

Stephen P Halloran

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1236917/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

17
papers

1,080
citations

759233

12
h-index

888059

17
g-index

17
all docs

17
docs citations

17
times ranked

1755
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Increased uptake and improved outcomes of bowel cancer screening with a faecal immunochemical test: results from a pilot study within the national screening programme in England. <i>Gut</i> , 2017, 66, 1631-1644.	12.1	163
2	Advances in Fecal Occult Blood Tests: The FIT Revolution. <i>Digestive Diseases and Sciences</i> , 2015, 60, 609-622.	2.3	155
3	Population Screening for Colorectal Cancer Means Getting FIT: The Past, Present, and Future of Colorectal Cancer Screening Using the Fecal Immunochemical Test for Hemoglobin (FIT). <i>Gut and Liver</i> , 2014, 8, 117-130.	2.9	148
4	Effects of evidence-based strategies to reduce the socioeconomic gradient of uptake in the English NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (ASCEND): four cluster-randomised controlled trials. <i>Lancet</i> , The, 2016, 387, 751-759.	13.7	120
5	Colorectal cancer screening uptake over three biennial invitation rounds in the English bowel cancer screening programme. <i>Gut</i> , 2015, 64, 282-291.	12.1	111
6	Faecal immunochemical tests versus colonoscopy for post-polypectomy surveillance: an accuracy, acceptability and economic study. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2019, 23, 1-84.	2.8	91
7	Tests and investigations for colorectal cancer screening. <i>Clinical Biochemistry</i> , 2014, 47, 921-939.	1.9	77
8	Critical research gaps and recommendations to inform research prioritisation for more effective prevention and improved outcomes in colorectal cancer. <i>Gut</i> , 2018, 67, 179-193.	12.1	73
9	Impact of general practice endorsement on the social gradient in uptake in bowel cancer screening. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2016, 114, 321-326.	6.4	35
10	Cost-effectiveness of the faecal immunochemical test at a range of positivity thresholds compared with the guaiac faecal occult blood test in the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme in England. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e017186.	1.9	31
11	Recommendations for a stepwise comparative approach to the evaluation of new screening tests for colorectal cancer. <i>Cancer</i> , 2016, 122, 826-839.	4.1	24
12	Patient attitudes towards faecal immunochemical testing for haemoglobin as an alternative to colonoscopic surveillance of groups at increased risk of colorectal cancer. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2013, 20, 149-156.	2.3	20
13	Reducing the Social Gradient in Uptake of the NHS Colorectal Cancer Screening Programme Using a Narrative-Based Information Leaflet: A Cluster-Randomised Trial. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2016, 2016, 1-10.	1.5	10
14	A national cluster-randomised controlled trial to examine the effect of enhanced reminders on the socioeconomic gradient in uptake in bowel cancer screening. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2016, 115, 1479-1486.	6.4	10
15	Using a hypothetical scenario to assess public preferences for colorectal surveillance following screening-detected, intermediate-risk adenomas: annual home-based stool test vs. triennial colonoscopy. <i>BMC Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 16, 113.	2.0	8
16	Colorectal cancer screening and the COVID-19 pandemic – Lessons learnt. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2021, 151, 106539.	3.4	3
17	Faecal immunochemical tests: when quantitation is not enough. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2019, 4, 83-84.	8.1	1