Mark T Keane

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1259646/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 752698 1,100 25 13 20 h-index citations g-index papers 25 25 25 755 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Factors affecting "expectations of the unexpectedâ€. The impact of controllability & mp; valence on unexpected outcomes. Cognition, 2022, 225, 105142.	2.2	2
2	Solving the class imbalance problem using a counterfactual method for data augmentation. Machine Learning With Applications, 2022, 9, 100375.	4.4	11
3	Do we "fear for the worst―or "Hope for the best―in thinking about the unexpected?: Factors affecting the valence of unexpected outcomes reported for everyday scenarios. Cognition, 2021, 208, 104520.	2.2	5
4	Three datasets reporting unexpected events for everyday scenarios: Over 9000 events human-labelled for overall valence/sentiment, topic category, and relationship to the initial goal of the scenario. Data in Brief, 2021, 35, 106935.	1.0	0
5	Explaining black-box classifiers using post-hoc explanations-by-example: The effect of explanations and error-rates in XAI user studies. Artificial Intelligence, 2021, 294, 103459.	5.8	93
6	If Only We Had Better Counterfactual Explanations: Five Key Deficits to Rectify in the Evaluation of Counterfactual XAI Techniques. , 2021 , , .		51
7	Explaining Deep Learning using examples: Optimal feature weighting methods for twin systems using post-hoc, explanation-by-example in XAI. Knowledge-Based Systems, 2021, 233, 107530.	7.1	19
8	Seeing Patterns in Randomness: A Computational Model of Surprise. Topics in Cognitive Science, 2019, 11, 103-118.	1.9	12
9	Editors' Introduction and Review: An Appraisal of Surprise: Tracing the Threads That Stitch It Together. Topics in Cognitive Science, 2019, 11, 37-49.	1.9	12
10	The Role of Surprise in Learning: Different Surprising Outcomes Affect Memorability Differentially. Topics in Cognitive Science, 2019, 11, 75-87.	1.9	23
11	Intuitionistic Fuzzy Logit Model of Discrete Choice. IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence, 2019, 3, 85-89.	4.9	2
12	Twin-Systems to Explain Artificial Neural Networks using Case-Based Reasoning: Comparative Tests of Feature-Weighting Methods in ANN-CBR Twins for XAI., 2019,,.		37
13	Why the Conjunction Effect Is Rarely a Fallacy: How Learning Influences Uncertainty and the Conjunction Rule. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 1011.	2.1	0
14	Attention to news and its dissemination on Twitter: A survey. Computer Science Review, 2018, 29, 74-94.	15.3	47
15	Why some surprises are more surprising than others: Surprise as a metacognitive sense of explanatory difficulty. Cognitive Psychology, 2015, 81, 74-116.	2.2	62
16	It's distributions all the way down!: Second order changes in statistical distributions also occur. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2014, 37, 87-87.	0.7	0
17	Innovation networks. Mind and Society, 2013, 12, 73-90.	1.3	29
18	A Model of Plausibility. Cognitive Science, 2006, 30, 95-120.	1.7	66

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Seeing things: Inventive reasoning with geometric analogies and topographic maps. New Generation Computing, 2006, 24, 267-288.	3.3	6
20	Mobile web surfing is the same as web surfing. Communications of the ACM, 2006, 49, 76-81.	4.5	264
21	What plausibly affects plausibility? Concept coherence and distributional word coherence as factors influencing plausibility judgments. Memory and Cognition, 2004, 32, 185-197.	1.6	29
22	Testing two theories of conceptual combination: Alignment versus diagnosticity in the comprehension and production of combined concepts Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2001, 27, 255-271.	0.9	53
23	Efficient Creativity: Constraint-Guided Conceptual Combination. Cognitive Science, 2000, 24, 299-349.	1.7	118
24	What makes an analogy difficult? The effects of order and causal structure on analogical mapping Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 1997, 23, 946-967.	0.9	26
25	Constraints on Analogical Mapping: A Comparison of Three Models. Cognitive Science, 1994, 18, 387-438.	1.7	133