J Rod Gimbel

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/12029713/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

31	2,565	17 h-index	28
papers	citations		g-index
32	32	32	2748
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	ACR Guidance Document for Safe MR Practices: 2007. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2007, 188, 1447-1474.	2.2	699
2	ACR guidance document on MR safe practices: 2013. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 37, 501-530.	3.4	582
3	2017 HRS expert consensus statement on magnetic resonance imaging and radiation exposure in patients with cardiovascular implantable electronic devices. Heart Rhythm, 2017, 14, e97-e153.	0.7	308
4	Safe Performance of Magnetic Resonance Imaging on Five Patients with Permanent Cardiac Pacemakers. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 1996, 19, 913-919.	1.2	141
5	Randomized trial of pacemaker and lead system for safe scanning at 1.5 Tesla. Heart Rhythm, 2013, 10, 685-691.	0.7	114
6	Can patients with implantable pacemakers safely undergo magnetic resonance imaging?**Editorials published in the Journal of the American College of Cardiologyreflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of JACCor the American College of Cardiology Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2004, 43, 1325-1327.	2.8	94
7	Outcome of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Selected Patients with Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators (ICDs). PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2005, 28, 270-273.	1.2	92
8	Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Implantable Cardiac Rhythm Devices at 3.0 Tesla. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2008, 31, 795-801.	1.2	85
9	Unexpected asystole during 3T magnetic resonance imaging of a pacemaker-dependent patient with a 'modern' pacemaker. Europace, 2009, 11, 1241-1242.	1.7	70
10	Longâ€Term Outcome of Left Atrial Voltageâ€Guided Substrate Ablation During Atrial Fibrillation: A Novel Adjunctive Ablation Strategy. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2017, 28, 147-155.	1.7	68
11	Strategies for the Safe Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Pacemaker-Dependent Patients. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2005, 28, 1041-1046.	1.2	65
12	Correlation of Left Atrial Voltage Distribution Between Sinus Rhythm and Atrial Fibrillation: Identifying Structural Remodeling by 3â€D Electroanatomic Mapping Irrespective of the Rhythm. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2016, 27, 905-912.	1.7	46
13	Safe Scanning, but Frequent Artifacts Mimicking Bradycardia and Tachycardia During Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Patients with an Implantable Loop Recorder (ILR). Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology, 2005, 10, 404-408.	1.1	41
14	Identification and electrophysiological characterization of early left atrial structural remodeling as a predictor for atrial fibrillation recurrence after pulmonary vein isolation. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2017, 28, 642-650.	1.7	32
15	The safety of MRI scanning of pacemakers and ICDs: what are the critical elements of safe scanning? Ask me again at 10 000 Europace, 2010, 12, 915-917.	1.7	23
16	Standardized MR Terminology and Reporting of Implants and Devices as Recommended by the American College of Radiology Subcommittee on MR Safety. Radiology, 2015, 274, 866-870.	7.3	19
17	Safe, sensible, sagacious: responsible scanning of pacemaker patients. European Heart Journal, 2005, 26, 1683-1684.	2.2	17
18	Unexpected pacing inhibition upon exposure to the 3T static magnetic field prior to imaging acquisition: What is the mechanism?. Heart Rhythm, 2011, 8, 944-945.	0.7	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Physical activity is reduced prior to ventricular arrhythmias in patients with a wearable cardioverter defibrillator. Clinical Cardiology, 2020, 43, 60-65.	1.8	10
20	Characterization of cardiac acoustic biomarkers in patients with heart failure. Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology, 2020, 25, e12717.	1.1	8
21	The AHA Scientific Statement of MRI in Patients with Devices: Neat, but Incomplete. Unwise and Unsupported. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2008, 31, 649-651.	1.2	7
22	MRI Conditional Devices, Safety, and Access: Choose Wisely and When You Come to the Fork in the Road, Take It. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2015, 38, 1373-1376.	1.2	7
23	Does Anyone Really Believe the Results of the DANISH Trial?â€"Implanting an ICD in Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy Patients. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2017, 40, 459-462.	1.2	5
24	Myths, Magical Thinking, and MRI. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2009, 32, 1245-1246.	1.2	4
25	Guidelines and the growing service burden. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2010, 28, 83-85.	1.3	4
26	A Shocking End to the Defibrillator as We Know It: Unmet Needs and the Case for a Standâ€Alone Device that Uses Pacing Only to Treat the Risk of Lifeâ€Threatening Arrhythmias. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2015, 38, 655-658.	1.2	4
27	Rate-Dependent Exit Conduction Block From Pulmonary Vein to Left Atrium After Entrance Block. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2016, 9, .	4.8	4
28	Off‣abel MRI in 2017 of CIEDs: A Daily "Forkâ€inâ€the Road―for Implanters and the Case for Common Ser PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2017, 40, 463-466.	nse. 1,2	1
29	Response:. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2010, 33, 380-381.	1.2	O
30	The need for MR conditional devices: a sand castle at the seashore?. Heart Rhythm, 2017, 14, 1145-1146.	0.7	0
31	Conversion, Compromise, and Conversation—Moving to a Sensible Middle When Addressing Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator Therapy. JAMA Cardiology, 2019, 4, 1049.	6.1	0