a cluster randomised trial of public involvement in quality-indicator prioritisation (intervention development and study protocol). <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2011, 6, 45.	6.9	15
31	How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2010, 5, 10.	6.9	96
32	Patients' perspectives in health technology assessment: A route to robust evidence and fair deliberation. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2010, 26, 334-340.	0.5	205
33	Patient and public involvement in clinical guidelines: international experiences and future perspectives. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2010, 19, e22-e22.	3.7	128
34	Interdisciplinary primary health care research training through TUTOR-PHC: The insiders' view. <i>Journal of Interprofessional Care</i> , 2009, 23, 414-416.	1.7	5
35	Fostering deliberations about health innovation: What do we want to know from publics?. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2009, 68, 2002-2009.	3.8	53
36	A knowledge synthesis of patient and public involvement in clinical practice guidelines: study protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2009, 4, 30.	6.9	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Why Consider Patients' Preferences?. <i>Medical Care</i> , 2009, 47, 908-915.	2.4	70
38	Decision technologies as normative instruments: Exposing the values within. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2008, 73, 426-430.	2.2	11
39	Competing Norms: Canadian Rural Family Physicians' Perceptions of Clinical Practice Guidelines and Shared Decisionmaking. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2008, 13, 79-84.	1.7	33
40	Public involvement in guideline development. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2007, 176, 1308-1309.	2.0	9