

Scott D Gronlund

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11610028/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

52
papers

2,139
citations

361413

20
h-index

233421

45
g-index

53
all docs

53
docs citations

53
times ranked

1156
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	High eyewitness confidence is always compelling: that's a problem. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2023, 29, 120-141.	1.0	2
2	Assessing Theoretical Conclusions With Blinded Inference to Investigate a Potential Inference Crisis. <i>Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science</i> , 2019, 2, 335-349.	9.4	20
3	Task effects determine whether recognition memory is mediated discretely or continuously. <i>Memory and Cognition</i> , 2019, 47, 683-695.	1.6	7
4	The new science of eyewitness memory. <i>Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory</i> , 2018, 69, 241-284.	1.1	5
5	Stimulus effects and the mediation of recognition memory.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition</i> , 2018, 44, 1814-1823.	0.9	2
6	The Effect of Post-ID Feedback on Retrospective Self-Reports in Showups. <i>Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology</i> , 2017, 32, 369-377.	1.9	2
7	Lineup Fairness Affects Postdictor Validity and "Don't Know" Responses. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2017, 31, 59-68.	1.6	11
8	ROC analysis in theory and practice.. <i>Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition</i> , 2017, 6, 343-351.	1.1	20
9	Distilling the Confidence-Accuracy Message: A Comment on Wixted and Wells (2017). <i>Psychological Science in the Public Interest: A Journal of the American Psychological Society</i> , 2017, 18, 6-9.	10.7	12
10	ROC s in Eyewitness Identification: Instructions versus Confidence Ratings. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2017, 31, 467-477.	1.6	17
11	Eyewitness Identification and Accuracy, 2017, , 529-552.		5
12	The impact of fillers on lineup performance. <i>Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications</i> , 2017, 2, 48.	2.0	5
13	Relative judgment theory and the mediation of facial recognition: Implications for theories of eyewitness identification. <i>Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications</i> , 2016, 1, 11.	2.0	2
14	A Comprehensive Evaluation of Showups. <i>Advances in Psychology and Law</i> , 2016, , 43-69.	0.3	24
15	Initial eyewitness confidence reliably predicts eyewitness identification accuracy.. <i>American Psychologist</i> , 2015, 70, 515-526.	4.2	110
16	Eyewitness Identification and the Accuracy of the Criminal Justice System. <i>Policy Insights From the Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2015, 2, 175-186.	2.4	14
17	Age differences (or lack thereof) in discriminability for lineups and showups. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2015, 21, 871-889.	1.0	17
18	Do the clothes make the criminal? The influence of clothing match on identification accuracy in showups.. <i>Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition</i> , 2015, 4, 36-42.	1.1	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Effect of retention interval on showup and lineup performance.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2015, 4, 8-14.	1.1	54
20	Investigating mug shot commitment. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2015, 21, 219-233.	1.0	2
21	Conducting an Eyewitness Lineup: How the Research Got It Wrong. Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory, 2015, , 1-43.	1.1	20
22	Policy Regarding the Sequential Lineup Is Not Informed by Probative Value but Is Informed by Receiver Operating Characteristic Analysis. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2014, 23, 17-18.	5.3	5
23	Evaluating Eyewitness Identification Procedures Using Receiver Operating Characteristic Analysis. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2014, 23, 3-10.	5.3	99
24	Evolution of the empirical and theoretical foundations of eyewitness identification reform. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2014, 21, 251-267.	2.8	24
25	On the power of secondary confession evidence. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2014, 20, 339-357.	1.0	27
26	Revisiting absolute and relative judgments in the WITNESS model. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2014, 21, 479-487.	2.8	6
27	Individual differences predict eyewitness identification performance. Personality and Individual Differences, 2014, 60, 36-40.	2.9	36
28	Eyewitness identification discriminability: ROC analysis versus logistic regression.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2014, 3, 54-57.	1.1	16
29	Showups.. , 2013, , 45-63.		2
30	Presentation methods.. , 2013, , 113-138.		5
31	Showups versus lineups: An evaluation using ROC analysis.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2012, 1, 221-228.	1.1	82
32	Processing Differences between Feature-Based Facial Composites and Photos of Real Faces. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2012, 26, 525-540.	1.6	16
33	Searching for the sequential line-up advantage: A distinctiveness explanation. Memory, 2011, 19, 916-929.	1.7	13
34	Exploring the sequential lineup advantage using WITNESS.. Law and Human Behavior, 2010, 34, 445-459.	0.7	22
35	Effects of mugshot commitment on lineup performance in young and older adults. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2009, 23, 788-803.	1.6	25
36	Robustness of the sequential lineup advantage.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2009, 15, 140-152.	1.2	85

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Lineup composition, suspect position, and the sequential lineup advantage.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2008, 14, 118-128.	1.2	77
38	Top-down guidance in visual search for facial expressions. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2007, 14, 159-165.	2.8	59
39	Aging and visual search: Automatic and controlled attentional bias to threat faces. Acta Psychologica, 2006, 123, 312-336.	1.5	135
40	Sequential lineup advantage: contributions of distinctiveness and recollection. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2005, 19, 23-37.	1.6	21
41	Planning in Air Traffic Control: Impact of Problem Type. The International Journal of Aviation Psychology, 2005, 15, 269-293.	0.7	13
42	Sequential Lineups: Shift in Criterion or Decision Strategy?. Journal of Applied Psychology, 2004, 89, 362-368.	5.3	23
43	Memory as a Fundamental Heuristic for Decision Making. , 2003, , 125-164.		24
44	Aiding Planning in Air Traffic Control: An Experimental Investigation of the Effects of Perceptual Information Integration. Human Factors, 2002, 44, 404-412.	3.5	8
45	An Information Organization Tool for Planning in Air Traffic Control. The International Journal of Aviation Psychology, 2002, 12, 377-390.	0.7	2
46	A tandem random walk model of the SAT paradigm: Response times and accumulation of evidence. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2002, 55, 263-288.	1.4	5
47	Workload and Performance in FOPA: A Strategic Planning Interface for Air Traffic Control. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2000, 44, 65-68.	0.3	5
48	Global matching models of recognition memory: How the models match the data. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 1996, 3, 37-60.	2.8	468
49	List-length effect: Recognition accuracy and variance of underlying distributions.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 1994, 20, 1355-1369.	0.9	62
50	Testing global memory models using ROC curves.. Psychological Review, 1992, 99, 518-535.	3.8	394
51	Analysis of the Hockley and Murdock decision model. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 1991, 35, 319-344.	1.8	14
52	Implementation of global memory models with software that does symbolic computation. Behavior Research Methods, 1990, 22, 228-235.	1.3	4