## Deanna Alexis Carere

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11349533/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

20 papers 890 citations

471509 17 h-index 19 g-index

20 all docs

20 docs citations

times ranked

20

1467 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Diagnostic Utility of Genome-wide DNA Methylation Testing in Genetically Unsolved Individuals with Suspected Hereditary Conditions. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 104, 685-700.	6.2	125
2	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: User Motivations, Decision Making, and Perceived Utility of Results. Public Health Genomics, 2017, 20, 36-45.	1.0	111
3	BAFopathies' DNA methylation epi-signatures demonstrate diagnostic utility and functional continuum of Coffin–Siris and Nicolaides–Baraitser syndromes. Nature Communications, 2018, 9, 4885.	12.8	83
4	Consumer Perceptions of Interactions With Primary Care Providers After Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genomic Testing. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 164, 513.	3.9	80
5	How Well Do Customers of Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genomic Testing Services Comprehend Genetic Test Results? Findings from the Impact of Personal Genomics Study. Public Health Genomics, 2015, 18, 216-224.	1.0	73
6	Consumers report lower confidence in their genetics knowledge following direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing. Genetics in Medicine, 2016, 18, 65-72.	2.4	71
7	Adopting genetics: motivations and outcomes of personal genomic testing in adult adoptees. Genetics in Medicine, 2016, 18, 924-932.	2.4	39
8	Prescription medication changes following direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing: findings from the Impact of Personal Genomics (PGen) Study. Genetics in Medicine, 2017, 19, 537-545.	2.4	39
9	Design, methods, and participant characteristics of the Impact of Personal Genomics (PGen) Study, a prospective cohort study of direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing customers. Genome Medicine, 2014, 6, 96.	8.2	37
10	Utilization of Genetic Counseling after Directâ€toâ€Consumer Genetic Testing: Findings from the Impact of Personal Genomics (PGen) Study. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2017, 26, 1270-1279.	1.6	36
11	Personal Genomic Testing for Cancer Risk: Results From the Impact of Personal Genomics Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 636-644.	1.6	34
12	Explaining, not just predicting, drives interest in personal genomics. Genome Medicine, 2015, 7, 74.	8.2	28
13	Genomeâ€wide DNA methylation and RNA analyses enable reclassification of two variants of uncertain significance in a patient with clinical Kabuki syndrome. Human Mutation, 2019, 40, 1684-1689.	2.5	27
14	Diet and exercise changes following direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing. BMC Medical Genomics, 2017, 10, 24.	1.5	25
15	Consumer Perspectives on Access to Directâ€toâ€Consumer Genetic Testing: Role of Demographic Factors and the Testing Experience. Milbank Quarterly, 2017, 95, 291-318.	4.4	22
16	Racial minority group interest in direct-to-consumer genetic testing: findings from the PGen study. Journal of Community Genetics, 2017, 8, 293-301.	1.2	22
17	The impact of direct-to-consumer personal genomic testing on perceived risk of breast, prostate, colorectal, and lung cancer: findings from the PGen study. BMC Medical Genomics, 2015, 8, 63.	1.5	17
18	Implications of Personal Genomic Testing for Health Behaviors: The Case of Smoking. Nicotine and Tobacco Research, 2016, 18, 2273-2277.	2.6	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Epigenomic Mechanisms of Human Developmental Disorders. , 2018, , 837-859.		4
20	Analysis of Sequence and Copy Number Variants in Canadian Patient Cohort With Familial Cancer Syndromes Using a Unique Next Generation Sequencing Based Approach. Frontiers in Genetics, 2021, 12, 698595.	2.3	2