
Jos Kleijnen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10835570/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



LOS KLEUNEN

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Systematic Review and Mixed Treatment Comparison of Pharmaceutical Interventions for Multiple Sclerosis. Neurology and Therapy, 2020, 9, 359-374.	3.2	24
2	PROBAST: A Tool to Assess the Risk of Bias and Applicability of Prediction Model Studies. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 51.	3.9	1,066
3	PROBAST: A Tool to Assess Risk of Bias and Applicability of Prediction Model Studies: Explanation and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, W1.	3.9	696
4	Evaluation of a new method for librarianâ€nediated literature searches for systematic reviews. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 510-520.	8.7	42
5	A systematic approach to searching: an efficient and complete method to develop literature searches. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA, 2018, 106, 531-541.	1.7	299
6	Searching Embase and MEDLINE by using only major descriptors or title and abstract fields: a prospective exploratory study. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 200.	5.3	24
7	Patient expectations for management of chronic nonâ€cancer pain: A systematic review. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 1201-1217.	2.6	80
8	How to prepare a systematic review of economic evaluations for informing evidence-based healthcare decisions: a five-step approach (part 1/3). Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2016, 16, 689-704.	1.4	134
9	ROBIS: A new tool to assess risk of bias in systematic reviews was developed. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 69, 225-234.	5.0	1,204
10	A systematic review found no consistent difference in effect between more and less intensive placebo interventions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 442-451.	5.0	27
11	Healthy Aging in Relation to Chronic Pain and Quality of Life in Europe. Pain Practice, 2014, 14, 547-558.	1.9	83
12	Differential Effectiveness of Placebo Treatments. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2013, 173, 1941.	5.1	247
13	Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in Denmark and Sweden. Pain Research and Treatment, 2012, 2012, 1-30.	1.7	66
14	Systematic review of adverse events of buprenorphine patch versus fentanyl patch in patients with chronic moderate-to-severe pain. Pain Management, 2012, 2, 351-362.	1.5	17
15	Evidence-Based Assessment of PET in Germany. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2012, 53, 1166.2-1167.	5.0	0
16	Systematic review of efficacy and safety of buprenorphine versus fentanyl or morphine in patients with chronic moderate to severe pain. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2012, 28, 833-845.	1.9	63
17	Epidemiology of chronic non-cancer pain in Europe: narrative review of prevalence, pain treatments and pain impact. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2011, 27, 449-462.	1.9	366
18	Systematic review of tapentadol in chronic severe pain. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2011, 27, 1907-1930.	1.9	70

Jos Kleijnen

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Applicability of diagnostic studies – statistics, bias and estimates of diagnostic accuracy. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 498-503.	0.9	2
20	The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. PLoS Medicine, 2009, 6, e1000100.	8.4	13,772
21	The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, e1-e34.	5.0	8,425
22	The value of predicting restriction of fetal growth and compromise of its wellbeing: Systematic quantitative overviews (meta-analysis) of test accuracy literature. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2007, 7, 3.	2.4	8
23	No role for quality scores in systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy studies. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2005, 5, 19.	3.1	275
24	How does study quality affect the results of a diagnostic meta-analysis?. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2005, 5, 20.	3.1	72
25	Reactions to treatment debriefing among the participants of a placebo controlled trial. BMC Health Services Research, 2005, 5, 30.	2.2	30
26	Ultrasonography in screening for developmental dysplasia of the hip in newborns: systematic review. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2005, 330, 1413.	2.3	108
27	A systematic review finds that diagnostic reviews fail to incorporate quality despite available tools. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 1-12.	5.0	70
28	Sources of Variation and Bias in Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2004, 140, 189.	3.9	679
29	The development of QUADAS: a tool for the quality assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy included in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2003, 3, 25.	3.1	3,036
30	Context Effects. Evaluation and the Health Professions, 2003, 26, 166-179.	1.9	61
31	Five Steps to Conducting a Systematic Review. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2003, 96, 118-121.	2.0	819
32	Informing participants of allocation to placebo at trial closure: postal survey. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2002, 325, 1329-1329.	2.3	34
33	Influence of context effects on health outcomes: a systematic review. Lancet, The, 2001, 357, 757-762.	13.7	1,118
34	Quality of Cochrane reviews: assessment of sample from 1998. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2001, 323, 829-832.	2.3	133
35	Placebo effect in the treatment of duodenal ulcer. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 1999, 48, 853-860.	2.4	105
36	The comprehensiveness of Medline and Embase computer searches. Pharmaceutisch Weekblad Scientific Edition, 1992, 14, 316-320.	0.9	80

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Niacin and vitamin B6 in mental functioning: A review of controlled trials in humans. Biological Psychiatry, 1991, 29, 931-941.	1.3	49
38	Vitamin B6 in the treatment of the premenstrual syndrome-a review. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1990, 97, 847-852.	2.3	64