Ted A James, Facs

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1181591/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

759233 642732 48 612 12 23 citations h-index g-index papers 49 49 49 856 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Lymphedema Incidence After Axillary Lymph Node Dissection. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2019, 82, S234-S241.	0.9	103
2	Oncotype DX® Recurrence Score as a Predictor of Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 366-371.	1.5	76
3	A Predictive Model for Axillary Node Pathologic Complete Response after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 1304-1311.	1.5	51
4	Evaluating the Impact of Immediate Lymphatic Reconstruction for the Surgical Prevention of Lymphedema. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2021, 147, 373e-381e.	1.4	48
5	Comparison of breastâ€conserving therapy vs mastectomy in women under age 40: National trends and potential survival implications. Breast Journal, 2019, 25, 578-584.	1.0	33
6	Developing a Lymphatic Surgery Program: A First-Year Review. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2019, 144, 975e-985e.	1.4	26
7	Surgical Risk Factors for the Delayed Initiation of Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 1904-1911.	1.5	25
8	Collagen Organization in Relation to Ductal Carcinoma <i>In Situ</i> Pathology and Outcomes. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, 30, 80-88.	2.5	21
9	The All but Forgotten Mascagni–Sappey Pathway: Learning from Immediate Lymphatic Reconstruction. Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery, 2020, 36, 028-031.	1.8	19
10	Characterizing Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Invasive Lobular Breast Carcinoma. Journal of Surgical Research, 2019, 233, 436-443.	1.6	18
11	Association between socioeconomic factors and outcomes in breast cancer. Breast Journal, 2019, 25, 488-492.	1.0	17
12	Assessing Burnout and Professional Fulfillment in Breast Surgery: Results From a National Survey of the American Society of Breast Surgeons. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 3089-3098.	1.5	16
13	Role of Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy in Microinvasive Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 4468-4473.	1.5	13
14	The Role of Oncotype DX® Recurrence Score in Predicting Axillary Response After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 1320-1325.	1.5	13
15	Utilization of tumor genomics in clinical practice: an international survey among ASCO members. Future Oncology, 2019, 15, 2463-2470.	2.4	12
16	Time-varying risks of second events following a DCIS diagnosis in the population-based Vermont DCIS cohort. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 174, 227-235.	2.5	12
17	Standardized activities for lay patient navigators in breast cancer care: Recommendations from a citywide implementation study. Cancer, 2019, 125, 4532-4540.	4.1	11
18	Evaluating the role of sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with DCIS treated with breast conserving surgery. American Journal of Surgery, 2020, 220, 654-659.	1.8	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Home Recovery After Mastectomy: Review of Literature and Strategies for Implementation American Society of Breast Surgeons Working Group. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , .	1.5	9
20	The impact of COVID-19 on breast cancer stage at diagnosis Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 528-528.	1.6	8
21	The Impact of Facility Volume on Rates of Pathologic Complete Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Used in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 3157-3166.	1.5	7
22	Burnout and Professional Fulfillment in Early and Early-Mid-Career Breast Surgeons. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 6051-6057.	1.5	7
23	The Future of Quality Improvement in Breast Cancer: Patient-Reported Outcomes. American Journal of Medical Quality, 2017, 32, 469-471.	0.5	6
24	Analysis of active surveillance as a treatment modality in ductal carcinoma in situ. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 1221-1226.	1.0	6
25	Evaluation of online Spanish and English health materials for preventive mastectomy. are we providing adequate information?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 187, 1-9.	2.5	6
26	Oncology team perspectives on distress screening: a multisite study of a well-established use of patient-reported outcomes for clinical assessment. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2022, 30, 1261-1271.	2.2	5
27	Comparative effectiveness of incorporating a hypothetical DCIS prognostic marker into breast cancer screening. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 168, 229-239.	2.5	4
28	Breast cancer and the black swan. Ecancermedicalscience, 2020, 14, 1050.	1.1	4
29	Utilizing a lower extremity vein graft for immediate lymphatic reconstruction. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2022, 75, 2831-2870.	1.0	4
30	Unplanned readmissions following breast cancer surgery. American Journal of Surgery, 2019, 218, 988-992.	1.8	3
31	Impact of geographic distribution of accredited breast centers. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 2194-2198.	1.0	3
32	Axillary lymph node dissection in the era of immediate lymphatic reconstruction: Considerations for the breast surgeon. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 123, 842-845.	1.7	3
33	Malpractice Cases in Breast Surgery: An Assessment of Litigation Involving Surgeons. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8109-8115.	1.5	3
34	ASO Author Reflections: Addressing Surgery-Specific Risk Factors Influencing Time to Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 642-643.	1.5	2
35	ASO Author Reflections: Improving Patient Selection for Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 640-641.	1.5	1
36	ASO Author Reflections: A Closer Look at Burnout and Professional Fulfillment in Breast Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 717-718.	1.5	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Delayed adjuvant hormonal therapy and its impact on mortality in women with breast cancer. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 952-959.	1.0	1
38	Implementing radar reflectorâ€guided localization of nonpalpable breast lesions: Feasibility, challenges, outcomes, and lessons learned. Breast Journal, 2021, 27, 608-611.	1.0	1
39	Breast Cancer and the Male-Female Divide: It's Even More Complicated. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2022, 22, e157.	2.4	1
40	ASO Author Reflections: Using Tumor Genomics to Predict Axillary Response to Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 1326-1327.	1.5	1
41	Identifying Strategies for Robust Survivorship Program Implementation: A Qualitative Analysis of Cancer Programs. JCO Oncology Practice, 2022, 18, e304-e312.	2.9	1
42	An electronic monitored anesthesia care (MAC) decision aid for breast conserving surgery. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, 2022, 78, 110648.	1.6	1
43	ASO Author Reflections: Role of Genomic Assay to Predict Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Response in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 573-574.	1.5	O
44	ASO Author Reflections: Limiting Axillary Surgery for Microinvasive Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 4474-4474.	1.5	0
45	Pausing for the pandemic? The impact of deferring breast cancer surgery. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 2437-2438.	1.0	O
46	ASO Author Reflections: National Analysis ofÂBreast Surgery Malpractice Cases: A Teachable Moment?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8116-8117.	1.5	0
47	Performance on cancer quality measures: Ethnicity, insurance, and census division disparities Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 34-34.	1.6	0
48	Abstract P3-04-04: Multi-institutional perspective on screening mammography and breast cancer stage at diagnosis during the COVID-19 pandemic. Cancer Research, 2022, 82, P3-04-04-P3-04-04.	0.9	0