John F P Bridges

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10716090/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

149	7,329	34	79
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
153 all docs	153 docs citations	153 times ranked	7112 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Assessment of Fall-Related Emergency Medical Service Calls and Transports after a Community-Level Fall-Prevention Initiative. Prehospital Emergency Care, 2022, 26, 410-421.	1.8	6
2	Comparing Patient Preferences for Antithrombotic Treatment During the Acute and Chronic Phases of Myocardial Infarction: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. Patient, 2022, 15, 255-266.	2.7	8
3	A Comparison of Caregiver and Patient Preferences for Treating Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Patient, 2022, 15, 577-588.	2.7	6
4	Unmet Therapeutic Needs of Non-Ambulatory Patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Mixed-Method Analysis. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 2022, 56, 572-586.	1.6	2
5	Best–Worst Scaling and the Prioritization of Objects in Health: A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 883-899.	3.3	15
6	Comparing the Preferences of Patients and the General Public for Treatment Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Patient, 2021, 14, 89-100.	2.7	6
7	Assessing the Appropriateness of the EQ-5D for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Patient-Centered Study. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 209-221.	2.4	18
8	Prioritizing the worries of AML patients: Quantifying patient experience using best–worst scaling. Psycho-Oncology, 2021, 30, 1104-1111.	2.3	14
9	Do people have differing motivations for participating in a stated-preference study? Results from a latent-class analysis. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2021, 21, 44.	3.0	1
10	Line of therapy and patient preferences regarding lung cancer treatment: a discrete-choice experiment. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2021, 37, 643-653.	1.9	5
11	Parent clinical trial priorities for fragile X syndrome: a best–worst scaling. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 1245-1251.	2.8	4
12	Checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy toxicity and overall survival among older adults with advanced cancer. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2021, 12, 813-819.	1.0	23
13	Quantifying the Burden of Hyperphagia in Prader-Willi Syndrome Using Quality-Adjusted Life-years. Clinical Therapeutics, 2021, 43, 1164-1178.e4.	2.5	5
14	Measuring Meaningful Benefit-Risk Tradeoffs to Promote Patient-Focused Drug Development in Prader-Willi Syndrome: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832110394.	0.9	5
15	A best–worst scaling experiment to prioritize concern about ethical issues in citizen science reveals heterogeneity on people-level v. data-level issues. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 19119.	3.3	5
16	Clarifying Values: An Updated and Expanded Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 801-820.	2.4	43
17	Eliciting Preferences for HIV Prevention Technologies: A Systematic Review. Patient, 2021, 14, 151-174.	2.7	25
18	Authors' Response to Comment on "Assessing the Appropriateness of the EQ-5D for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Patient-Centered Study― Medical Decision Making, 2021, , 0272989X2110622.	2.4	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Syringe Service Program Utilization, Barriers, and Preferences for Design in Rural Appalachia: Differences between Men and Women Who Inject Drugs. Substance Use and Misuse, 2020, 55, 2268-2277.	1.4	21
20	Age at Diagnosis and Patient Preferences for Treatment Outcomes in AML: A Discrete Choice Experiment to Explore Meaningful Benefits. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2020, 29, 942-948.	2.5	21
21	<p>Analysis of Patient Preferences in Lung Cancer – Estimating Acceptable Tradeoffs Between Treatment Benefit and Side Effects</p> . Patient Preference and Adherence, 2020, Volume 14, 927-937.	1.8	39
22	Patient preferences for reducing bowel adverse events following prostate radiotherapy. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0235616.	2.5	2
23	Identifying the benefits and risks of emerging integration methods for upper limb prosthetic devices in the United States: an environmental scan. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2019, 16, 631-641.	2.8	9
24	Loss of Communityâ€Dwelling Status Among Survivors of Highâ€Acuity Emergency General Surgery Disease. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2019, 67, 2289-2297.	2.6	18
25	How Do Older Adults Consider Age, Life Expectancy, Quality of Life, and Physician Recommendations When Making Cancer Screening Decisions? Results from a National Survey Using a Discrete Choice Experiment. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 621-631.	2.4	11
26	Challenges to informed consent for exome sequencing: A best–worst scaling experiment. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2019, 28, 1189-1197.	1.6	7
27	Advancing the Use of Patient Preference Information as Scientific Evidence in Medical Product Evaluation: A Summary Report of the Patient Preference Workshop. Patient, 2019, 12, 553-557.	2.7	12
28	Examining Generalizability of Older Adults' Preferences for Discussing Cessation of Screening Colonoscopies in Older Adults with Low Health Literacy. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 2512-2519.	2.6	6
29	Patient Perceptions of Diabetes Guideline Frameworks for Individualizing Glycemic Targets. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 1642.	5.1	17
30	<p>Collecting patient preference information using a Clinical Data Research Network: demonstrating feasibility with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis</p> . Patient Preference and Adherence, 2019, Volume 13, 795-804.	1.8	2
31	Side effects from acute myeloid leukemia treatment: results from a national survey. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2019, 35, 1965-1970.	1.9	33
32	Patient and caregiver preferences for the potential benefits and risks of a seizure forecasting device: A best–worst scaling. Epilepsy and Behavior, 2019, 96, 183-191.	1.7	35
33	Integrating Advance Care Planning Videos into Surgical Oncologic Care: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2019, 22, 764-772.	1.1	19
34	Demographic, health, and attitudinal factors predictive of cancer screening decisions in older adults. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2019, 13, 244-248.	1.8	17
35	Exploring variation in parental worries about HPV vaccination: a latent-class analysis. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics, 2019, 15, 1745-1751.	3.3	12
36	Methods for measuring patient preferences: an update and future directions. Current Opinion in Rheumatology, 2019, 31, 125-131.	4.3	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Identifying the Need for Good Practices in Health Technology Assessment: Summary of the ISPOR HTA Council Working Group Report on Good Practices in HTA. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 13-20.	0.3	76
38	Maternal Motivation to Take Preventive Therapy in Antepartum and Postpartum Among HIV-Positive Pregnant Women in South Africa: A Choice Experiment. AIDS and Behavior, 2019, 23, 1689-1697.	2.7	8
39	Expansion of the Liver Donor Supply Through Greater Use of Splitâ€Liver Transplantation: Identifying Optimal Recipients. Liver Transplantation, 2019, 25, 119-127.	2.4	11
40	How Do Members of the Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophy Community Perceive a Discrete-Choice Experiment Incorporating Uncertain Treatment Benefit? An Application of Research as an Event. Patient, 2019, 12, 247-257.	2.7	12
41	Prioritizing Outcome Preferences in Patients with Ocular Hypertension and Open-Angle Glaucoma Using Best–Worst Scaling. Ophthalmology Glaucoma, 2019, 2, 367-373.	1.9	9
42	Caregivers' Priorities and Observed Outcomes of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Medication for Their Children. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 2018, 39, 93-100.	1.1	5
43	Parents' preferences for interventions to improve childhood immunization uptake in northern Nigeria. Vaccine, 2018, 36, 2833-2841.	3.8	7
44	Developing the Storyline for an Advance Care Planning Video for Surgery Patients: Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Engagement from Stakeholder Summit to State Fair. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2018, 21, 89-94.	1.1	10
45	A review of paper-based advance care planning aids. BMC Palliative Care, 2018, 17, 54.	1.8	12
46	The effect of partner HIV status on motivation to take antiretroviral and isoniazid preventive therapies: a conjoint analysis. AIDS Care - Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV, 2018, 30, 1298-1305.	1.2	5
47	Developing an instrument to assess patient preferences for benefits and risks of treating acute myeloid leukemia to promote patient-focused drug development. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2018, 34, 2031-2039.	1.9	22
48	Project Transform: engaging patient advocates to share their perspectives on improving research, treatment and policy. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2018, 34, 1755-1762.	1.9	11
49	Conducting a Discrete-Choice Experiment Study Following Recommendations for Good Research Practices: An Application for Eliciting Patient Preferences for Diabetes Treatments. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 59-68.	0.3	51
50	Older Adults' Preferences for Discussing Long-Term Life Expectancy: Results From a National Survey. Annals of Family Medicine, 2018, 16, 530-537.	1.9	31
51	Regional Supply of Medical Resources and Systemic Overuse of Health Care Among Medicare Beneficiaries. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 2127-2131.	2.6	20
52	Caregiver priorities for endpoints to evaluate treatments for Prader-Willi syndrome: a best–worst scaling. Journal of Medical Economics, 2018, 21, 1230-1237.	2.1	16
53	Maternal priorities for preventive therapy among <scp>HIV</scp> â€positive pregnant women before and after delivery in South Africa: aÂbest–worst scaling survey. Journal of the International AIDS Society, 2018, 21, e25143.	3.0	11
54	Preferred Clinician Communication About Stopping Cancer Screening Among Older US Adults. JAMA Oncology, 2018, 4, 1126.	7.1	26

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	An advance care plan decision support video before major surgery: a patient- and family-centred approach. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2018, 8, 229-236.	1.6	8
56	Assessing Rationality in Discrete Choice Experiments in Health: An Investigation into the Use of Dominance Tests. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1192-1197.	0.3	56
57	Family companions' involvement during pre-surgical consent visits for major cancer surgery and its relationship to visit communication and satisfaction. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1066-1074.	2.2	18
58	Parents' Views on the Best and Worst Reasons for Guideline-Consistent HPV Vaccination. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2018, 27, 762-767.	2.5	27
59	Engaging patients and caregivers in prioritizing symptoms impacting quality of life for Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 2261-2273.	3.1	19
60	Why don't end-of-life conversations go viral? A review of videos on YouTube. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2017, 7, 197-204.	1.6	13
61	Preferences for antiviral therapy of chronic hepatitis C: a discrete choice experiment. European Journal of Health Economics, 2017, 18, 155-165.	2.8	48
62	A Latent Class Analysis to Identify Variation in Caregivers' Preferences for their Child's Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment: Do Stated Preferences Match Current Treatment?. Patient, 2017, 10, 251-262.	2.7	17
63	Identifying and Prioritizing the Barriers and Facilitators to the Self-Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Community-Centered Approach. Patient, 2017, 10, 773-783.	2.7	12
64	Older Adults' Views and Communication Preferences About Cancer Screening Cessation. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2017, 177, 1121.	5.1	100
65	Utilising advance care planning videos to empower perioperative cancer patients and families: a study protocol of a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016257.	1.9	12
66	Caregiver Treatment Preferences for Children with a New Versus Existing Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnosis. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology, 2017, 27, 234-242.	1.3	17
67	Development of a stated-preference instrument to prioritize treatment goals in recent onset schizophrenia. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2017, 33, 2129-2136.	1.9	8
68	Improving the quality of discrete-choice experiments in health: how can we assess validity and reliability?. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2017, 17, 531-542.	1.4	88
69	Art and Science of Instrument Development for Stated-Preference Methods. Patient, 2017, 10, 377-379.	2.7	8
70	Individualized cost-effectiveness analysis of patient-centered care: a case series of hospitalized patient preferences departing from practice-based guidelines. Journal of Medical Economics, 2017, 20, 288-296.	2.1	6
71	Patientâ€centered benefit–risk assessment in duchenne muscular dystrophy. Muscle and Nerve, 2017, 55, 626-634.	2.2	38
72	Discussion: Research to Promote Patient-Based HTA. , 2017, , 225-233.		1

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Use of best-worst scaling to assess patient perceptions of treatments for refractory overactive bladder. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2016, 35, 1028-1033.	1.5	9
74	A Framework for Instrument Development of a Choice Experiment: An Application to Type 2 Diabetes. Patient, 2016, 9, 465-479.	2.7	63
75	Perceived Barriers and Potential Strategies to Improve Self-Management Among Adults with Type 2 Diabetes: A Community-Engaged Research Approach. Patient, 2016, 9, 349-358.	2.7	19
76	Using Best-Worst Scaling to Understand Patient Priorities: A Case Example of Papanicolaou Tests for Homeless Women. Annals of Family Medicine, 2016, 14, 359-364.	1.9	37
77	Developing a Patient-Centered Benefit-Risk Survey: A Community-Engaged Process. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 751-757.	0.3	37
78	Variation in Treatment Priorities for Chronic Hepatitis C: A Latent Class Analysis. Patient, 2016, 9, 241-249.	2.7	8
79	Statistical Methods for the Analysis of Discrete Choice Experiments: A Report of the ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Good Research Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 300-315.	0.3	782
80	Household Size and the Decision to Purchase Health Insurance in Cambodia: Results of a Discrete-Choice Experiment with Scale Adjustment. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2016, 14, 195-204.	2.1	15
81	A discrete-choice experiment to determine patient preferences for injectable multiple sclerosis treatments in Germany. Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders, 2016, 9, 95-104.	3.5	28
82	Understanding the Experience of Age-Related Vestibular Loss in Older Individuals: A Qualitative Study. Patient, 2016, 9, 303-309.	2.7	22
83	Patient Preferences for Injectable Treatments for Multiple Sclerosis in the United States: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. Patient, 2016, 9, 171-180.	2.7	35
84	How a patient advocacy group developed the first proposed draft guidance document for industry for submission to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 2015, 10, 82.	2.7	39
85	Factors impacting physicians' decisions to prevent variceal hemorrhage. BMC Gastroenterology, 2015, 15, 55.	2.0	10
86	Public Insurance and Timing of Polysomnography and Surgical Care for Children With Sleep-Disordered Breathing. JAMA Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2015, 141, 106.	2.2	60
87	An international comparison of stakeholder motivation to implement liver cancer control. Health Policy and Planning, 2015, 30, 645-655.	2.7	4
88	A Best-Worst Scaling Experiment to Prioritize Caregiver Concerns About ADHD Medication for Children. Psychiatric Services, 2015, 66, 208-211.	2.0	23
89	Promoting perioperative advance care planning: a systematic review of advance care planning decision aids. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2015, 4, 615-650.	1.4	23
90	Caregiver Preferences for Emerging Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Treatments: A Comparison of Best-Worst Scaling and Conjoint Analysis. Patient, 2015, 8, 19-27.	2.7	44

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Identifying the Benefits and Risks of Emerging Treatments for Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: A Qualitative Study. Patient, 2015, 8, 85-92.	2.7	20
92	Measuring the Preferences of Homeless Women for Cervical Cancer Screening Interventions: Development of a Best–Worst Scaling Survey. Patient, 2015, 8, 455-467.	2.7	18
93	Using Best–Worst Scaling to Measure Caregiver Preferences for Managing their Child's ADHD: A Pilot Study. Patient, 2015, 8, 423-431.	2.7	19
94	Improving End-of-Life Decision-Making About Resuscitation and Intubation. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015, 30, 1049-1050.	2.6	4
95	An Index for Measuring Overuse of Health Care Resources With Medicare Claims. Medical Care, 2015, 53, 230-236.	2.4	33
96	Patients' Preferences Related to Benefits, Risks, and Formulations of Schizophrenia Treatment. Psychiatric Services, 2015, 66, 719-726.	2.0	28
97	Patient preferences for treating refractory overactive bladder in the UK. International Urology and Nephrology, 2015, 47, 1619-1627.	1.4	29
98	Public preferences for the return of research results in genetic research: a conjoint analysis. Genetics in Medicine, 2014, 16, 932-939.	2.4	43
99	Psychiatrists' Judgments About Antipsychotic Benefit and Risk Outcomes and Formulation in Schizophrenia Treatment. Psychiatric Services, 2014, 65, 1133-1139.	2.0	7
100	Temporal trends in populationâ€based death rates associated with chronic liver disease and liver cancer in the United States over the last 30 years. Cancer, 2014, 120, 3058-3065.	4.1	55
101	Identifying Possible Indicators of Systematic Overuse of Health Care Procedures With Claims Data. Medical Care, 2014, 52, 157-163.	2.4	51
102	Hospitalized Women's Willingness to Pay for an Inpatient Screening Mammogram. Annals of Family Medicine, 2014, 12, 556-558.	1.9	13
103	Clinical Decision-Making by Gastroenterologists and Hepatologists for Patients with Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 1844-1851.	1.5	9
104	An Environmental Scan of Advance Care Planning Decision Aids for Patients Undergoing Major Surgery: A Study Protocol. Patient, 2014, 7, 207-217.	2.7	29
105	Patient Preferences for Noninsulin Diabetes Medications: A Systematic Review. Diabetes Care, 2014, 37, 2055-2062.	8.6	72
106	A Community-Engaged Approach to Quantifying Caregiver Preferences for the Benefits and Risks of Emerging Therapies for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Clinical Therapeutics, 2014, 36, 624-637.	2.5	96
107	A test of concordance between patient and psychiatrist valuations of multiple treatment goals for schizophrenia. Health Expectations, 2013, 16, 164-176.	2.6	49
108	Constructing Experimental Designs for Discrete-Choice Experiments: Report of the ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Experimental Design Good Research Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 3-13.	0.3	1,169

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Influence of Nonclinical Factors on Choice of Therapy for Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 448-456.	1.5	23
110	Patient Preferences for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes: A Scoping Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 877-892.	3.3	91
111	Stakeholder perspectives on European priorities for comprehensive liver cancer control: a conjoint analysis. European Journal of Public Health, 2013, 23, 951-957.	0.3	4
112	Assessing the impact of palliative care in the intensive care unit through the lens of patient-centered outcomes research. Current Opinion in Critical Care, 2013, 19, 504-510.	3.2	30
113	Consumer Preferences for Hearing Aid Attributes. Trends in Amplification, 2012, 16, 40-48.	2.4	27
114	USING BEST-WORST SCALING IN HORIZON SCANNING FOR HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA TECHNOLOGIES. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2012, 28, 339-346.	0.5	34
115	Designing Family-Centered Male Circumcision Services. Patient, 2012, 5, 101-111.	2.7	10
116	Understanding Consumer Preferences in the Context of Managed Competition. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2012, 10, 99-111.	2.1	11
117	A Comparison of Two Experimental Design Approaches in Applying Conjoint Analysis in Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. Patient, 2012, 5, 279-294.	2.7	15
118	Prioritizing strategies for comprehensive liver cancer control in Asia: a conjoint analysis. BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 376.	2.2	14
119	Patients' preferences for treatment outcomes for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A conjoint analysis. Lung Cancer, 2012, 77, 224-231.	2.0	119
120	Can Patients Diagnosed with Schizophrenia Complete Choice-Based Conjoint Analysis Tasks?. Patient, 2011, 4, 267-275.	2.7	36
121	Conjoint Analysis Applications in Health—a Checklist: A Report of the ISPOR Good Research Practices for Conjoint Analysis Task Force. Value in Health, 2011, 14, 403-413.	0.3	1,342
122	Determinants of influenza vaccine purchasing decision in the US: A conjoint analysis. Vaccine, 2011, 29, 1443-1447.	3.8	8
123	Identifying important breast cancer control strategies in Asia, Latin America and the Middle East/North Africa. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 227.	2.2	25
124	Identifying and prioritizing strategies for comprehensive liver cancer control in Asia. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 298.	2.2	17
125	Condom avoidance and determinants of demand for male circumcision in Johannesburg, South Africa. Health Policy and Planning, 2011, 26, 298-306.	2.7	33
126	Understanding Surgical Decision Making in Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 619-625.	1.6	58

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Needs for hepatocellular carcinoma control policy in the Asia-Pacific region. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2011, 12, 2585-91.	1.2	14
128	International experience with comparative effectiveness research: Case studies from England/Wales and Germany. Advances in Health Economics and Health Services Research, 2010, 22, 29-50.	0.2	17
129	Conjoint Analysis Applications in Health – How are Studies being Designed and Reported?. Patient, 2010, 3, 249-256.	2.7	272
130	Healthcare Rationing by Proxy. Pharmacoeconomics, 2010, 28, 175-184.	3.3	64
131	What outcomes should US policy makers compare in comparative effectiveness research? Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2010, 10, 217-220.	1.4	6
132	Decision Making about Cancer Screening: An Assessment of the State of the Science and a Suggested Research Agenda from the ASPO Behavioral Oncology and Cancer Communication Special Interest Group. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2009, 18, 3133-3137.	2.5	15
133	Identifying patient-relevant endpoints among individuals with schizophrenia: An application of patient-centered health technology assessment. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2009, 25, 35-41.	0.5	45
134	Things are Looking up Since WeÂStarted Listening to Patients. Patient, 2008, 1, 273-282.	2.7	107
135	The Role of The Patient in Promoting Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. Patient, 2008, 1, 1-3.	2.7	15
136	Honoring Pioneers in Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. Patient, 2008, 1, 5-6.	2.7	4
137	Andrew Lloyd. Patient, 2008, 1, 259-263.	2.7	1
138	Comparison of four contingent valuation methods to estimate the economic value of a pneumococcal vaccine in Bangladesh. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2008, 24, 481-487.	0.5	32
139	Things are Looking up Since We Started Listening to Patients: Trends in the Application of Conjoint Analysis in Health 1982-2007. Patient, 2008, 1, 273-82.	2.7	69
140	Andrew lloyd: a driving force in patient-centered outcomes research. Patient, 2008, 1, 259-63.	2.7	3
141	Patient-based health technology assessment: A vision of the future. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2007, 23, 30-35.	0.5	142
142	Lean Systems Approaches to Health Technology Assessment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2006, 24, 101-109.	3.3	23
143	Accounting for Tastes. Pharmacoeconomics, 2006, 24, 419-423.	3.3	21
144	Comparison of Individual and Group Valuation of Health State Scenarios across Communities in West Africa. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2006, 5, 261-268.	2.1	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Overcoming Bias in Estimating the Volume-Outcome Relationship. Health Services Research, 2006, 41, 252-264.	2.0	44
146	Patients??? Preferences for Healthcare System Reforms in Hungary. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2006, 5, 189-198.	2.1	20
147	Future Challenges for the Economic Evaluation of Healthcare. Pharmacoeconomics, 2005, 23, 317-321.	3.3	31
148	A Wolf Dressed in Sheep???s Clothing. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2005, 4, 55-64.	2.1	7
149	Stated preference methods in health care evaluation: an emerging methodological paradigm in health economics. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2003, 2, 213-24.	2.1	135