

# Douglas G Altman

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11727316/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

42  
papers

165,103  
citations

87401

40  
h-index

286692

43  
g-index

45  
all docs

45  
docs citations

45  
times ranked

177143  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	AKI and Long-Term Risk for Cardiovascular Events and Mortality. <i>Journal of the American Society of Nephrology</i> : JASN, 2017, 28, 377-387.	3.0	270
2	CONSORT extension for reporting N-of-1 trials (CENT) 2015: explanation and elaboration. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 76, 18-46.	2.4	72
3	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. <i>Radiology</i> , 2015, 277, 826-832.	3.6	474
4	Transparent Reporting of a Multivariable Prediction Model for Individual Prognosis Or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 112-121.	2.4	283
5	Transparent Reporting of a Multivariable Prediction Model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD). <i>Circulation</i> , 2015, 131, 211-219.	1.6	432
6	Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD Statement. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2015, 13, 1.	2.3	1,273
7	Transparent Reporting of a Multivariable Prediction Model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): The TRIPOD Statement. <i>European Urology</i> , 2015, 67, 1142-1151.	0.9	299
8	Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (<scp>TRIPOD</scp>): the <scp>TRIPOD S</scp>tatement. <i>European Journal of Clinical Investigation</i> , 2015, 45, 204-214.	1.7	38
9	The Quality of Reporting Methods and Results in Network Meta-Analyses: An Overview of Reviews and Suggestions for Improvement. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e92508.	1.1	82
10	The evolution of assessing bias in Cochrane systematic reviews of interventions: celebrating methodological contributions of the Cochrane Collaboration. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2013, 2, 79.	2.5	50
11	SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2013, 346, e7586-e7586.	3.0	3,435
12	Forest plots in reports of systematic reviews: a cross-sectional study reviewing current practice. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2010, 39, 421-429.	0.9	67
13	Guidance for Developers of Health Research Reporting Guidelines. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2010, 7, e1000217.	3.9	905
14	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2009, 6, e1000097.	3.9	50,671
15	The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, e1-e34.	2.4	8,425
16	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2009, 151, 264.	2.0	20,458
17	Helping editors, peer reviewers and authors improve the clarity, completeness and transparency of reporting health research. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2008, 6, 13.	2.3	130
18	CONSORT for reporting randomised trials in journal and conference abstracts. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2008, 371, 281-283.	6.3	432

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	EQUATOR: reporting guidelines for health research. <i>Lancet</i> , The, 2008, 371, 1149-1150.	6.3	194
20	Reporting Guidelines. <i>Chest</i> , 2008, 134, 682-684.	0.4	3
21	CONSORT for Reporting Randomized Controlled Trials in Journal and Conference Abstracts: Explanation and Elaboration. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2008, 5, e20.	3.9	504
22	Guidelines for Reporting Health Research: The EQUATOR Network's Survey of Guideline Authors. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2008, 5, e139.	3.9	178
23	Systematic Review of the Empirical Evidence of Study Publication Bias and Outcome Reporting Bias. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2008, 3, e3081.	1.1	1,142
24	Epidemiology and Reporting Characteristics of Systematic Reviews. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2007, 4, e78.	3.9	691
25	The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies*. <i>Bulletin of the World Health Organization</i> , 2007, 85, 867-872.	1.5	1,159
26	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): Explanation and Elaboration. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2007, 4, e297.	3.9	3,710
27	Ghost Authorship in Industry-Initiated Randomised Trials. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2007, 4, e19.	3.9	220
28	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): Explanation and Elaboration. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2007, 147, W.	2.0	1,339
29	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE). <i>Epidemiology</i> , 2007, 18, 805-835.	1.2	1,717
30	Does the CONSORT checklist improve the quality of reports of randomised controlled trials? A systematic review. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2006, 185, 263-267.	0.8	725
31	Reporting of Noninferiority and Equivalence Randomized Trials. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2006, 295, 1152.	3.8	1,027
32	Identifying outcome reporting bias in randomised trials on PubMed: review of publications and survey of authors. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2005, 330, 753.	2.4	489
33	Opportunities and challenges for improving the quality of reporting clinical research: CONSORT and beyond. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2004, 171, 349-350.	0.9	64
34	Empirical Evidence for Selective Reporting of Outcomes in Randomized Trials. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2004, 291, 2457.	3.8	1,509
35	CONSORT statement: extension to cluster randomised trials. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2004, 328, 702-708.	2.4	2,142
36	Outcome reporting bias in randomized trials funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2004, 171, 735-740.	0.9	380

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Better Reporting of Harms in Randomized Trials: An Extension of the CONSORT Statement. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2004, 141, 781.	2.0	1,225
38	Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2003, 327, 557-560.	2.4	47,117
39	Meta-analyses involving cross-over trials: methodological issues. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2002, 31, 140-149.	0.9	1,999
40	Systematic reviews in health care: Assessing the quality of controlled clinical trials. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2001, 323, 42-46.	2.4	2,779
41	The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2001, 357, 1191-1194.	6.3	3,783
42	The Revised CONSORT Statement for Reporting Randomized Trials: Explanation and Elaboration. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2001, 134, 663.	2.0	3,157