## Yair Pinto

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11032450/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

30	786	16	27
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
30	30	30	811 citing authors
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Target uncertainty does not lead to more distraction by singletons: Intertrial priming does. Perception & Psychophysics, 2005, 67, 1354-1361.	2.3	86
2	Expectations accelerate entry of visual stimuli into awareness. Journal of Vision, 2015, 15, 13.	0.3	85
3	A social Bayesian brain: How social knowledge can shape visual perception. Brain and Cognition, 2017, 112, 69-77.	1.8	85
4	Fragile visual short-term memory is an object-based and location-specific store. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2013, 20, 732-739.	2.8	69
5	Distinguishing between parallel and serial accounts of multiple object tracking. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 11-11.	0.3	45
6	Split brain: divided perception but undivided consciousness. Brain, 2017, 140, aww358.	7.6	42
7	Split-Brain: What We Know Now and Why This is Important for Understanding Consciousness. Neuropsychology Review, 2020, 30, 224-233.	4.9	39
8	Turning the hands of time again: a purely confirmatory replication study and a Bayesian analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 494.	2.1	34
9	The Uniformity Illusion. Psychological Science, 2017, 28, 56-68.	3.3	33
10	Visual attention. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science, 2011, 2, 503-514.	2.8	30
11	The more often you see an object, the easier it becomes to track it. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 4-4.	0.3	28
12	On the automatic link between affect and tendencies to approach and avoid: Chen and Bargh (1999) revisited. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 335.	2.1	28
13	When is search for a static target among dynamic distractors efficient?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2006, 32, 59-72.	0.9	25
14	The Split-Brain Phenomenon Revisited: A Single Conscious Agent with Split Perception. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2017, 21, 835-851.	7.8	25
15	Remapping attention in multiple object tracking. Vision Research, 2011, 51, 489-495.	1.4	20
16	Conscious visual memory with minimal attention Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2017, 146, 214-226.	2.1	17
17	The coordinate systems used in visual tracking. Vision Research, 2010, 50, 2375-2380.	1.4	14
18	Remapping high-capacity, pre-attentive, fragile sensory memory. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 15940.	3.3	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Selecting from dynamic environments: Attention distinguishes between blinking and moving. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 166-178.	2.3	12
20	Cross-cueing cannot explain unified control in split-brain patients. Brain, 2017, 140, e68-e68.	7.6	10
21	Tracking Moving Identities: After Attending the Right Location, the Identity Does Not Come for Free. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e42929.	2.5	9
22	The boundary conditions for Bohr's law: when is reacting faster than acting?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2011, 73, 613-620.	1.3	7
23	Static items are automatically prioritized in a dynamic environment. Visual Cognition, 2008, 16, 916-932.	1.6	6
24	Singularity and consciousness: A neuropsychological contribution. Journal of Neuropsychology, 2021, 15, 1-19.	1.4	6
25	Unified tactile detection and localisation in split-brain patients. Cortex, 2020, 124, 217-223.	2.4	5
26	The detection of temporally defined objects does not require focused attention. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 61, 1134-1142.	1.1	4
27	No Evidence of Narrowly Defined Cognitive Penetrability in Unambiguous Vision. Frontiers in Psychology, 2017, 8, 852.	2.1	3
28	Mid-range visual deficits after stroke: Prevalence and co-occurrence. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0262886.	2.5	3
29	Unified Visual Working Memory without the Anterior Corpus Callosum. Symmetry, 2020, 12, 2106.	2.2	2
30	Callosal Syndromes. , 2022, , 357-366.		0