

# Deanna Alexis Carere

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11349533/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

20  
papers

890  
citations

471509

17  
h-index

794594

19  
g-index

20  
all docs

20  
docs citations

20  
times ranked

1467  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Analysis of Sequence and Copy Number Variants in Canadian Patient Cohort With Familial Cancer Syndromes Using a Unique Next Generation Sequencing Based Approach. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 2021, 12, 698595.	2.3	2
2	Genome-wide DNA methylation and RNA analyses enable reclassification of two variants of uncertain significance in a patient with clinical Kabuki syndrome. <i>Human Mutation</i> , 2019, 40, 1684-1689.	2.5	27
3	Diagnostic Utility of Genome-wide DNA Methylation Testing in Genetically Unsolved Individuals with Suspected Hereditary Conditions. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 104, 685-700.	6.2	125
4	BAFopathies™ DNA methylation epi-signatures demonstrate diagnostic utility and functional continuum of Coffin-Siris and Nicolaides-Baraitser syndromes. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2018, 9, 4885.	12.8	83
5	Epigenomic Mechanisms of Human Developmental Disorders. , 2018, , 837-859.		4
6	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: User Motivations, Decision Making, and Perceived Utility of Results. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2017, 20, 36-45.	1.0	111
7	Utilization of Genetic Counseling after Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Findings from the Impact of Personal Genomics (PGen) Study. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2017, 26, 1270-1279.	1.6	36
8	Consumer Perspectives on Access to Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Role of Demographic Factors and the Testing Experience. <i>Milbank Quarterly</i> , 2017, 95, 291-318.	4.4	22
9	Personal Genomic Testing for Cancer Risk: Results From the Impact of Personal Genomics Study. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2017, 35, 636-644.	1.6	34
10	Racial minority group interest in direct-to-consumer genetic testing: findings from the PGen study. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2017, 8, 293-301.	1.2	22
11	Diet and exercise changes following direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , 2017, 10, 24.	1.5	25
12	Prescription medication changes following direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing: findings from the Impact of Personal Genomics (PGen) Study. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2017, 19, 537-545.	2.4	39
13	Consumer Perceptions of Interactions With Primary Care Providers After Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genomic Testing. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 164, 513.	3.9	80
14	Implications of Personal Genomic Testing for Health Behaviors: The Case of Smoking. <i>Nicotine and Tobacco Research</i> , 2016, 18, 2273-2277.	2.6	15
15	Adopting genetics: motivations and outcomes of personal genomic testing in adult adoptees. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2016, 18, 924-932.	2.4	39
16	Consumers report lower confidence in their genetics knowledge following direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2016, 18, 65-72.	2.4	71
17	Explaining, not just predicting, drives interest in personal genomics. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2015, 7, 74.	8.2	28
18	The impact of direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing on perceived risk of breast, prostate, colorectal, and lung cancer: findings from the PGen study. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , 2015, 8, 63.	1.5	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	How Well Do Customers of Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genomic Testing Services Comprehend Genetic Test Results? Findings from the Impact of Personal Genomics Study. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2015, 18, 216-224.	1.0	73
20	Design, methods, and participant characteristics of the Impact of Personal Genomics (PGen) Study, a prospective cohort study of direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing customers. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2014, 6, 96.	8.2	37