Semra Ã-zdemÄ^or

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1223812/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Systematic Review of Discrete Choice Experiments and Conjoint Analysis on Genetic Testing. Patient, 2022, 15, 39-54.	2.7	11
2	Trajectories of physical symptom burden and psychological distress during the last year of life in patients with a solid metastatic cancer. Psycho-Oncology, 2022, 31, 139-147.	2.3	9
3	Patients' Awareness of Advanced Disease Status, Psychological Distress and Quality of Life Among Patients With Advanced Cancer: Results From the APPROACH Study, India. American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine, 2022, 39, 772-778.	1.4	5
4	A Prospective Cohort Study of Stability in Preferred Place of Death Among Patients With Stage IV Cancer in Singapore. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN, 2022, 20, 20-28.	4.9	5
5	Disparities in end-of-life outcomes among advanced cancer patients in Sri Lanka: Results from the APPROACH study. Palliative and Supportive Care, 2022, 20, 832-838.	1.0	1
6	Associations Between Prognostic Awareness, Acceptance of Illness, and Psychological and Spiritual Well-being Among Patients With Heart Failure. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2022, 28, 736-743.	1.7	3
7	Perceived stigma and its correlates among Asian patients with advanced cancer: A multiâ€country APPROACH study. Psycho-Oncology, 2022, 31, 938-949.	2.3	3
8	Parturients' Stated Preferences for Labor Analgesia: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2022, Volume 16, 983-994.	1.8	0
9	Advanced Cancer Patients' Prognostic Awareness and Its Association With Anxiety, Depression and Spiritual Well-Being: A Multi-Country Study in Asia. Clinical Oncology, 2022, 34, 368-375.	1.4	14
10	A prospective cohort study assessing aggressive interventions at the end-of-life among patients with solid metastatic cancer. BMC Palliative Care, 2022, 21, 73.	1.8	6
11	Awareness and Utilization of Palliative Care Among Advanced Cancer Patients in Asia. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2022, 64, e195-e201.	1.2	7
12	Perceived quality of care and its associated factors among Chinese patients with advanced cancer: findings from the APPROACH study in Beijing. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2021, 29, 1395-1401.	2.2	2
13	Role in decision making among congestive heart failure patients and its association with patient outcomes: a baseline analysis of the SCOPAH study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 496-504.	2.2	9
14	Discordance Between Advanced Cancer Patients' Perceived and Preferred Roles in Decision Making and its Association with Psychological Distress and Perceived Quality of Care. Patient, 2021, 14, 581-589.	2.7	2
15	High anxiety and depression scores and mental health service use among South Asian advanced cancer patients: A multi-country study. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2021, 62, 997-1007.	1.2	7
16	Experiences with health care practitioners among advanced cancer patients and their family caregivers: A longitudinal dyadic study. Cancer, 2021, 127, 3002-3009.	4.1	1
17	Public Preferences for Government Response Policies on Outbreak Control. Patient, 2021, 14, 347-358.	2.7	2
18	Financial difficulties and patient-reported outcomes among patients with advanced heart failure. Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 1379-1387.	3.1	5

Semra ÖzdemÄ^or

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Patient–Caregiver Treatment Preference Discordance and Its Association With Caregiving Burden and Esteem. Innovation in Aging, 2021, 5, igab020.	0.1	3
20	Nonurgent Patients' Preferences for Emergency Department Versus General Practitioner and Effects of Incentives: A Discrete Choice Experiment. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832110275.	0.9	2
21	The prevalence of perceived stigma and self-blame and their associations with depression, emotional well-being among advanced cancer patients: evidence from the APPROACH cross-sectional study in Vietnam. BMC Palliative Care, 2021, 20, 104.	1.8	3
22	Who Would Pay Higher Taxes for Better Mental Health? Results of a Large‣ample National Choice Experiment. Milbank Quarterly, 2021, 99, 771-793.	4.4	5
23	Development and Validation of a Preference-Based Glaucoma Utility Instrument Using Discrete Choice Experiment. JAMA Ophthalmology, 2021, 139, 866.	2.5	4
24	Patient Decision Aid Development for Older Adults With End-Stage Kidney Disease in Singapore. Kidney International Reports, 2021, 6, 2885-2896.	0.8	5
25	Trajectories of Suffering in the Last Year of Life Among Patients With a Solid Metastatic Cancer. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN, 2021, 19, 1264-1271.	4.9	5
26	Awareness of and preference for disease prognosis and participation in treatment decisions among advanced cancer patients in Myanmar: Results from the APPROACH study. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 17, 149-158.	1.1	6
27	Patient-Reported Roles in Decision-Making Among Asian Patients With Advanced Cancer: A Multicountry Study. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832110613.	0.9	11
28	Financial difficulties are associated with greater total pain and suffering among patients with advanced cancer: results from the COMPASS study. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2020, 28, 3781-3789.	2.2	25
29	Family caregivers of advanced cancer patients: self-perceived competency and meaning-making. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2020, 10, 435-442.	1.6	14
30	Patient Preferences for Medications in Managing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 842-850.	0.3	11
31	The association of self-blame with treatment preferences in a multi-country cohort of advanced cancer patients from the APPROACH study. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2020, 139, 110284.	2.6	5
32	The Effect of Social Norm-based Intervention with Observable Behaviour on Physical Activity among Adolescents: A Randomized Controlled Trial. BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2020, 12, 52.	1.7	2
33	Socio-economic inequalities in suffering at the end of life among advanced cancer patients: results from the APPROACH study in five Asian countries. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2020, 19, 158.	3.5	8
34	Demand for Cancer Screening Services: Results From Randomized Controlled Discrete Choice Experiments. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 1246-1255.	0.3	8
35	Reduced health-care utilization among people with chronic medical conditions during coronavirus disease 2019. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare, 2020, , 201010582096453.	0.6	13
36	End of life experiences of patients with advanced cancer in Myanmar: Results from the APPROACH study. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 16, 333-339.	1.1	4

Semra ÖzdemÄ^or

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Patients' preferences and trade-off during labour epidural analgesia: A discrete choice experiment. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, 2020, 66, 109919.	1.6	0
38	Adoption of Preventive Behaviour Strategies and Public Perceptions About COVID-19 in Singapore. International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 2020, , .	0.9	26
39	Perspectives on decision making amongst older people with endâ€stage renal disease and caregivers in Singapore: A qualitative study. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 1100-1110.	2.6	15
40	Family dynamics in a multi-ethnic Asian society: comparison of elderly CKD patients and their family caregivers experience with medical decision making for managing end stage kidney disease. BMC Nephrology, 2019, 20, 73.	1.8	13
41	Health-related quality of life and its socio-economic and cultural predictors among advanced cancer patients: evidence from the APPROACH cross-sectional survey in Hyderabad-India. BMC Palliative Care, 2019, 18, 94.	1.8	34
42	Palliative Care Awareness Among Advanced Cancer Patients and Their Family Caregivers in Singapore. Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore, 2019, 48, 241-246.	0.4	1
43	Cognitive Bias: The Downside of Shared Decision Making. JCO Clinical Cancer Informatics, 2018, 2, 1-10.	2.1	46
44	Study protocol for a cohort study of patients with advanced heart failure in Singapore. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e022248.	1.9	8
45	Understanding factors that influence the demand for dialysis among elderly in a multi-ethnic Asian society. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 915-921.	3.0	7
46	Cost of Medical Care of Patients with Advanced Serious Illness in Singapore (COMPASS): prospective cohort study protocol. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 459.	2.6	26
47	Identifying Factors That Influence Physicians' Recommendations for Dialysis and Conservative Management in Indonesia. Kidney International Reports, 2017, 2, 212-218.	0.8	2
48	Stated Uptake of Physical Activity Rewards Programmes Among Active and Insufficiently Active Full-Time Employees. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2017, 15, 647-656.	2.1	4
49	A comparison of attitudes toward length and quality of life between communityâ€dwelling older adults and patients with advanced cancer. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1611-1617.	2.3	11
50	Predicted patient demand for a new delivery system for glaucoma medicine. Medicine (United States), 2017, 96, e6626.	1.0	13
51	Giving Patients a Meaningful Voice in United States Regulatory Decision Making: The Role for Health Preference Research. Patient, 2017, 10, 523-526.	2.7	20
52	TRADEâ€OFFS BETWEEN CIVIL LIBERTIES AND NATIONAL SECURITY: A DISCRETE CHOICE EXPERIMENT. Contemporary Economic Policy, 2017, 35, 292-311.	1.7	4
53	Parents' views on their children's use of eye drops and willingness to accept a new sustained-release subconjunctival injection. Clinical Ophthalmology, 2017, Volume 11, 1903-1909.	1.8	2
54	Process, Ideology, and Willingness to Pay for Reducing Childhood Poverty. Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis, 2016, 7, 373-399.	1.2	0

Semra Özdemİr

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	P165 Preferences for Treatment of End-Stage Renal Disease Among Elderly Patients and Caregivers in a Multi-Ethnic Population in Singapore. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2016, 52, e110-e111.	1.2	1
56	Measuring High-Risk Patients' Preferences for Pharmacogenetic Testing to Reduce Severe Adverse Drug Reaction: A Discrete Choice Experiment. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 767-775.	0.3	24
57	Ideology, public goods and welfare valuation: An experiment on allocating government budgets. Journal of Choice Modelling, 2016, 20, 61-72.	2.3	8
58	Impact of Treatment Subsidies and Cash Payouts on Treatment Choices at the End of Life. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 788-794.	0.3	15
59	Improving the Validity of Stated-Preference Data in Health Research: The Potential of the Time-to-Think Approach. Patient, 2015, 8, 247-255.	2.7	10
60	Estimating willingness to pay: do health and environmental researchers have different methodological standards?. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 2215-2229.	2.2	5
61	The Long-Term Dynamics of Mortality Benefits from Improved Water and Sanitation in Less Developed Countries. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e74804.	2.5	37
62	How does cost matter in health-care discrete-choice experiments?. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgB	T /Overloc	k 10 Tf 50 46
63	Who pays attention in stated hoice surveys?. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2010, 19, 111-118.	1.7	22
64	Effects of simplifying choice tasks on estimates of taste heterogeneity in stated-choice surveys. Social Science and Medicine, 2010, 70, 183-190.	3.8	16
65	Quantifying Women's Stated Benefit–Risk Trade-Off Preferences for IBS Treatment Outcomes. Value in Health, 2010, 13, 418-423.	0.3	33
66	Are Gastroenterologists Less Tolerant of Treatment Risks than Patients? Benefit-Risk Preferences in Crohn's Disease Management. Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy, 2010, 16, 616-628.	2.2	61
67	Patients' Benefit-Risk Preferences for Chronic Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura Therapies. Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2010, 44, 479-488.	1.9	17
68	How do physician assessments of patient preferences for colorectal cancer screening tests differ from actual preferences? A comparison in Canada and the United States using a stated hoice survey. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2009, 18, 1420-1439.	1.7	74
69	Multiple sclerosis patients—benefit-risk preferences: Serious adverse event risks versus treatment efficacy. Journal of Neurology, 2009, 256, 554-562.	3.6	117
70	Convergent Validity of Attribute-Based, Choice Questions in Stated-Preference Studies. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2009, 42, 247-264.	3.2	29
71	Are Adult Patients More Tolerant of Treatment Risks Than Parents of Juvenile Patients?. Risk Analysis, 2009, 29, 121-136.	2.7	38
72	Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Healthy-Year Equivalents for Acute Conditions: An Application to Vasomotor Symptoms. Value in Health, 2009, 12, 146-152.	0.3	23

Semra ÖzdemÄ^or

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Hypothetical bias, cheap talk, and stated willingness to pay for health care. Journal of Health Economics, 2009, 28, 894-901.	2.7	88
74	Women's Willingness to Accept Perceived Risks for Vasomotor Symptom Relief. Journal of Women's Health, 2007, 16, 1028-1040.	3.3	23
75	Factors That Affect Adherence to Bipolar Disorder Treatments. Medical Care, 2007, 45, 545-552.	2.4	124
76	Crohn's Disease Patients' Risk-Benefit Preferences: Serious Adverse Event Risks Versus Treatment Efficacy. Gastroenterology, 2007, 133, 769-779.	1.3	167
77	Patient Medication Preferences for Managing Dry Eye Disease: The Importance of Medication Side Effects. Patient, 0, , .	2.7	1