
Viswanath Devanarayan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/12093592/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC)-II study of common practices for the development and validation of microarray-based predictive models. Nature Biotechnology, 2010, 28, 827-838.	17.5	795
2	Fit-for-Purpose Method Development and Validation for Successful Biomarker Measurement. Pharmaceutical Research, 2006, 23, 312-328.	3.5	684
3	Recommendations for the design and optimization of immunoassays used in the detection of host antibodies against biotechnology products. Journal of Immunological Methods, 2004, 289, 1-16.	1.4	576
4	Recommendations for the validation of immunoassays used for detection of host antibodies against biotechnology products. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 2008, 48, 1267-1281.	2.8	519
5	The concordance between RNA-seq and microarray data depends on chemical treatment and transcript abundance. Nature Biotechnology, 2014, 32, 926-932.	17.5	420
6	Recommendations on risk-based strategies for detection and characterization of antibodies against biotechnology products. Journal of Immunological Methods, 2008, 333, 1-9.	1.4	326
7	Comparison of RNA-seq and microarray-based models for clinical endpoint prediction. Genome Biology, 2015, 16, 133.	8.8	325
8	Confirmatory reanalysis of incurred bioanalytical samples. AAPS Journal, 2007, 9, E336-E343.	4.4	133
9	Recommendations for the validation of cell-based assays used for the detection of neutralizing antibody immune responses elicited against biological therapeutics. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 2011, 55, 878-888.	2.8	119
10	Development, validation, and implementation of a multiplex immunoassay for the simultaneous determination of five cytokines in human serum. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 2005, 36, 1037-1044.	2.8	118
11	Recommendations for Use and Fit-for-Purpose Validation of Biomarker Multiplex Ligand Binding Assays in Drug Development. AAPS Journal, 2016, 18, 1-14.	4.4	71
12	Big data to smart data in Alzheimer's disease: The brain health modeling initiative to foster actionable knowledge. Alzheimer's and Dementia, 2016, 12, 1014-1021.	0.8	65
13	2015 White Paper on recent issues in bioanalysis: focus on new technologies and biomarkers (Part 3 –) Tj ETC	2q1_1_0.78 1.5	4314 rgBT /0
14	Recommendations for Systematic Statistical Computation of Immunogenicity Cut Points. AAPS Journal, 2017, 19, 1487-1498.	4.4	62
15	Derivation of a New ADAS-cog Composite Using Tree-based Multivariate Analysis. Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 2011, 25, 73-84.	1.3	57
16	Evaluation of Plasma Proteomic Data for Alzheimer Disease State Classification and for the Prediction of Progression From Mild Cognitive Impairment to Alzheimer Disease. Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 2013, 27, 233-243.	1.3	53
17	Randomized Phase II Study of Carboplatin and Paclitaxel With Either Linifanib or Placebo for Advanced Nonsquamous Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 433-441.	1.6	45
18	Patient subgroup identification for clinical drug development. Statistics in Medicine, 2017, 36, 1414-1428.	1.6	42

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A PRIM approach to predictiveâ€signature development for patient stratification. Statistics in Medicine, 2015, 34, 317-342.	1.6	40
20	A multivariate predictive modeling approach reveals a novel CSF peptide signature for both Alzheimer's Disease state classification and for predicting future disease progression. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0182098.	2.5	40
21	Recommendations for adaptation and validation of commercial kits for biomarker quantification in drug development. Bioanalysis, 2015, 7, 229-242.	1.5	39
22	Cerebrospinal Fluid Cytokine Dynamics Differ Between Alzheimer Disease Patients and Elderly Controls. Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 2012, 26, 322-328.	1.3	38
23	Screening for New Biomarkers for Subcortical Vascular Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra, 2011, 1, 31-42.	1.3	35
24	Serum Phosphatidylethanolamine and Lysophosphatidylethanolamine Levels Differentiate Alzheimer's Disease from Controls and Predict Progression from Mild Cognitive Impairment. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 2021, 80, 311-319.	2.6	27
25	VGF in Cerebrospinal Fluid Combined With Conventional Biomarkers Enhances Prediction of Conversion From MCI to AD. Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 2019, 33, 307-314.	1.3	24
26	Optimization of analytical and pre-analytical variables associated with an ex vivo cytokine secretion assay. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 2006, 41, 189-195.	2.8	17
27	Recommendations for the Development and Validation of Immunogenicity Assays in Support of Biosimilar Programs. AAPS Journal, 2020, 22, 7.	4.4	17
28	Report on the AAPS Immunogenicity Guidance Forum. AAPS Journal, 2019, 21, 55.	4.4	14
29	Hearing Loss in Alzheimer's Disease Is Associated with Altered Serum Lipidomic Biomarker Profiles. Cells, 2020, 9, 2556.	4.1	14
30	Plasma biomarker signature associated with improved survival in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients on linifanib. Lung Cancer, 2015, 90, 296-301.	2.0	12
31	Identification of a Simple and Novel Cut-Point Based Cerebrospinal Fluid and MRI Signature for Predicting Alzheimer's Disease Progression that Reinforces the 2018 NIA-AA Research Framework. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 2019, 68, 537-550.	2.6	11
32	Comparison of Titer and Signal to Noise (S/N) for Determination of Anti-drug Antibody Magnitude Using Clinical Data from an Industry Consortium. AAPS Journal, 2022, 24, .	4.4	5
33	Are Lessons Learned in Setting Cut Points for Detection of Anti-Drug Antibodies Also Useful in Serology Assays for Robust Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Reactive Antibodies?. AAPS Journal, 2020, 22, 127.	4.4	3
34	Best practices for the development and fit-for-purpose validation of biomarker methods: a conference report. AAPS Open, 2022, 8, .	1.3	0